Sanks v. Toole et al Doc. 27

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA STATESBORO DIVISION

RONNIE SANKS,

Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION NO.: 6:15-cv-146

v.

DR. JAMES RAY, Dentist,

Defendant.

ORDER

This matter is before the Court on Defendant's Motion to Dismiss filed on September 26, 2016. (Doc. 24.) The Court provides instructions to Plaintiff regarding Defendant's Motion to Dismiss, which Plaintiff is urged to follow.

A motion to dismiss is dispositive in nature, meaning that the granting of a motion to dismiss results in the dismissal of individual claims or an entire action. Consequently, the Court is reluctant to rule on the Motion to Dismiss without receiving a response from the Plaintiff or ensuring that Plaintiff is advised of the potential ramifications caused by his failure to respond. Once a motion to dismiss is filed, the opponent should be afforded a reasonable opportunity to respond to or oppose such a motion. This Court must consider that the Plaintiff in this case is a *pro se* litigant. Haines v. Kerner, 404 U. S. 519, 520 (1972). Additionally, when a defendant or defendants file a motion to dismiss, the court must construe the complaint

liberally in favor of plaintiff, taking all facts alleged by the plaintiff as true, even if doubtful in fact. Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 554, 555 (2007).

The granting of a motion to dismiss without affording the plaintiff either notice or any opportunity to be heard is disfavored. <u>Tazoe v. Airbus S.A.S.</u>, 631 F.3d 1321, 1336–37 (11th Cir. 2011). A local rule, such as Local Rule 7.5 of this Court, should not in any way serve as a basis for dismissing a *pro se* complaint where, as here, there is nothing to indicate plaintiff ever was made aware of it prior to dismissal. <u>Pierce v. City of Miami</u>, 176 F. App'x 12, 14 (11th Cir. 2006).

Accordingly, the Court hereby **ORDERS** Plaintiff to file any response in opposition to the Defendant's motion for a dismissal or to inform the Court of his decision not to oppose Defendant's motion within twenty-one (21) days of the date of this Order. <u>Tazoe</u>, 631 F.3d at 1336 (advising that a court cannot dismiss an action without employing a fair procedure). Should Plaintiff not timely respond to Defendant's Motion, the Court will determine that Plaintiff does not oppose to the Motion. <u>See</u> Local Rule 7.5.

To assure that Plaintiff's response is made with fair notice of the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure regarding motions to dismiss, generally, and motions to dismiss for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, the Clerk of Court is

Unless . . . the assigned judge prescribes otherwise, each party opposing a motion shall serve and file a response within fourteen (14) days of service of the motion, except that in cases of motions for summary judgment the time shall be twenty-one (21) days after service of the motion. Failure to respond shall indicate that there is no opposition to a motion.

(emphasis added).

¹ Local Rule 7.5 states:

hereby instructed to attach a copy Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 41 and 12 to the copy of this Order that is served on the Plaintiff.

SO ORDERED, this 30th day of September, 2016.

R. STAN BAKER

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA