

**ALSTON HUNT FLOYD & ING**

J. Blaine Rogers 8606  
 Claire Wong Black 9645  
 1001 Bishop Street, Suite 1800  
 Honolulu, Hawai'i 96813  
 Telephone: (808) 524-1800  
 Facsimile: (808) 524-4591  
 Email: jbr@ahfi.com  
 cblack@ahfi.com

*Attorneys for Amicus Curiae*  
 NEW YORK UNIVERSITY

**PROSKAUER ROSE LLP**

Steven E. Obus (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
 Seth D. Fiur (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
 Tiffany M. Woo (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
 Eleven Times Square  
 New York, New York 10036  
 Telephone: (212) 969-3000  
 Facsimile: (212) 969-2100  
 Email: SObus@proskauer.com  
 SFiur@proskauer.com  
 TWoo@proskauer.com

(See Next Page for Additional Counsel)

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT****FOR THE DISTRICT OF HAWAII**

STATE OF HAWAII and ISMAIL  
 ELSHIKH,

Plaintiffs,

v.

DONALD J. TRUMP, in his official  
 capacity as President of the United  
 States; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF  
 HOMELAND SECURITY; JOHN F.  
 KELLY, in his official capacity as  
 Secretary of Homeland Security; U.S.  
 DEPARTMENT OF STATE; REX  
 TILLERSON, in his official capacity as  
 Secretary of State; and the UNITED  
 STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:17-CV-00050 DKW-KSC

**MOTION FOR LEAVE TO  
 FILE BRIEF ON BEHALF OF  
 NEW YORK UNIVERSITY AS  
 AMICUS CURIAE IN SUPPORT  
 OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR  
 TEMPORARY RESTRAINING  
 ORDER; EXHIBIT A (PROPOSED  
 BRIEF); CERTIFICATE OF  
 SERVICE**

**ADDITIONAL COUNSEL FOR *AMICUS CURIAE***

**NEW YORK UNIVERSITY**

Terrance J. Nolan (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
General Counsel and Secretary  
New York University  
70 Washington Square South -1168  
New York, New York 10012  
Telephone: (212) 998-2257  
Facsimile: (212) 995-3048  
Email: terrance.nolan@nyu.edu

**MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE BRIEF ON BEHALF OF  
NEW YORK UNIVERSITY AS *AMICUS CURIAE* IN SUPPORT  
OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR TEMPORARY  
RESTRAINING ORDER**

New York University ("NYU"), by and through its undersigned counsel, respectfully requests leave of Court to file the accompanying proposed brief of *amicus curiae* in support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Temporary Restraining Order, filed March 8, 2017, Dkt. No. 65. This motion is made pursuant to Rule 7 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and LR7.1 and 7.2 of the Local Rules of Practice for the United District Court for the District Court of Hawai'i.

NYU has an especially strong interest in this matter. As of 2016, NYU hosted more international students than any other university in the United States. International students constituted 35% of NYU's graduate student population and 18% of its undergraduate student population. This includes approximately 120 students and ten scholars from the six countries named in the March 6, 2017 Executive Order titled "Protecting the Nation from Foreign Terrorist Entry into the United States" (the "Executive Order"), which is the subject of these continuing proceedings. NYU is deeply concerned that the Executive Order will have a significant adverse impact not merely on its numerous current and prospective international students and scholars, but on the ability of the University as a whole to fulfill its mission as a global educational institution for all of its constituents,

“fitting for all and graciously open to all.” See NYU Mission Statement, [www.nyu.edu/about](http://www.nyu.edu/about).

Among other things, the Executive Order will have a particular negative impact on prospective NYU students from the six Muslim-majority countries that continue to be singled out for adverse treatment, who may not be able to enroll despite their acceptance by the University. See, e.g., Samantha Michaels, “I’m an Iranian Woman Whose Dream Is to Study in America. Here’s My Message for Trump,” Mother Jones (2017), <http://www.motherjones.com/politics/2017/01/iranian-student-trump-immigration> (last visited March 6, 2017). In sum, NYU’s *amicus* brief would provide the Court with NYU’s unique perspective as a global university based in New York City.

A “district court has broad discretion to appoint *amici curiae*.” *Hoptowit v. Ray*, 682 F.2d 1237, 1260 (9th Cir. 1982), *abrogated on other grounds by Sandin v. Conner*, 512 U.S. 472 (1995). “An amicus brief should normally be allowed when . . . the amicus has unique information or perspective that can help the court beyond the help that the lawyers for the parties are able to provide.” *Cty Ass’n for Restoration of the Env. (CARE) v. DeRuyter Bros. Dairy*, 54 F. Supp. 2d 974, 975 (E.D. Wash. 1999) (citing *Northern Sec. Co. v. U.S.*, 191 U.S. 555, 556 (1903)); see also *In re Roxford Foods Litig.*, 790 F. Supp. 987, 997 (N.D. Cal.

1991) (stating that courts generally “have exercised great liberality in permitting an *amicus curiae* to file a brief in a pending case”).

For the foregoing reasons, NYU respectfully requests the Court’s permission to file a brief of *amicus curiae* attached hereto as Exhibit A on or before a date set by the Court.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawai‘i, March 14, 2017.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Claire Wong Black

J. BLAINE ROGERS  
CLAIRE WONG BLACK  
STEVEN E. OBUS  
SETH D. FIUR  
TIFFANY M. WOO  
TERRANCE J. NOLAN

Attorneys for *amici curiae*  
NEW YORK UNIVERSITY