## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA WAYCROSS DIVISION

2010 JAN 21 AN II: 41

Moderato

DERRICK WARREN,	)		* 4*
	)		
Plaintiff,	)		
	)		
v.	)	CASE NO.	CV508-026
	)		
DOUGLAS POLICE DEPARTMENT,	)		
CHIEF CLIFFORD THOMAS, RODGER	)		
GODDARD, JOHN SHEALY, and PAT	)		
DOHERTY,	)		
	)		
Defendants.	)		
	)		

## ORDER

Before the Court is the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (Doc. 41), to which objections have been filed (Doc. 45). After a careful de novo review, the Court concurs with the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation. Therefore, the Report and Recommendation is ADOPTED as the

<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff makes no specific objections, but simply asks the Court to ignore the Report and Recommendation. (Doc. 45.) However, the Report and Recommendation was not generally objectionable. At summary judgment the Court takes all the evidence as a whole and draws all inferences in the light most favorable to the non-moving party. Burger King Corp. v. E-Z Eating, 41 Corp., 572 F.3d 1306, 1312-13 (11th Cir. 2009). However, once the Defendant has provided evidence establishing the lack of a material issue of fact, the Plaintiff must offer competent evidence rebutting that showing. Id. at 1313. absence of such evidence, the Court can rely on the undisputed facts put forth by the Defendant. See id. Here, the Magistrate Judge was correct to rely on Defendant's evidence because it was not rebutted by competent proof; Plaintiff's statement of material facts was neither a sworn statement, nor did it rely on other evidence to establish the allegations contained within it. (See Docs. 28, 32.)

Opinion of this Court. Accordingly, this case is **DISMISSED**.

The **Clerk of Court** is **DIRECTED** to **close this case**.

SO ORDERED this 2/5 day of January, 2010.

WILLIAM T. MOORE, JR., CHIEF JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA