FILED

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA SAVANNAH DIVISION

DAVID LEE HUGGINS,	)	United States District Court  By jburrell at 1:11 pm, Aug 29, 2016
Plaintiff,	)	
v.	)	CV417-054
NANCY A. BERRYHILL, Acting Commissioner of Social Security,	)	
Defendant.	)	

## **ORDER**

Plaintiff filed his Complaint seeking review of a final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security and his motion to proceed in forma pauperis (IFP) in March 2017. Docs. 1 & 2. The Court, after screening, granted IFP and permitted his Amended Complaint to be served by the United States Marshal. Doc. 11. Plaintiff was also served with this Court's General Order in Social Security Appeals, which sets forth the briefing schedule and requirements to which all Social Security appeal plaintiffs (whether pro se or represented by counsel) must adhere. Doc. 4. That Order explains that within 30 days of lodging of the Administrative Record and filing of defendant's answer to the complaint, plaintiff must file his opening brief setting forth his entitlement to relief.

The Record was lodged and answer filed on June 12, 2018, yet plaintiff has not filed his opening brief or requested more time to do so. See doc. 22 (letter to the Court explaining that he has unsuccessfully sought legal assistance and thus has been delayed in pursuing litigation, but not indicating he intends to file an opening brief). It is, in short, unclear whether he intends to prosecute his case.

Within 14 days of the date this Order is served, plaintiff shall either: (1) file his opening brief, or (2) otherwise show cause why this case should not be dismissed on inactivity and, thus, abandonment grounds. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); S.D. Ga. L.R. 41.1(c).; Link v. Wabash R.R. Co., 370 U.S. 626, 630-31 (1962) (courts have the inherent authority to dismiss claims for lack of prosecution); Mingo v. Sugar Cane Growers Co-op, 864 F.2d 101, 102 (11th Cir. 1989); Jones v. Graham, 709 F.2d 1457, 1458 (11th Cir. 1983); Floyd v. United States, CV491-277 (S.D. Ga. June 10, 1992). Failure to respond to this Order will result in a recommendation of dismissal of this action. The Clerk is further **DIRECTED** to serve plaintiff with an additional copy of the Court's General Order in Social Security Appeals, to re-apprise plaintiff of the requirements of his opening brief.

## **SO ORDERED,** this <u>29th</u> day of August, 2018.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE