

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
STATESBORO DIVISION

RAMIE KEY,

Plaintiff,

v.

CHARLES FUGITT and
CHRISTOPHER KIGHT,


Defendants.

FILED
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SAVANNAH DIV.
2014 OCT 22 AM 10:05
CLERK
SO. DIST. OF GA.
6:14-cv-39

ORDER

Plaintiff Ramie Key and Defendant Charles Fugitt have proposed that the Court enter a consent judgment on the terms of their settlement. ECF No. 12. District courts in this circuit are to approve only those settlements that “[are] fair, adequate and reasonable and [are] not the product of collusion between the parties.” *Bennett v. Behring Corp.*, 737 F.2d 982, 986 (11th Cir. 1984) (quoting *Cotton v. Hinton*, 559 F.2d 1326, 1330 (5th Cir. 1977)); see also *In re Smith*, 926 F.2d 1027, 1028-29 (11th Cir. 1991). On the meager record before it, the Court cannot find that the settlement is fair, adequate, and reasonable. Therefore, the Court declines to approve a consent judgment. The parties’ request for approval of the settlement and entry of the consent judgment, ECF No. 12, is **DENIED**.

The 22 day of October 2014


B. AVANT EDENFIELD, JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA