

B

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000  
1001  
1002  
1003  
1004  
1005  
1006  
1007  
1008  
1009  
10010  
10011  
10012  
10013  
10014  
10015  
10016  
10017  
10018  
10019  
10020  
10021  
10022  
10023  
10024  
10025  
10026  
10027  
10028  
10029  
10030  
10031  
10032  
10033  
10034  
10035  
10036  
10037  
10038  
10039  
10040  
10041  
10042  
10043  
10044  
10045  
10046  
10047  
10048  
10049  
10050  
10051  
10052  
10053  
10054  
10055  
10056  
10057  
10058  
10059  
10060  
10061  
10062  
10063  
10064  
10065  
10066  
10067  
10068  
10069  
10069  
10070  
10071  
10072  
10073  
10074  
10075  
10076  
10077  
10078  
10079  
10079  
10080  
10081  
10082  
10083  
10084  
10085  
10086  
10087  
10088  
10089  
10089  
10090  
10091  
10092  
10093  
10094  
10095  
10096  
10097  
10098  
10099  
100100  
100101  
100102  
100103  
100104  
100105  
100106  
100107  
100108  
100109  
100110  
100111  
100112  
100113  
100114  
100115  
100116  
100117  
100118  
100119  
100120  
100121  
100122  
100123  
100124  
100125  
100126  
100127  
100128  
100129  
100130  
100131  
100132  
100133  
100134  
100135  
100136  
100137  
100138  
100139  
100140  
100141  
100142  
100143  
100144  
100145  
100146  
100147  
100148  
100149  
100150  
100151  
100152  
100153  
100154  
100155  
100156  
100157  
100158  
100159  
100160  
100161  
100162  
100163  
100164  
100165  
100166  
100167  
100168  
100169  
100169  
100170  
100171  
100172  
100173  
100174  
100175  
100176  
100177  
100178  
100179  
100179  
100180  
100181  
100182  
100183  
100184  
100185  
100186  
100187  
100188  
100189  
100189  
100190  
100191  
100192  
100193  
100194  
100195  
100196  
100197  
100198  
100199  
100199  
100200  
100201  
100202  
100203  
100204  
100205  
100206  
100207  
100208  
100209  
100209  
100210  
100211  
100212  
100213  
100214  
100215  
100216  
100217  
100218  
100219  
100219  
100220  
100221  
100222  
100223  
100224  
100225  
100226  
100227  
100228  
100229  
100229  
100230  
100231  
100232  
100233  
100234  
100235  
100236  
100237  
100238  
100239  
100239  
100240  
100241  
100242  
100243  
100244  
100245  
100246  
100247  
100248  
100249  
100249  
100250  
100251  
100252  
100253  
100254  
100255  
100256  
100257  
100258  
100259  
100259  
100260  
100261  
100262  
100263  
100264  
100265  
100266  
100267  
100268  
100269  
100269  
100270  
100271  
100272  
100273  
100274  
100275  
100276  
100277  
100278  
100279  
100279  
100280  
100281  
100282  
100283  
100284  
100285  
100286  
100287  
100288  
100289  
100289  
100290  
100291  
100292  
100293  
100294  
100295  
100296  
100297  
100298  
100299  
100299  
100300  
100301  
100302  
100303  
100304  
100305  
100306  
100307  
100308  
100309  
100309  
100310  
100311  
100312  
100313  
100314  
100315  
100316  
100317  
100318  
100319  
100319  
100320  
100321  
100322  
100323  
100324  
100325  
100326  
100327  
100328  
100329  
100329  
100330  
100331  
100332  
100333  
100334  
100335  
100336  
100337  
100338  
100339  
100339  
100340  
100341  
100342  
100343  
100344  
100345  
100346  
100347  
100348  
100349  
100349  
100350  
100351  
100352  
100353  
100354  
100355  
100356  
100357  
100358  
100359  
100359  
100360  
100361  
100362  
100363  
100364  
100365  
100366  
100367  
100368  
100369  
100369  
100370  
100371  
100372  
100373  
100374  
100375  
100376  
100377  
100378  
100379  
100379  
100380  
100381  
100382  
100383  
100384  
100385  
100386  
100387  
100388  
100389  
100389  
100390  
100391  
100392  
100393  
100394  
100395  
100396  
100397  
100398  
100399  
100399  
100400  
100401  
100402  
100403  
100404  
100405  
100406  
100407  
100408  
100409  
100409  
100410  
100411  
100412  
100413  
100414  
100415  
100416  
100417  
100418  
100419  
100419  
100420  
100421  
100422  
100423  
100424  
100425  
100426  
100427  
100428  
100429  
100429  
100430  
100431  
100432  
100433  
100434  
100435  
100436  
100437  
100438  
100439  
100439  
100440  
100441  
100442  
100443  
100444  
100445  
100446  
100447  
100448  
100449  
100449  
100450  
100451  
100452  
100453  
100454  
100455  
100456  
100457  
100458  
100459  
100459  
100460  
100461  
100462  
100463  
100464  
100465  
100466  
100467  
100468  
100469  
100469  
100470  
100471  
100472  
100473  
100474  
100475  
100476  
100477  
100478  
100479  
100479  
100480  
100481  
100482  
100483  
100484  
100485  
100486  
100487  
100488  
100489  
100489  
100490  
100491  
100492  
100493  
100494  
100495  
100496  
100497  
100498  
100499  
100499  
100500  
100501  
100502  
100503  
100504  
100505  
100506  
100507  
100508  
100509  
100509  
100510  
100511  
100512  
100513  
100514  
100515  
100516  
100517  
100518  
100519  
100519  
100520  
100521  
100522  
100523  
100524  
100525  
100526  
100527  
100528  
100529  
100529  
100530  
100531  
100532  
100533  
100534  
100535  
100536  
100537  
100538  
100539  
100539  
100540  
100541  
100542  
100543  
100544  
100545  
100546  
100547  
100548  
100549  
100549  
100550  
100551  
100552  
100553  
100554  
100555  
100556  
100557  
100558  
100559  
100559  
100560  
100561  
100562  
100563  
100564  
100565  
100566  
100567  
100568  
100569  
100569  
100570  
100571  
100572  
100573  
100574  
100575  
100576  
100577  
100578  
100579  
100579  
100580  
100581  
100582  
100583  
100584  
100585  
100586  
100587  
100588  
100589  
100589  
100590  
100591  
100592  
100593  
100594  
100595  
100596  
100597  
100598  
100599  
100599  
100600  
100601  
100602  
100603  
100604  
100605  
100606  
100607  
100608  
100609  
100609  
100610  
100611  
100612  
100613  
100614  
100615  
100616  
100617  
100618  
100619  
100619  
100620  
100621  
100622  
100623  
100624  
100625  
100626  
100627  
100628  
100629  
100629  
100630  
100631  
100632  
100633  
100634  
100635  
100636  
100637  
100638  
100639  
100639  
100640  
100641  
100642  
100643  
100644  
100645  
100646  
100647  
100648  
100649  
100649  
100650  
100651  
100652  
100653  
100654  
100655  
100656  
100657  
100658  
100659  
100659  
100660  
100661  
100662  
100663  
100664  
100665  
100666  
100667  
100668  
100669  
100669  
100670  
100671  
100672  
100673  
100674  
100675  
100676  
100677  
100678  
100679  
100679  
100680  
100681  
100682  
100683  
100684  
100685  
100686  
100687  
100688  
100689  
100689  
100690  
100691  
100692  
100693  
100694  
100695  
100696  
100697  
100698  
100699  
100699  
100700  
100701  
100702  
100703  
100704  
100705  
100706  
100707  
100708  
100709  
100709  
100710  
100711  
100712  
100713  
100714  
100715  
100716  
100717  
100718  
100719  
100719  
100720  
100721  
100722  
100723  
100724  
100725  
100726  
100727  
10072

1 Diet. Menu Foods distributes its dog and cat food throughout the United States to retailers such  
 2 as Wal-Mart, Kroger and Safeway.

3 3. Dog and cat food that the Defendant produced caused an unknown number of  
 4 dogs and cats to become ill, and many of them to die.

5 4. To date, Menu Foods has recalled 50 brands of dog food and 40 brands of cat  
 6 food that have sickened and killed dogs and cats. All recalled food to date is of the "cuts and  
 7 gravy wet" style.

8 5. As a result of the Defendant's actions, Plaintiffs and other Class members have  
 9 suffered economic damage.

## 10 II. PARTIES

11 6. Plaintiff Stacey Heller has at all material times been a resident of Pulaski,  
 12 Virginia. Ms. Heller had a pet that became sick and died after eating Defendant's pet food.

13 7. Plaintiff Toinette Robinson has at all material times been a resident of Truckee,  
 14 California. Ms. Robinson had a pet that became sick and died after eating Defendant's pet food.

15 8. Plaintiff David Rapp has at all material times been a resident of Hannover  
 16 Township, Pennsylvania. Mr. Rapp had a pet that became sick and died after eating Defendant's  
 17 pet food.

18 9. Plaintiffs Cecily and Terrence Mitchell have at all material times been a resident  
 19 of Seattle, Washington. The Mitchells had a pet that became sick and died after eating  
 20 Defendant's pet food.

21 10. Defendant Menu Foods is, upon information and belief, a corporation organized  
 22 under the laws of Canada that transacts business in Washington State.

## 23 III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

24 11. Subject-matter jurisdiction is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1) because the  
 25 Plaintiffs and Defendant are citizens of different states and the amount in controversy exceeds  
 26

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT - 2  
 Case No.



HAGENS BERMAN  
 SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

1301 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 2900 • SEATTLE, WA 98101  
 TELEPHONE (206) 623-7292 • FACSIMILE (206) 623-0594

1 \$75,000.00. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the state-law claims under 28 U.S.C.  
 2 § 1337.

3       12.     Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. § 1331(a) because the  
 4 Defendant systematically and continuously sold its product within this district and Defendant  
 5 transacts business within this district.

6                   **IV.     CLASS ACTION ALLEGATION**

7       13.     Plaintiffs bring this suit as a class action under Rules 23(a), (b)(1), (b)(2) and  
 8 (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on behalf of themselves and a Plaintiff Class (the  
 9 "Class") composed of all persons who purchased any dog or cat food that was produced by the  
 10 Defendant and/or has had a dog or cat become ill or die as a result of eating the food. Plaintiffs  
 11 reserve the right to modify this class definition before moving for class certification.

12       14.     The Class is ascertainable and there is a well-defined community of interest  
 13 among the members of the Class.

14       15.     Membership in the Class is so numerous as to make it impractical to bring all  
 15 Class members before the Court. The identity and exact number of Class members is unknown  
 16 but is estimated to be at least in the hundreds, if not thousands considering the fact that Menu  
 17 Foods has identified 50 dog foods and 40 cat foods that may be causing harm to pets.

18       16.     Plaintiffs' claims are typical of those of other Class members, all of whom have  
 19 suffered harm due to Defendant's uniform course of conduct.

20       17.     Plaintiffs are members of the Class.

21       18.     There are numerous and substantial questions of law and fact common to all of  
 22 the members of the Class that control this litigation and predominate over any questions affecting  
 23 only individual members of the Class. The common issues include, but are not limited to, the  
 24 following:

25                   (a)     Was the Defendant's dog and cat food materially defective, and unfit for  
 26 use as dog or cat food?

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT - 3  
 Case No.



HAGENS BERMAN  
 SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

1301 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 2900 • SEATTLE, WA 98101  
 TELEPHONE (206) 623-7292 • FACSIMILE (206) 623-0594

(b) Whether Defendant breached any contract, implied contract or warranties related to the sale of the dog and cat food?

(c) Did the Defendant's dog and cat food cause Plaintiffs' and other Class members' pets to become ill?

(d) Were Plaintiffs and other Class members damaged, and, if so, what is the proper measure thereof?

(e) The appropriate form of injunctive, declaratory and other relief.

19. The prosecution of separate actions by members of the Class would create a risk of establishing incompatible standards of conduct for the Defendant – for example, one court might decide that the Defendant is obligated under the law to pay damages to Class members, and another might decide that the Defendant is not so obligated. Individual actions may, as a practical matter, be dispositive of the interests of the Class.

20. Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class in that they have no interests that are antagonistic to other members of the Class and have retained counsel competent in the prosecution of class actions to represent themselves and the Class.

21. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Given (i) the substantive complexity of this litigation; (ii) the size of individual Class members' claims; and (iii) the limited resources of the Class members, few, if any, Class members could afford to seek legal redress individually for the wrongs Defendant has committed against them.

22. Without a class action, the Class will continue to suffer damage, Defendant's violations of the law or laws will continue without remedy, and Defendant will continue to enjoy the fruits and proceeds of its unlawful misconduct.

23. This action will foster an orderly and expeditious administration of Class claims, economies of time, effort and expense, and uniformity of decision.

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT - 4**



HAGEN'S BERMAN  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

**SUBOR SHAFIRO LLC**  
1301 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 2900 • SEATTLE, WA 98101

24. Inferences and presumptions of materiality and reliance are available to obtain class-wide determinations of those elements within the Class claims, as are accepted methodologies for class-wide proof of damages; alternatively, upon adjudication of Defendant's common liability, the Court can efficiently determine the claims of the individual Class members.

25. This action presents no difficulty that would impede the Court's management of it as a class action, and a class action is the best (if not the only) available means by which members of the Class can seek legal redress for the harm caused them by Defendant.

26. In the absence of a class action, Defendant would be unjustly enriched because it would be able to retain the benefits and fruits of its wrongful conduct.

27. The Claims in this case are also properly certifiable under applicable law.

## **V. STATEMENT OF FACTS**

28. Plaintiff Stacey Heller was the owner of a female cat named Callie.

29. Ms. Heller purchased Special Kitty wet cat food from Wal-Mart for Callie to consume.

30. Callie ate the Special Kitty brand wet-style cat food for several years before her death.

31. Callie became extremely ill during the week of March 12, 2007. On March 14, 2007, Ms. Heller took Callie to a veterinarian, who informed her that Callie had suffered kidney failure, also known as acute renal failure. On March 19, 2007, Callie had to be euthanized.

32. Plaintiff Toinette Robinson was the owner of a female dog named Lhotse.

33. Ms. Robinson purchased Priority U.S. brand wet dog food from Safeway for Lhotse to consume.

34. Lhotse ate the Priority U.S. brand wet dog food before her death.

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT - 5**  
Case No.



HAGENS BERMAN  
SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

1301 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 2900 • SEATTLE, WA 98101  
TELEPHONE (206) 623-7292 • FACSIMILE (206) 623-0594

1           35. Lhotse became extremely ill during the end of January 2007. On February 1,  
 2 2007, Ms. Robinson took Lhotse to a veterinarian, who informed her that Lhotse had suffered  
 3 kidney failure. On February 15, 2007, Lhotse had to be euthanized.

4           36. Plaintiff David Rapp was the owner of a male dog named Buck.

5           37. Mr. Rapp purchased Weiss Total Pet wet-style dog food for Buck to consume.

6           38. Buck became extremely ill in early February 2007. On February 10, 2007, Mr.  
 7 Rapp took Buck to a veterinarian, who informed him that Buck had suffered kidney failure.  
 8 Buck died soon afterwards.

9           39. Plaintiffs Cecily and Terrence Mitchell were the owners of a male cat named  
 10 Yoda.

11           40. The Mitchells purchased Iams wet cat food from QFC for Yoda to consume.

12           41. Yoda became extremely ill and died after eating Iams wet pouches.

13           42. In March 2007, Menu Foods recalled 50 brands of cuts and gravy wet-style dog  
 14 food and 40 brands of cuts and gravy wet-style cat food that had caused dogs and pets to become  
 15 ill. One common symptom in the sick animals was kidney failure.

16           43. The Special Kitty wet cat food from Wal-Mart that Callie consumed for several  
 17 years before her death is one of the brands that Menu Foods recalled.

18           44. The Priority U.S. brand wet dog food from Safeway that Lhotse consumed before  
 19 her death is also one of the brands that Menu Foods recalled.

20           45. The Weiss Total Pet wet-style dog food that Buck consumed before his death is  
 21 another of the brands that Menu Foods recalled.

22           46. The Iams wet cat food from QFC that Yoda consumed years before his death is  
 23 also one of the brands that Menu Foods recalled.

24           47. As a result of Defendant's acts and omissions Plaintiffs and other Class members  
 25 have suffered economic damage.

26  
 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT - 6  
 Case No.



HAGENS BERMAN  
 SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

1301 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 2900 • SEATTLE, WA 98101  
 TELEPHONE (206) 623-7292 • FACSIMILE (206) 623-0594

## VI. BREACH OF CONTRACT

48. Plaintiffs reallege all prior allegations as though fully stated herein.

49. Plaintiffs and Class members purchased pet food produced by the Defendant based on the understanding that the food was safe for their pets to consume.

50. The pet food produced by the Defendant was not safe for pets to consume and caused dogs and cats to become ill. The unsafe nature of the pet food constituted a breach of contract.

51. As a result of the breach Plaintiffs and Class members suffered damages that may fairly and reasonably be considered as arising naturally from the breach or may reasonably be supposed to have been in the contemplation of the parties, at the time they made the contract, as the probable result of the breach of it.

## VII. UNJUST ENRICHMENT

52. Plaintiffs reallege all prior allegations as though fully stated herein.

53. Defendant was and continues to be unjustly enriched at the expense of Plaintiffs and other Class members.

54. Defendant should be required to disgorge this unjust enrichment.

## **VIII. UNLAWFUL, DECEPTIVE AND UNFAIR BUSINESS PRACTICES**

55. Plaintiffs reallege all prior allegations as though fully stated herein.

56. Defendant's sale of tainted pet food constitutes an unlawful, deceptive and unfair business act within the meaning of the Washington Consumer Protection Act, RCW 19.86 *et seq.*, and similar statutory enactments of other states (including consumer protection and consumer sales practice acts).

57. Defendant's sale of hazardous pet food has the capacity to deceive a substantial portion of the public and to affect the public interest.

58. As a result of Defendant's unfair or deceptive acts or practices, Plaintiffs and other Class members suffered injuries in an amount to be proven at trial.

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT - 7**  
Case No.



HAGENS BERMAN

SOBO & SHAFIRO LLP  
1301 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 2900 • SEATTLE, WA 98101

## IX. BREACH OF WARRANTIES

59. Plaintiffs reallege all prior allegations as though fully stated herein.

60. Cat food and dog food produced by Menu Foods are "goods" within the meaning of Uniform Commercial Code Article 2.

61. Defendant's conduct as described herein constitutes breach of an implied or express warranty of affirmation.

62. Defendant's conduct as described herein constitutes breach of an implied warranty of merchantability.

63. Defendant's conduct as described herein constitutes breach of an implied warranty of fitness for a particular purpose.

64. As a proximate result of the aforementioned wrongful conduct and breach, Plaintiffs and other Class members have suffered damages in an amount to be proven at trial. Defendant had actual or constructive notice of such damages.

## X. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs and Class members request that the Court enter an order of judgment against Defendant including the following:

Certification of the action as a class action under Rule 23(b)(1) - (3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure with respect to the claims for damages, and appointment of Plaintiffs as Class Representative and their counsel of record as Class Counsel:

Actual damages (including all general, special, incidental, and consequential damages), statutory damages (including treble damages), punitive damages (as allowed by the law(s) of the states having a legally sufficient connection with Defendant and its acts or omissions) and such other relief as provided by the statutes cited herein:

Prejudgment and post-judgment interest on such monetary relief:

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT - 8**  
Case No.



HAGENS BERMAN  
SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

1301 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 2900 • SEATTLE, WA 98101  
TELEPHONE (206) 623-7292 • FACSIMILE (206) 623-0594

Equitable relief in the form of restitution and/or disgorgement of all unlawful or illegal profits received by Defendant as a result of the unfair, unlawful and/or deceptive conduct alleged herein;

### Other appropriate injunctive relief:

The costs of bringing this suit, including reasonable attorneys' fees; and

Such other relief as this Court may deem just, equitable and proper.

DATED this 27th day of March, 2007.

## HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

By: /s/ Steve W. Berman   
Steve W. Berman, WSBA #12536  
1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900  
Seattle, Washington 98101  
Telephone: (206) 623-7292  
Facsimile: (206) 623-0594  
E-mail: steve@hbsslaw.com

MYERS & COMPANY, P.L.L.C.  
Michael David Myers  
1809 Seventh Avenue, Suite 700  
Seattle, Washington 98101  
Telephone: (206) 398-1188  
Facsimile: (206) 400-1112  
E-mail: [mmyers@meyers-company.com](mailto:mmyers@meyers-company.com)

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT - 9**  
Case No.



HAGENS BERMAN  
SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

1301 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 2900 • SEATTLE, WA 98101  
TELEPHONE (206) 623-7292 • FACSIMILE (206) 623-0594



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
      FILED  
      LODGED  
      ENTERED  
      RECEIVED

★ MAR 27 2007 ★

AT SEATTLE  
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
BY DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

SUZANNE E. JOHNSON and CRAIG R.  
KLEMMAN, individually and on behalf of all  
others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

MENU FOODS, a foreign corporation,

Defendant.

No. CV 07-0455 JCC

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs Suzanne E. Johnson and Craig R. Klemann ("Plaintiffs"), by and through their undersigned attorneys, bring this civil action for damages on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated against the above-named Defendant and complain and allege as follows:

I. NATURE OF ACTION

1. Plaintiffs bring this action as a Class Action under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on behalf of all persons who purchased any dog or cat food that was produced by defendant Menu Foods and/or has had a dog or cat become ill or die as a result of eating the food.

2. The Defendant is a producer of, *inter alia*, dog and cat food. Menu Foods produces dog and cat food sold under familiar brand names such as Iams, Eukanuba and Science

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT - 1  
Case No.



HAGENS BERMAN  
SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

1301 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 2900 • SEATTLE, WA 98101  
TELEPHONE (206) 623-7292 • FACSIMILE (206) 623-0594

1 Diet. Menu Foods distributes its dog and cat food throughout the United States to retailers such  
 2 as Wal-Mart, Kroger and Safeway.

3       3. Dog and cat food that the Defendant produced caused an unknown number of  
 4 dogs and cats to become ill, and many of them to die.

5       4. To date, Menu Foods has recalled 50 brands of dog food and 40 brands of cat  
 6 food that have sickened and killed dogs and cats. All recalled food to date is of the "cuts and  
 7 gravy wet" style.

8       5. As a result of the Defendant's actions, Plaintiffs and other Class members have  
 9 suffered economic damage.

## 10           II. PARTIES

11       6. Plaintiffs Suzanne E. Johnson and Craig R. Klemann have at all material times  
 12 been residents of Meridian, Idaho. Ms. Johnson and Mr. Klemann have a pet that became sick  
 13 after eating Defendant's pet food.

14       7. Defendant Menu Foods is, upon information and belief, a corporation organized  
 15 under the laws of Canada that transacts business in Washington State.

## 16           III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

17       8. Subject-matter jurisdiction is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1) because the  
 18 Plaintiffs and Defendant are citizens of different states and the amount in controversy exceeds  
 19 \$75,000.00. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the state-law claims under 28 U.S.C.  
 20 § 1337.

21       9. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. § 1331(a) because the  
 22 Defendant systematically and continuously sold its product within this district and Defendant  
 23 transacts business within this district.

## 24           IV. CLASS ACTION ALLEGATION

25       10. Plaintiffs bring this suit as a class action under Rules 23(a), (b)(1), (b)(2) and  
 26 (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on behalf of themselves and a Plaintiff Class (the

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT - 2  
 Case No.



HAGENS BERMAN  
 SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

1301 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 2900 • SEATTLE, WA 98101  
 TELEPHONE (206) 623-7292 • FACSIMILE (206) 623-0594

1 "Class") composed of all persons who purchased any dog or cat food that was produced by the  
 2 Defendant and/or has had a dog or cat become ill or die as a result of eating the food. Plaintiffs  
 3 reserve the right to modify this class definition before moving for class certification.

4 11. The Class is ascertainable and there is a well-defined community of interest  
 5 among the members of the Class.

6 12. Membership in the Class is so numerous as to make it impractical to bring all  
 7 Class members before the Court. The identity and exact number of Class members is unknown  
 8 but is estimated to be at least in the hundreds, if not thousands considering the fact that Menu  
 9 Foods has identified 50 dog foods and 40 cat foods that may be causing harm to pets.

10 13. Plaintiffs' claims are typical of those of other Class members, all of whom have  
 11 suffered harm due to Defendant's uniform course of conduct.

12 14. Plaintiffs are members of the Class.

13 15. There are numerous and substantial questions of law and fact common to all of  
 14 the members of the Class that control this litigation and predominate over any questions affecting  
 15 only individual members of the Class. The common issues include, but are not limited to, the  
 16 following:

17 (a) Was the Defendant's dog and cat food materially defective, and unfit for  
 18 use as dog or cat food?

19 (b) Whether Defendant breached any contract, implied contract or warranties  
 20 related to the sale of the dog and cat food?

21 (c) Did the Defendant's dog and cat food cause Plaintiffs' and other Class  
 22 members' pets to become ill?

23 (d) Were Plaintiffs and other Class members damaged, and, if so, what is the  
 24 proper measure thereof?

25 (e) The appropriate form of injunctive, declaratory and other relief.

26

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT - 3  
 Case No.



HAGENS BERMAN  
 SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

1301 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 2900 • SEATTLE, WA 98101  
 TELEPHONE (206) 623-7292 • FACSIMILE (206) 623-0594

1       16. The prosecution of separate actions by members of the Class would create a risk  
 2 of establishing incompatible standards of conduct for the Defendant – for example, one court  
 3 might decide that the Defendant is obligated under the law to pay damages to Class members,  
 4 and another might decide that the Defendant is not so obligated. Individual actions may, as a  
 5 practical matter, be dispositive of the interests of the Class.

6       17. Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class in that they  
 7 have no interests that are antagonistic to other members of the Class and have retained counsel  
 8 competent in the prosecution of class actions to represent themselves and the Class.

9       18. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient  
 10 adjudication of this controversy. Given (i) the substantive complexity of this litigation; (ii) the  
 11 size of individual Class members' claims; and (iii) the limited resources of the Class members,  
 12 few, if any, Class members could afford to seek legal redress individually for the wrongs  
 13 Defendant has committed against them.

14       19. Without a class action, the Class will continue to suffer damage, Defendant's  
 15 violations of the law or laws will continue without remedy, and Defendant will continue to enjoy  
 16 the fruits and proceeds of its unlawful misconduct.

17       20. This action will foster an orderly and expeditious administration of Class claims,  
 18 economies of time, effort and expense, and uniformity of decision.

19       21. Inferences and presumptions of materiality and reliance are available to obtain  
 20 class-wide determinations of those elements within the Class claims, as are accepted  
 21 methodologies for class-wide proof of damages; alternatively, upon adjudication of Defendant's  
 22 common liability, the Court can efficiently determine the claims of the individual Class  
 23 members.

24       22. This action presents no difficulty that would impede the Court's management of it  
 25 as a class action, and a class action is the best (if not the only) available means by which  
 26 members of the Class can seek legal redress for the harm caused them by Defendant.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT - 4  
 Case No.



HAGENS BERMAN  
 SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

1301 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 2900 • SEATTLE, WA 98101  
 TELEPHONE (206) 623-7292 • FACSIMILE (206) 623-0594

23. In the absence of a class action, Defendant would be unjustly enriched because it would be able to retain the benefits and fruits of its wrongful conduct.

24. The Claims in this case are also properly certifiable under applicable law.

## V. STATEMENT OF FACTS

25. Plaintiffs Suzanne E. Johnson and Craig R. Klemann are owners of a male cat named Ollie.

26. Ms. Johnson and Mr. Klemann purchased Special Kitty wet cat food from Wal-Mart and Pet Pride wet cat food from Fred Meyer for Ollie to consume.

27. Ollie ate the Special Kitty and Pet Pride brand wet-style cat food for several years before becoming ill.

28. Ollie became extremely ill after consuming Defendant's cat food and now suffers from kidney problems.

29. In March 2007, Menu Foods recalled 50 brands of cuts and gravy wet-style dog food and 40 brands of cuts and gravy wet-style cat food that had caused dogs and pets to become ill. One common symptom in the sick animals was kidney failure.

30. The Special Kitty wet cat food from Wal-Mart and the Pet Pride wet cat food from Fred Meyer that Ollie consumed for several years before becoming ill are brands that Menu Foods recalled.

31. As a result of Defendant's acts and omissions Plaintiffs and other Class members have suffered economic damage.

## VI. BREACH OF CONTRACT

32. Plaintiffs reallege all prior allegations as though fully stated herein.

33. Plaintiffs and Class members purchased pet food produced by the Defendant based on the understanding that the food was safe for their pets to consume.

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT - 5**  
Case No.



HAGENS BERMAN

SOBOE SHAPIRO LLP

34. The pet food produced by the Defendant was not safe for pets to consume and caused dogs and cats to become ill. The unsafe nature of the pet food constituted a breach of contract.

35. As a result of the breach Plaintiffs and Class members suffered damages that may fairly and reasonably be considered as arising naturally from the breach or may reasonably be supposed to have been in the contemplation of the parties, at the time they made the contract, as the probable result of the breach of it.

## VII. UNJUST ENRICHMENT

36. Plaintiffs reallege all prior allegations as though fully stated herein.

37. Defendant was and continues to be unjustly enriched at the expense of Plaintiffs and other Class members.

38. Defendant should be required to disgorge this unjust enrichment.

## VIII. UNLAWFUL, DECEPTIVE AND UNFAIR BUSINESS PRACTICES

39. Plaintiffs reallege all prior allegations as though fully stated herein.

40. Defendant's sale of tainted pet food constitutes an unlawful, deceptive and unfair business act within the meaning of the Washington Consumer Protection Act, RCW 19.86 *et seq.*, and similar statutory enactments of other states (including consumer protection and consumer sales practice acts).

41. Defendant's sale of hazardous pet food has the capacity to deceive a substantial portion of the public and to affect the public interest.

42. As a result of Defendant's unfair or deceptive acts or practices, Plaintiffs and other Class members suffered injuries in an amount to be proven at trial.

## IX. BREACH OF WARRANTIES

43. Plaintiffs reallege all prior allegations as though fully stated herein.

44. Cat food and dog food produced by Menu Foods are "goods" within the meaning of Uniform Commercial Code Article 2.

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT - 6**  
Case No.



HAGENS BERMAN  
SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

1301 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 2900 • SEATTLE, WA 98101  
TELEPHONE (206) 423-7292 • FACSIMILE (206) 423-0594

1       45.    Defendant's conduct as described herein constitutes breach of an implied or  
 2 express warranty of affirmation.

3       46.    Defendant's conduct as described herein constitutes breach of an implied  
 4 warranty of merchantability.

5       47.    Defendant's conduct as described herein constitutes breach of an implied  
 6 warranty of fitness for a particular purpose.

7       48.    As a proximate result of the aforementioned wrongful conduct and breach,  
 8 Plaintiffs and other Class members have suffered damages in an amount to be proven at trial.  
 9 Defendant had actual or constructive notice of such damages.

10                   **X.    PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

11                   WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs and Class members request that the Court enter an order of  
 12 judgment against Defendant including the following:

13                   Certification of the action as a class action under Rule 23(b)(1) - (3) of the Federal Rules  
 14 of Civil Procedure with respect to the claims for damages, and appointment of Plaintiffs as Class  
 15 Representative and their counsel of record as Class Counsel;

16                   Actual damages (including all general, special, incidental, and consequential damages),  
 17 statutory damages (including treble damages), punitive damages (as allowed by the law(s) of the  
 18 states having a legally sufficient connection with Defendant and its acts or omissions) and such  
 19 other relief as provided by the statutes cited herein;

20                   Prejudgment and post-judgment interest on such monetary relief;

21                   Equitable relief in the form of restitution and/or disgorgement of all unlawful or illegal  
 22 profits received by Defendant as a result of the unfair, unlawful and/or deceptive conduct alleged  
 23 herein;

24                   Other appropriate injunctive relief;

25                   The costs of bringing this suit, including reasonable attorneys' fees; and

26                   Such other relief as this Court may deem just, equitable and proper.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT - 7  
 Case No.

001958-11 161455 V1




HAGENS BERMAN  
 SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

1301 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 2900 • SEATTLE, WA 98101  
 TELEPHONE (206) 623-7292 • FACSIMILE (206) 623-0594

1 DATED this 27th day of March, 2007.  
2  
3

4 HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP  
5  
6

7 By:   
8 Steve W. Berman, WSBA #12536  
9 1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900  
10 Seattle, Washington 98101  
11 Telephone: (206) 623-7292  
12 E-mail: [steve@hbsslaw.com](mailto:steve@hbsslaw.com)

13 Philip H. Gordon  
14 Bruce S. Bistline  
15 Gordon Law Offices  
16 623 West Hays St.  
17 Boise, ID 83702  
18 Telephone: (208) 345-7100  
19 Facsimile: (206) 623-0594  
20 E-mail: [pgordon@gordonlawoffices.com](mailto:pgordon@gordonlawoffices.com)

21 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT - 8  
Case No.



