

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA  
SAVANNAH DIVISION**

JAMIE KENNEDY,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	CV421-333
	)	
LLOYD J. AUSTIN, III,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

**ORDER**

After Defendant Lloyd J. Austin, III filed a motion to dismiss Plaintiff Jamie Kennedy's original Complaint, doc. 12, and a consent motion seeking a stay of the discovery deadlines, doc. 13, Plaintiff filed an Amended Complaint. Doc. 14. The Court directed the parties to submit a Rule 26(f) Report by May 27, 2022, and stayed all deadlines pending issuance of a scheduling order. Doc. 15 at 1-2. Defendant subsequently filed a Motion to Dismiss the First Amended Complaint, doc. 16, and a consent motion seeking a stay of all discovery deadlines pending disposition of that motion, doc. 17. For the following reasons, the consent stay request is **GRANTED**. Doc. 17.

A court has “broad discretion” in determining whether to grant a stay of discovery. *Rivas v. The Bank of New York Mellon*, 676 F. App’x 926, 932 (11th Cir. 2017). The Eleventh Circuit has recognized that it is appropriate for the Court dispose of “[f]acial challenges to the legal sufficiency of a claim or defense, such as a motion to dismiss based on failure to state a claim for relief,” before the parties engage in costly and potentially unnecessary discovery. *Chudasama v. Mazda Motor Corp.*, 123 F.3d 1353, 1367-68 (11th Cir. 1997).

When “deciding whether to stay discovery pending resolution of a pending motion, the Court inevitably must balance the harm produced by a delay in discovery against the possibility that the motion will be granted and entirely eliminate the need for such discovery.” *SP Frederica, LLC v. Glynn Cnty.*, 2015 WL 5242830, at \*2 (S.D. Ga. Sept. 8, 2015) (internal quotation marks omitted) (quoting *Feldman v. Flood*, 176 F.R.D. 651, 652 (M.D. Fla. 1997)). In evaluating stays of discovery pending resolution of dispositive motions, “a court must take a ‘preliminary peek’ . . . to assess the likelihood that the motion will be granted.” *Taylor v. Jackson*, 2017 WL 71654, at \*1 n.2 (S.D. Ga. Jan. 6, 2017) (quoting *Sams v. GA West Gate, LLC*, 2016 WL 3339764, at \*6 (S.D.


Ga. June 10, 2016)). “[A] stay should be granted only where the motion to dismiss appears, upon preliminary review, to be clearly meritorious and truly case dispositive.” *Sams*, 2016 WL 3339764 at \*6. “[A] request to stay discovery pending a resolution of a motion is rarely appropriate unless resolution of the motion will dispose of the entire case.” *CSX Transp., Inc. v. United States*, 2014 WL 11429178, at \*1 (S.D. Ga. May 30, 2014) (citing *Feldman*, 176 F.R.D. at 652).

Plaintiff consents to the stay request, *see* doc. 17 at 1, and she does not indicate that she would be prejudiced by a stay. And, upon preliminary review, the Motion to Dismiss does not appear to be meritless. *See* doc. 16; *see also* *Arriaga-Zacarias v. Lewis Taylor Farms, Inc.*, 2008 WL 4544470, at \*2 (M.D. Ga. Oct. 10, 2008) (granting a stay of discovery deadlines when a motion to dismiss is not “meritless on its face”). Additionally, a ruling on the motion to dismiss would be case-dispositive. *See* doc. 16. On balance, then, a stay is appropriate.

Defendant’s Consent Motion to Stay Discovery is **GRANTED**, doc. 17, and all deadlines in this case are **STAYED** pending disposition of the Motion to Dismiss, doc. 16. Upon the District Judge’s disposition of the Motion to Dismiss, the Clerk is **DIRECTED** to lift the stay. If the case

remains pending after the disposition of the Motion to Dismiss, the parties are **DIRECTED** to confer and submit a Rule 26(f) Report no later than fourteen days from the date of the District Judge's Order disposing of the Motion. Finally, since Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, doc. 14, supersedes the original Complaint, doc. 1; see *Tondalo v. Pro Disposal, LLC*, 2022 WL 1407921, at \*1 (S.D. Ga. May 4, 2022), Defendant's motion to dismiss the original Complaint is **TERMINATED** as moot. Doc. 12.

**SO ORDERED**, this 9th day of May, 2022.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
CHRISTOPHER L. RAY  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA