-GRS Stevens v. Astrue Doc. 8

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA STATESBORO DIVISION

KENNETH L. STEVENS,)		
Plaintiff,)		
,)	G 17	
v.)	Case No.	CV611-015
1.57.671.471 T . 6.6887177)		
MICHAEL J. ASTRUE,)		
Commissioner, Social Security)		
Administration,)		
)		
Defendant.)		
)		

ORDER

Seeking 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) review of the Social Security Administration's denial of his applications for disability and disability insurance benefits, doc. 1, Kenneth L. Stevens successfully moved this Court for leave to proceed in forma pauperis under 28 U.S.C. § 1915. Doc. 5. However, the Court inadvertently failed to direct Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 service upon the defendant. As a litigant proceeding IFP, Stevens is "not responsible for the service of process. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d) ('The officers of the court shall issue and serve all process[.]'); Byrd v. Stone, 94 F.3d 217, 219 (6th Cir. 1996) ('the court is obligated to issue plaintiff's process to a United States Marshal who must in turn effectuate service

upon the defendants ... once reasonable steps have been taken to identify for the court the defendants names in the complaint'). . . ." Crock v. Astrue, 332 F. App'x 777, 778 (3rd Cir. 2009). Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(c)(3), then, the United States Marshal shall effect service upon the Commissioner.¹

SO ORDERED this <u>11th</u> day of May, 2011.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE SOUTHERN DISTRICT of GEORGIA

Nothing, of course, prevented plaintiff's counsel from effectuating service in the intervening months since that Order was issued.