IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA STATESBORO DIVISION

WILLIAM G. MITCHELL,

Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION NO.: 6:18-cv-43

v.

EMANUEL PROBATION, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

After an independent and *de novo* review of the entire record, the undersigned concurs with the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, (doc. 11), to which Plaintiff failed to file Objections. Accordingly, the Court ADOPTS the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge as the opinion of the Court. The Court DISMISSES WITHOUT PREJUDICE Plaintiff's Complaint pursuant to the "three strikes" provision of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) and for abuse of judicial process and DISMISSES AS MOOT all other pending Motions. The Court DENIES Plaintiff *in forma pauperis* status on appeal and DIRECTS the Clerk of Court to enter the appropriate judgment of dismissal and to CLOSE this case.

SO ORDERED, this 2044 day of August, 2018.

J. RANDAL HALL, CHIEF JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

Plaintiff did file a Notice of Interlocutory Appeal, (doc. 12), which the Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals dismissed for want of prosecution because Plaintiff did not pay the filing and docketing fees, (doc. 15). To the extent this Notice is construed as an Objection to the Report and Recommendation, the Court **OVERRULES** Plaintiff's Objections. As the Magistrate Judge clearly stated in his Report and Recommendation, Plaintiff failed to truthfully disclose several cases filed as recently as last year and also qualifies as a "three-striker" under the Prison Litigation Reform Act. (Doc. 11, pp. 4–8.)