Document 17 Filed 07/23/2007

Page 1 of 3



ORIGINAL

LOVE & KIRSCHENBAUM A LIMITED LIABILITY LAW COMPANY

FILED IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF HAWAII

CHAD P. LOVE 1617-0 BARBARA J. KIRSCHENBAUM 5825-0 1164 Bishop Street, Ste. 1105 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 Tel. No. 546-7575 Fax. No. 546-7070 Email address: clove@lkhawaii.com

Attorneys for Defendants Menu Foods Holdings, Inc., Menu Foods, Inc., and Menu Foods Income Fund

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF HAWAII

| Y VONNE ORTIZ, Individually and |) | CIVIL NO. CVU/-00323DAELEK |
|----------------------------------|----|----------------------------|
| on behalf of all other similarly |) | (Class Action) |
| situated persons, |) | |
| - |) | STIPULATION RE SERVICE OF |
| Plaintiff, |) | COMPLAINT ON MENU FOODS |
| |) | INCOME FUND AND EXTENSION |
| VS. |) | OF TIME FOR ALL DEFENDANTS |
| |) | TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE |
| MENU FOODS, INC., a New Jersey |) | RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND |
| corporation; MENU FOODS |) | ORDER |
| HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware |) | |
| corporation; MENU FOODS |) | |
| INCOME FUND, an unincorporated |) | |
| Canadian business; DOE ENTITIES |) | |
| and INDIVIDUALS 1-100, |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendants. |) | |
| | _) | |

STIPULATION RE SERVICE OF COMPLAINT ON MENU FOODS INCOME FUND AND EXTENSION OF TIME FOR ALL DEFENDANTS TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND TO COMPLAINT

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between Plaintiff and Defendants

Menu Foods Holdings, Inc., Menu Foods, Inc., and Menu Foods Income Fund as
follows:

- (1) The law firm of Love & Kirschenbaum hereby agrees to accept service of the Complaint and Summons on behalf of Menu Foods Income Fund; Plaintiff's counsel need not serve an additional copy of the Complaint and Summons. Service shall be deemed to be made on July 17, 2007.
- (2) The answer (or responsive pleading) for Menu Foods Inc., Menu Foods Income Fund, and Menu Foods Holdings, Inc., is hereby extended or continued to the following dates: (a) in the event that the Court denies the "Motion to Remand", the answer (or other response) shall be due 30 days after the filing of the Court's written order denying Plaintiff's Motion; or (b) in the event that the Court grants the "Motion to Remand", the answer (or other response) shall be due 30 days after the filing of the order granting the motion. Notwithstanding the foregoing, if the "Motion to Remand" is denied and the Court grants the "Motion to Stay", Defendants' answer (or responsive pleading) shall be due in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Court's order; and in the event that the

"Motion to Stay" is denied, the answer (or responsive pleading) shall be due 30 days after the filing of the Court's written order.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawaii,

JUL 1 8 2007

EMILY A. GARDNER

Attorneys for Plaintiff

CHADP. LOVE

BARBARA J. KIRSCHENBAUM

Attorneys for Defendants Menu Foods, Inc., Menu Foods Holdings, Inc., and Menu Foods

Income Fund

APPROVED AND SO ORDERED:

JUDGE OF THE ABOVE-ENTITLED COURT

4 Sue Ellaberaller

YVONNE ORTIZ v. MENU FOODS, INC., ET AL., CIVIL NO. CV07-00323 DAE/LEK; Stipulation re Service of Complaint on Menu Foods Income Fund and Extension of Time For All Defendants to Answer or Otherwise Respond to Complaint and Order