Tutt v. Heap et al Doc. 20

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA SAVANNAH DIVISION

MICHAEL ANTHONY TUTT,

Plaintiff,

V.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY MEG HEAP;
IIN HEAP; JUDGE MARY K. MOSS;
WILCHER, Sheriff of Chatham
County; SHERIFF OF TYBEE
ISLAND POLICE DEPT.; CNT AGENT)
GARY WOODRUFF; CNT AGENT DAVID)
BAKER; and GILBERT L. STACY;

Defendants.

CASE NO. CV418-138
SAVANHAH DIV.

SAVANHAH DIV.

2019 MAR 14 PH 12: 25

ORDER

Before the Court is the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (Doc. 15), to which objections have been filed (Doc. 18). Additionally, Plaintiff also filed an amended complaint in response to the report and recommendation. (Doc. 19.) In both his objections and his amended complaint, Plaintiff does not provide any meritorious objections to the conclusion in the report and recommendation. Instead, Plaintiff generally realleges the same allegations in his initial complaint and requests that this action be "continued depending on the outcome(sic.) of the state charges." (Id. at 11; see also Doc. 18.) After careful consideration, Plaintiff's request is denied. As stated in the report and recommendation, Plaintiff has failed to

state at least one actionable claim in his initial complaint or amended complaint. (Doc. 15.) Accordingly, the report and recommendation is **ADOPTED** as the Court's opinion in this case. Plaintiff's complaint is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** and the Clerk is **DIRECTED** to close this case.

SO ORDERED this // day of March 2019.

WILLIAM T. MOORE, JR.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA