SIMMONS v. CORTEZ et al Doc. 12

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA SAVANNAH DIVISION

RANDY SIMMONS,) Scott L. Poff, Clerk
Petitioner,	United States District Court By jburrell at 12:19 pm, Jul 03, 2018
v.) CV418-145
JOAQUIN CORTEZ, et al.,)
Respondent.))

<u>ORDER</u>

Plaintiff Randy Simmons Taft has filed this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 claim has filed this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 claim against the prosecutors, judge, and clerk of court involved in his state criminal conviction. Doc. 1. He seeks to proceed *in forma pauperis* (IFP). Doc. 2. After reviewing plaintiff's application, it appears that he lacks sufficient resources to prepay the filing fee. Accordingly, the Court **GRANTS** plaintiff leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Doc. 2.

Under the Prison Litigation Reform Act, Pub. L. No. 104-134, 110 Stat. 1321 (PLRA), *all* prisoners, even those who are allowed to proceed IFP, must pay the full filing fee of \$350.00. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1).

Prisoner IFP litigants must pay an initial partial filing fee of 20 percent of the greater of the average monthly deposits to, or average monthly balance in, the prisoner's account for the 6-month period immediately preceding the filing of the Complaint. Prison officials are then required to collect the balance of the filing fee by deducting 20 percent of the preceding month's income credited to the prisoner's account. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2). This payment shall be forwarded to the Clerk of Court "each time the amount in plaintiff's account exceeds \$10 until the full filing fees are paid." *Id*. The entire filing fee must be paid even if the suit is dismissed at the outset because it is frivolous, malicious, fails to state a claim, or seeks monetary damages against a defendant who is immune from such relief.

In addition to requiring payment of the full filing fee, the PLRA now requires prisoners to exhaust all administrative remedies before challenging "prison conditions" in a civil action. 42 U.S.C. § 1997e; see 18 U.S.C. § 3626(g)(2). All prisoner civil rights actions filed after April 26, 1996 are subject to dismissal if the prisoner has not exhausted the available administrative remedies with respect to each claim asserted.

Moreover, even if the Complaint is dismissed for failure to exhaust, the prisoner will still be responsible for payment of the full filing fee.

The PLRA also provides that a prisoner cannot bring a new civil action or appeal a judgment in a civil action *in forma pauperis* if the prisoner has on three or more prior occasions, while incarcerated, brought a civil action or appeal in federal court that was dismissed because it was frivolous, malicious, or failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. The only exception to this "three strikes" rule is if the prisoner is in "imminent danger of serious physical injury." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).¹

Because of these legal parameters, the Court will give plaintiff an opportunity, at this time, to voluntarily dismiss the Complaint pursuant

¹ Plaintiffs are generally required to pay a filing fee in order to institute a civil action in a federal district court. 28 U.S.C. § 1914. Indigent prisoners may avoid *prepayment* of the filing fee under 28 U.S.C. § 1915, but must surmount § 1915(g):

In no event shall a prisoner bring a civil action or appeal a judgment in a civil action or proceeding under this section if the prisoner has, on 3 or more prior occasions, while incarcerated or detained in any facility, brought an action or appeal in a court of the United States that was dismissed on the grounds that it is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, unless the prisoner is under imminent danger of serious physical injury.

²⁸ U.S.C. § 1915(g). A three-striker who fails to show "imminent danger" must pay the complete \$350 filing fee when he initiates suit. *Vanderberg v. Donaldson*, 259 F.3d 1321, 1324 (11th Cir. 2001). Short of that, the court dismisses the Complaint without prejudice. *Dupree v. Palmer*, 284 F.3d 1234, 1236 (11th Cir. 2002).

to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1). Such a voluntary dismissal will not require plaintiff to pay the filing fee or count as a dismissal which may later subject plaintiff to the three-dismissal rule under section 1915(g).

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- Account Statement to the trust (financial) officer of each prison where he has been confined for the past six months. The trust officer will complete and sign the form and return the form and supporting documents to plaintiff for submission to the Court. Two copies of the form are enclosed for this purpose.
- (2) Plaintiff must sign and date the enclosed **Consent to Collection of Fees from Trust Account**. By signing this form,
 plaintiff gives his consent to the collection of the entire filing fee from his
 prison account in installments, in accordance with the provisions of the

 Prison Litigation Reform Act.
- (3) Plaintiff must return both the **Prisoner Trust Account Statement** and the **Consent to Collection of Fees from Trust Account** to the Clerk within thirty days of the date this Order is served.

The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to serve along with a copy of this

Order (1) a Prisoner Trust Account Statement form, and (2) the Consent

to Collection of Fees from Trust Account form.

Plaintiff shall have thirty days from the service of this Order to fill

out and return **both forms**. Once plaintiff has complied with the

conditions of this Order, the Court will review plaintiff's Complaint to

determine which, if any, claims are viable and which, if any, defendants

should be served with a copy of the Complaint. If no response is timely

received from plaintiff, the Court will presume that plaintiff desires to

have this case voluntarily dismissed.

Failure to comply with this Order within thirty days of the

date this Order is served shall result in the recommendation of

dismissal of plaintiff's case, without prejudice.

SO ORDERED, this 3rd day of July, 2018.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

Th Fmith

5