HAGENS BERMAN  
SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

1301 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 2900 • SEATTLE, WA 98101  
TELEPHONE (206) 623-7292 • FACSIMILE (206) 623-0594



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
\_\_\_\_\_

FILED  
LODGED  
ENTERED  
RECEIVED

★ MAR 27 2007 ★

AT SEATTLE  
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
BY DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

AUDREY KORNELIUS and BARBARA SMITH, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

MENU FOODS, a foreign corporation,

Defendant.

No. C07-0454 MJP

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs Audrey Kornelius and Barbara Smith ("Plaintiffs"), by and through their undersigned attorneys, bring this civil action for damages on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated against the above-named Defendant and complain and allege as follows:

**I. NATURE OF ACTION**

1. Plaintiffs bring this action as a Class Action under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on behalf of all persons who purchased any dog or cat food that was produced by defendant Menu Foods and/or has had a dog or cat become ill or die as a result of eating the food.

2. The Defendant is a producer of, *inter alia*, dog and cat food. Menu Foods produces dog and cat food sold under familiar brand names such as Iams, Eukanuba and Science

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT - 1  
Case No.



HAGENS BERMAN  
SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

1301 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 2900 • SEATTLE, WA 98101  
TELEPHONE (206) 623-7292 • FACSIMILE (206) 623-0594

1 Diet. Menu Foods distributes its dog and cat food throughout the United States to retailers such  
 2 as Wal-Mart, Kroger and Safeway.

3 3. Dog and cat food that the Defendant produced caused an unknown number of  
 4 dogs and cats to become ill, and many of them to die.

5 4. To date, Menu Foods has recalled 50 brands of dog food and 40 brands of cat  
 6 food that have sickened and killed dogs and cats. All recalled food to date is of the "cuts and  
 7 gravy wet" style.

8 5. As a result of the Defendant's actions, Plaintiffs and other Class members have  
 9 suffered economic damage.

## 10 II. PARTIES

11 6. Plaintiff Audrey Kornelius has at all material times been a resident of Ferndale,  
 12 Washington. Ms. Kornelius has a pet that became sick after eating Defendant's pet food.

13 7. Plaintiff Barbara Smith has at all material times been a resident of Bremerton,  
 14 Washington. Ms. Smith has a pet that became sick after eating Defendant's pet food.

15 8. Defendant Menu Foods is, upon information and belief, a corporation organized  
 16 under the laws of Canada that transacts business in Washington State.

## 17 III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

18 9. Subject-matter jurisdiction is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1) because the  
 19 Plaintiffs and Defendant are citizens of different states and the amount in controversy exceeds  
 20 \$75,000.00. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the state-law claims under 28 U.S.C.  
 21 § 1337.

22 10. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a) because the  
 23 Defendant systematically and continuously sold its product within this district and Defendant  
 24 transacts business within this district.

25  
 26  
 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT - 2  
 Case No.



HAGENS BERMAN  
 SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

1301 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 2900 • SEATTLE, WA 98101  
 TELEPHONE (206) 623-7292 • FACSIMILE (206) 623-0594

#### IV. CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

11. Plaintiffs bring this suit as a class action under Rules 23(a), (b)(1), (b)(2) and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on behalf of themselves and a Plaintiff Class (the "Class") composed of all persons who purchased any dog or cat food that was produced by the Defendant and/or has had a dog or cat become ill or die as a result of eating the food. Plaintiffs reserve the right to modify this class definition before moving for class certification.

12. The Class is ascertainable and there is a well-defined community of interest among the members of the Class.

13. Membership in the Class is so numerous as to make it impractical to bring all Class members before the Court. The identity and exact number of Class members is unknown but is estimated to be at least in the hundreds, if not thousands considering the fact that Menu Foods has identified 50 dog foods and 40 cat foods that may be causing harm to pets.

14. Plaintiffs' claims are typical of those of other Class members, all of whom have suffered harm due to Defendant's uniform course of conduct.

15. Plaintiffs are members of the Class.

16. There are numerous and substantial questions of law and fact common to all of the members of the Class that control this litigation and predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the Class. The common issues include, but are not limited to, the following:

(a) Was the Defendant's dog and cat food materially defective, and unfit for use as dog or cat food?

(b) Whether Defendant breached any contract, implied contract or warranties related to the sale of the dog and cat food?

(c) Did the Defendant's dog and cat food cause Plaintiffs' and other Class members' pets to become ill?

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT - 3**  
Case No.



HAGENS BERMAN

101 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 2900 • SEATTLE, WA 98101

(d) Were Plaintiffs and other Class members damaged, and, if so, what is the proper measure thereof?

(e) The appropriate form of injunctive, declaratory and other relief.

17. The prosecution of separate actions by members of the Class would create a risk of establishing incompatible standards of conduct for the Defendant – for example, one court might decide that the Defendant is obligated under the law to pay damages to Class members, and another might decide that the Defendant is not so obligated. Individual actions may, as a practical matter, be dispositive of the interests of the Class.

18. Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class in that they have no interests that are antagonistic to other members of the Class and have retained counsel competent in the prosecution of class actions to represent themselves and the Class.

19. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Given (i) the substantive complexity of this litigation; (ii) the size of individual Class members' claims; and (iii) the limited resources of the Class members, few, if any, Class members could afford to seek legal redress individually for the wrongs Defendant has committed against them.

20. Without a class action, the Class will continue to suffer damage, Defendant's violations of the law or laws will continue without remedy, and Defendant will continue to enjoy the fruits and proceeds of its unlawful misconduct.

\* 21. This action will foster an orderly and expeditious administration of Class claims, economies of time, effort and expense, and uniformity of decision.

22. Inferences and presumptions of materiality and reliance are available to obtain class-wide determinations of those elements within the Class claims, as are accepted methodologies for class-wide proof of damages; alternatively, upon adjudication of Defendant's common liability, the Court can efficiently determine the claims of the individual Class members.

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT - 4**  
Case No.



HAGENS BERMAN  
SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

1301 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 2900 • SEATTLE, WA 98101  
TELEPHONE (206) 623-7292 • FACSIMILE (206) 623-0594

23. This action presents no difficulty that would impede the Court's management of it as a class action, and a class action is the best (if not the only) available means by which members of the Class can seek legal redress for the harm caused them by Defendant.

24. In the absence of a class action, Defendant would be unjustly enriched because it would be able to retain the benefits and fruits of its wrongful conduct.

25. The Claims in this case are also properly certifiable under applicable law.

## V. STATEMENT OF FACTS

26. Plaintiff Audrey Kornelius is the owner of a puppy named Shiwa.

27. Ms. Kornelius purchased Nutro Natural Choice Puppy for Shiwa to consume.

28. Shewa became extremely ill after consuming Defendant's dog food.

29. Plaintiff Barbara Smith is the owner of a cat named Neko.

30. Ms. Smith purchased Priority U.S. brand cat food from Safeway for Neko to consume.

31. Neko became extremely ill after consuming Defendant's cat food. Ms. Smith's veterinarian has informed her that Neko will need monitoring for life.

32. In March 2007, Menu Foods recalled 50 brands of cuts and gravy wet-style dog food and 40 brands of cuts and gravy wet-style cat food that had caused dogs and pets to become ill. One common symptom in the sick animals was kidney failure.

33. The Nutro Natural Choice Puppy food that Shiwa consumed is one of the brands that Menu Foods recalled.

34. The Priority U.S. brand cat food from Safeway that Neko consumed is also one of the brands that Menu Foods recalled.

35. As a result of Defendant's acts and omissions Plaintiffs and other Class members have suffered economic damage.

## VI. BREACH OF CONTRACT

36. Plaintiffs reallege all prior allegations as though fully stated herein.

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT - 5**  
**Case No.**



HAGENS BERMAN  
SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

1301 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 2900 • SEATTLE, WA 98101  
TELEPHONE (206) 623-7292 • FACSIMILE (206) 623-0594

37. Plaintiffs and Class members purchased pet food produced by the Defendant based on the understanding that the food was safe for their pets to consume.

38. The pet food produced by the Defendant was not safe for pets to consume and caused dogs and cats to become ill. The unsafe nature of the pet food constituted a breach of contract.

39. As a result of the breach Plaintiffs and Class members suffered damages that may fairly and reasonably be considered as arising naturally from the breach or may reasonably be supposed to have been in the contemplation of the parties, at the time they made the contract, as the probable result of the breach of it.

## VII. UNJUST ENRICHMENT

40. Plaintiffs reallege all prior allegations as though fully stated herein.

41. Defendant was and continues to be unjustly enriched at the expense of Plaintiffs and other Class members.

42. Defendant should be required to disgorge this unjust enrichment.

### **VIII. UNLAWFUL, DECEPTIVE AND UNFAIR BUSINESS PRACTICES**

43. Plaintiffs reallege all prior allegations as though fully stated herein.

44. Defendant's sale of tainted pet food constitutes an unlawful, deceptive and unfair business act within the meaning of the Washington Consumer Protection Act, RCW 19.86 *et seq.*, and similar statutory enactments of other states (including consumer protection and consumer sales practice acts).

45. Defendant's sale of hazardous pet food has the capacity to deceive a substantial portion of the public and to affect the public interest.

46. As a result of Defendant's unfair or deceptive acts or practices, Plaintiffs and other Class members suffered injuries in an amount to be proven at trial.

## IX. BREACH OF WARRANTIES

47. Plaintiffs reallege all prior allegations as though fully stated herein.

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT - 6**  
Case No.



HAGENS BERMAN  
FORD SHAPIRO II

1301 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 2900 • SEATTLE, WA 98101  
TELEPHONE (206) 467-3032 • FACSIMILE (206) 467-0584

1       48. Cat food and dog food produced by Menu Foods are "goods" within the meaning  
 2 of Uniform Commercial Code Article 2.

3       49. Defendant's conduct as described herein constitutes breach of an implied or  
 4 express warranty of affirmation.

5       50. Defendant's conduct as described herein constitutes breach of an implied  
 6 warranty of merchantability.

7       51. Defendant's conduct as described herein constitutes breach of an implied  
 8 warranty of fitness for a particular purpose.

9       52. As a proximate result of the aforementioned wrongful conduct and breach,  
 10 Plaintiffs and other Class members have suffered damages in an amount to be proven at trial.  
 11 Defendant had actual or constructive notice of such damages.

12                   **X. PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

13       WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs and Class members request that the Court enter an order of  
 14 judgment against Defendant including the following:

15       Certification of the action as a class action under Rule 23(b)(1) - (3) of the Federal Rules  
 16 of Civil Procedure with respect to the claims for damages, and appointment of Plaintiffs as Class  
 17 Representative and their counsel of record as Class Counsel;

18       Actual damages (including all general, special, incidental, and consequential damages),  
 19 statutory damages (including treble damages), punitive damages (as allowed by the law(s) of the  
 20 states having a legally sufficient connection with Defendant and its acts or omissions) and such  
 21 other relief as provided by the statutes cited herein;

22       Prejudgment and post-judgment interest on such monetary relief;

23       Equitable relief in the form of restitution and/or disgorgement of all unlawful or illegal  
 24 profits received by Defendant as a result of the unfair, unlawful and/or deceptive conduct alleged  
 25 herein;

26       Other appropriate injunctive relief;

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT - 7  
 Case No.




HAGENS BERMAN  
 SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

1301 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 2900 • SEATTLE, WA 98101  
 TELEPHONE (206) 623-7292 • FACSIMILE (206) 623-0594

1 The costs of bringing this suit, including reasonable attorneys' fees; and  
2 Such other relief as this Court may deem just, equitable and proper.

3 DATED this 27th day of March, 2007.

4 HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

5  
6 By: /s/ Steve W. Berman   
7 Steve W. Berman, WSBA #12536  
8 1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900  
9 Seattle, Washington 98101  
Telephone: (206) 623-7292  
Facsimile: (206) 623-0594  
E-mail: [steve@hbsslaw.com](mailto:steve@hbsslaw.com)

10  
11 MYERS & COMPANY, P.L.L.C.  
12 Michael David Myers  
13 WSBA No. 22486  
14 Myers & Company, P.L.L.C.  
15 1809 Seventh Avenue, Suite 700  
Seattle, Washington 98101  
Telephone: (206) 398-1188  
Facsimile: (206) 400-1112  
E-mail: [mmyers@myers-company.com](mailto:mmyers@myers-company.com)

16 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT - 8  
Case No.



HAGENS BERMAN  
SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

1301 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 2900 • SEATTLE, WA 98101  
TELEPHONE (206) 623-7292 • FACSIMILE (206) 623-0594



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

MICHELE SUGGETT and DON JAMES,  
individually and on behalf of all similarly  
situated;

Case No.:

**Plaintiffs,**

## **CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

MENU FOODS, a foreign corporation; THE IAMS COMPANY, a foreign corporation; EUKANUBA, a foreign corporation; DOG FOOD PRODUCERS NUMBERS 1-100 and CAT FOOD PRODUCERS 1-100; and DOES 1-100;

## Defendants.

## I. NATURE OF ACTION

1. Plaintiffs bring this action as a Class Action pursuant to FRCP 23 on behalf of all persons who purchased any dog or cat food produced by any of the above-named defendants and/or had a dog or cat become ill or die as a result of eating same.
2. The defendants are producers and distributors of, inter alia, dog and cat food. Menu Foods produces dog and cat food under familiar brand names such as Iams, Eukanuba and Science Diet. Menu Foods distributes its dog and cat food throughout the United

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT - 1**

ANIMAL LAW OFFICES OF  
ADAM P. KARP, ESQ.

