1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ILDELFONSO BENITEZ,	No. 2:13-cv-2428-EFB P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is a federal prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 28	
18	U.S.C. § 1346(b). He has filed an application to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C.	
19	§ 1915. ECF No. 2.	
20	Plaintiff claims that venue is proper in this district because it is where his "medical	
21	conditions originated." ECF No. 1 at 2. Plaintiff is mistaken. Any civil action on a tort claim	
22	against the United States under 28 U.S.C. § 1346(b) may be prosecuted only in the judicial	
23	district where the plaintiff resides or where the act or omission complained of occurred. 28	
24	U.S.C. § 1402(b). Here, plaintiff resides at the Oakdale Federal Detention Center, in Louisiana.	
25	The act or omissions complained of occurred in Honolulu, Hawaii. See ECF No. 1 at 3-5	
26	(alleging that staff at the Honolulu Federal Detention Center caused plaintiff harm). Thus, venue	
27	appears to be proper in both Louisiana and Hawaii, but not in California.	
28	/////	
		1

1	The court finds that the convenience of the parties and witnesses and the interests of
2	justice would be better served by transferring this action to the United States District Court for the
3	District of Hawaii, where the acts or omissions allegedly occurred and where the majority of the
4	evidence and witnesses are most likely located.
5	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this case is transferred to the United States
6	District Court for the District of Hawaii. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 91, 1404(a).
7	Dated: December 3, 2013.
8	Elming F. Biemm
9	EDMUND F. BRENNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	2