IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA BRUNSWICK DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

v.

DANIEL ROGER ALO; AMBERLIE WRIGHT; JASON PRINCE; MIRANDA MICHELLE FLOWERS; RAYMOND JARRED WILDER; ANGELO OCCIUZZI; JASON LEE; ANGELA POPE; MELVIN JACKSON; IRVISON FERNANDEZ PEREZ; JOHN BRIAN SCHUYLER; and ROBERT

LEE TAYLOR,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: 2:16-cr-24

ORDER

The Court held arraignments as to the above-named Defendants on October 18, 2016. At these hearings, the Court determined that the ends of justice in designating this case as complex and excluding time under the Speedy Trial Act outweigh the best interest of the public and Defendants in a speedy trial. In making this determination, the Court has considered the number of Defendants and the nature of the prosecution, along with the volume and complexity of discovery produced by the Government, and concludes that absent a continuance under the Speedy Trial Act, it would be unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or for trial. Therefore, pretrial motions are due to be filed on or before **December 2**, **2016**. The opposing party shall have fourteen (14) days to respond to any motion; however, the Government may file a joint response, where practical, within fourteen (14) days after all Defendants' pretrial motions have been filed. Counsel for Defendants shall file a separate

motion for each relief sought and shall not file a consolidated motion. This deadline supersedes

all prior motion deadlines in this case and shall apply to all parties.

Pretrial motions received by the Clerk outside the required period shall not be filed

without leave of Court. Untimely motions will not be considered absent a showing of good

cause for failure to file within the time set by the Court.

Assuming that a liberal discovery policy will be followed by the United States Attorney

in this case, many, if not all of the routine discovery motions filed by a Defendant, may be

satisfied without the need for intervention by the Court. The Court will conduct a motions

hearing to consider any unresolved motions. Prior to scheduling any such hearing, the Court

will ask the parties to specify which motions (if any) remain in dispute. The Court will then hear

argument and/or receive evidence on the unresolved motions.

Counsel are instructed to file all requests to charge and proposed voir dire questions at

least seven (7) days before jury selection. If Defendant is in custody at the time of trial, it is

the responsibility of counsel to ensure that Defendant has appropriate attire to wear in the

presence of the jury.

SO ORDERED, this 21st day of October, 2016.

R. STAN BAKER

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

2