

BRONSTER FUJICHAKU ROBBINS  
A Law Corporation

MARGERY S. BRONSTER 4750  
[mbronster@bfrhawaii.com](mailto:mbronster@bfrhawaii.com)  
MELINDA WEAVER 10464  
[mweaver@bfrhawaii.com](mailto:mweaver@bfrhawaii.com)  
1003 Bishop Street, Suite 2300  
Honolulu, HI 96813  
Telephone: (808) 524-5644  
Facsimile: (808) 599-1881

PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP

ROBERT A. ATKINS (*pro hac vice*)  
[ratkins@paulweiss.com](mailto:ratkins@paulweiss.com)  
ANDREW J. EHRLICH (*pro hac vice*)  
[aehrlich@paulweiss.com](mailto:aehrlich@paulweiss.com)  
PIETRO J. SIGNORACCI (*pro hac vice*)  
[psignoracci@paulweiss.com](mailto:psignoracci@paulweiss.com)  
1285 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, NY 10019-6064  
Telephone: (212) 373-3000  
Facsimile: (212) 492-3990

Attorneys for Proposed *Amici Curiae*  
Technology Companies and Other Businesses

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF HAWAII

STATE OF HAWAII, *et al.*,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
DONALD J. TRUMP, President of  
the United States, *et al.*,  
Defendants.

Case No. 1:17-cv-00050-DKW-KSC

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO  
INCLUDE ADDITIONAL AMICI  
TO AMICI CURIAE  
TECHNOLOGY COMPANIES  
AND OTHER BUSINESSES'  
AMICUS BRIEF [DKT. NO. 217];  
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Hearing

Date: March 15, 2017

Time: 9:30 a.m.

Judge: Hon. Derrick K. Watson

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO INCLUDE ADDITIONAL AMICI  
TO AMICI CURIAE TECHNOLOGY COMPANIES AND  
OTHER BUSINESSES' AMICUS BRIEF

*Amici curiae* Technology Companies and Other Businesses (“Tech  
Cos.”) respectfully move this Court for leave to include additional *amici*  
to its *Brief of Amici Curiae Technology Companies and Other Businesses*  
*in Support of Plaintiffs’ Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order;*  
*Appendix “A”* filed March 15, 2017 [Dkt. No. 217] (“Brief of Amicus  
Curiae”), specifically:

59. Affirm, Inc.
60. Ancestry.com, LLC
61. AppDynamics, Inc.
62. Codecademy
63. Knotel
64. Squarespace, Inc.
65. Thumbtack, Inc.
66. Uber Technologies, Inc.<sup>1</sup>
67. Via

The above companies' interest in this case is comparable to that of the current Tech Cos.' *amici*. However, given the volume of participants interested in joining the brief, some administrative hurdles prevented the inclusion of these nine companies at the time of filing. Now having cleared these checks, these companies respectfully request to be included in the Tech Cos.' Brief of Amicus Curiae.

---

<sup>1</sup>Bronster Fujichaku Robbins does not represent Uber Technologies, Inc.

The undersigned represent that Plaintiffs consent to the filing of this motion and that Defendants take no position with regard to this motion.

The addition of these companies does not change the substance of the Brief of Amicus Curiae. If this motion is granted, corporate disclosures will be filed pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 7.1 shortly thereafter. For the reasons stated above, Amici Tech Cos. respectfully request this Court grant their motion for leave to add these nine businesses to their Brief of Amicus Curiae.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawai'i, March 15, 2017.

/s/ Margery S. Bronster  
MARGERY S. BRONSTER  
MELINDA WEAVER  
ROBERT A. ATKINS\*  
ANDREW J. EHRLICH\*  
PIETRO J. SIGNORACCI\*

Attorneys for Proposed *Amici Curiae*  
Technology Companies and  
Other Businesses

*\*Pro hac vice*