

RH

LOW

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA  
STATESBORO DIVISION**

TIDAESHA V. TAYLOR,	)	
	)	
Movant,	)	
v.	)	
	)	Case No. CV615-026
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	

**ORDER**

Four months after the government filed its response to her 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion, Tidaesha Taylor, unaware of the Court’s reply brief policy,<sup>1</sup> moves for leave to file a reply. Doc. 6. Given that policy, her motion is **GRANTED**. Taylor has 21 days from the date this Order is served to place her reply in her prison’s mail system.

**SO ORDERED**, this 31<sup>st</sup> day of August, 2015.

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

<sup>1</sup> See *Waddy v. Globus Med., Inc.*, 2008 WL 3861994 (S.D. Ga. Aug 18, 2008) (the “parties may file as many reply briefs as they like under Local Rule 7.5.”) (citing *Podger v. Gulfstream Aerospace Corp.*, 212 F.R.D. 609, 609 (S.D. Ga. 2003)); see also L.R. 7.6 (authorizing reply briefs but imposing notice requirements and time limits).