114 W. Magnolia St., Ste. 425 • Bellingham, WA 98225  
(360) 738-7273 • Facsimile: (360) 392-3936  
adam@animal-lawyer.com

1 States to retailers such as Wal-Mart, Kroger and Safeway.

2

3 3. Dog and cat food which the defendants produced has caused an unknown number of  
2 dogs and cats to become ill and die.

4

5 4. To date, Menu Foods has recalled 50 brands of dog food and 40 brands of cat food  
4 which are causing dogs and cats to become ill. All recalled food to date is of the "cuts  
and gravy wet" style.

6

5 5. As a result of the Defendants' actions, Plaintiffs and other Class members have suffered  
6 noneconomic and economic damage.

7 **II. JURISDICTION, PARTIES, AND VENUE**

8

9 6. This court has subject-matter jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1)  
9 based on diversity and an amount of controversy in excess of \$75,000. This court has  
supplemental jurisdiction over the state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1337.

10

11 7. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331(a) because the  
11 Defendants systematically and continuously sold their product within this district, and  
Defendants transact business within this district.

12

13 8. Eleven-year-old, female canine named Shasta ("Shasta") was regarded by Plaintiffs as  
13 their ward, sentient personality, and member of their family.

14

14 9. Plaintiffs MICHELE SUGGETT and DON JAMES ("Plaintiffs") are, and at all times  
herein were, residents of this judicial district and the owners/guardians of Shasta.

15

15 10. Defendant Menu Foods is, upon information and belief, a corporation organized under  
16 the laws of Canada that transacts business in Washington State and Oregon State.

16

17 11. Defendant The Iams Company, is upon information and belief, a foreign corporation that  
17 transacts business in Washington State and Oregon State.

18

18 12. Defendant Eukanuba, is upon information and belief, a foreign corporation that transacts  
19 business in Washington State and Oregon State.

20

21 13. There are numerous other persons or entities, DOG FOOD PRODUCERS, CAT FOOD  
21 PRODUCERS, AND DOES 1-100, identities presently unknown to Plaintiffs who are,  
22 and were at all times mentioned herein, acting in concert or are jointly and severally  
22 liable with the above named Defendants. Each of the DOE Defendants sued herein under  
23 a fictitious name is responsible in some manner for the events and occurrences referred to  
herein. When the true names, capacities and involvement of said Defendants are  
23 ascertained, Plaintiffs will seek leave to amend the complaint accordingly.

24 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT - 2

ANIMAL LAW OFFICES OF  
ADAM P. KARP, ESQ.

114 W. Magnolia St., Ste. 425 • Bellingham, WA 98225  
(360) 738-7273 • Facsimile: (360) 392-3936  
adam@animal-lawyer.com

### **III. CLASS ACTION ALLEGATION**

14. Plaintiffs bring this suit as a class action pursuant to Rules 23(a), (b)(1), (b)(2) and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on behalf of themselves and a Plaintiff Class (the "Class") composed of all persons who purchased any dog or cat food which was produced by the defendants and/or has had a dog or cat become ill or die as a result of eating the food. Plaintiffs reserve the right to modify this class definition prior to moving for class certification.

15. This action has been brought and may be properly maintained as a class action pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for the following reasons:

a. The Class is ascertainable and there is a well-defined community of interest among the members of the Class;

b. Membership in the Class is so numerous as to make it impractical to bring all Class members before the Court. The identity and exact number of Class members is unknown but is estimated to be at least in the hundreds, if not thousands considering the fact that Menu Foods has identified 50 dog foods and 40 cat foods which may be causing harm to companion animals.

c. Plaintiffs' claims are typical of those of other Class members, all of whom have suffered harm due to Defendants' uniform course of conduct.

d. Plaintiffs are members of the Class.

e. There are numerous and substantial questions of law and fact common to all of the members of the Class which control this litigation and predominate over any individual issues pursuant to Rule 23(b)(3). The common issues include, but are not limited to, the following:

- i. Did the defendants make representations regarding the safety of the dog and cat food they produced and sold?
- ii. Were the defendants' representations regarding the safety of the dog and cat food false?
- iii. Did the defendants' dog and cat food cause or allow Plaintiffs and other Class members' companion animals to become ill or die?
- iv. Did the defendants produce a hazardous product for nonhuman animal consumption? If so, did this occur as a result of negligent, grossly negligent, reckless, or intentional conduct?

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT - 3**

ANIMAL LAW OFFICES OF  
ADAM P. KARP, ESQ.

114 W. Magnolia St., Ste. 425 • Bellingham, WA 98225  
(360) 738-7273 • Facsimile: (360) 392-3936  
adam@animal-lawyer.com

v. Were Plaintiffs and other Class members damaged?

f. These and other questions of law or fact which are common to the members of the Class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the Class;

g. Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class in that Plaintiffs have no interests that are antagonistic to other members of the Class and has retained counsel competent in the prosecution of class actions to represent themselves and the Class;

h. Without a class action, the Class will continue to suffer damage, Defendants' violations of the law or laws will continue without remedy, and Defendants will continue to enjoy the fruits and proceeds of their unlawful misconduct;

i. Given (i) the substantive complexity of this litigation; (ii) the size of individual Class members' claims; and (iii) the limited resources of the Class members, few, if any, Class members could afford to seek legal redress individually for the wrongs Defendants have committed against them;

j. This action will foster an orderly and expeditious administration of Class claims, economies of time, effort and expense, and uniformity of decision;

k. Inferences and presumptions of materiality and reliance are available to obtain class-wide determinations of those elements within the Class claims, as are accepted methodologies for class-wide proof of damages; alternatively, upon adjudication of Defendants' common liability, the Court can efficiently determine the claims of the individual Class members;

1. This action presents no difficulty that would impede the Court's management of it as a class action, and a class action is the best (if not the only) available means by which members of the Class can seek legal redress for the harm caused them by Defendants.

m. In the absence of a class action, Defendants would be unjustly enriched because they would be able to retain the benefits and fruits of their wrongful conduct.

16. The Claims in this case are also properly certifiable under applicable law.

#### **IV. GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

17. Plaintiffs were the owners and guardians of Shasta, a female Pomeranian.

18. Plaintiffs purchased contaminated Eukanuba Adult Bites in Gravy (lamb & rice, beef & gravy, savory chicken) ("contaminated food") on or about February 16, 2007 from Petsmart.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT - 4

ANIMAL LAW OFFICES OF  
ADAM P. KARP, ESQ.

114 W. Magnolia St., Ste. 425 • Bellingham, WA 98225  
(360) 738-7273 • Facsimile: (360) 392-3936  
adam@animal-lawyer.com

1 19. Plaintiffs started feeding the contaminated food to Shasta on or about March 15, 2007.

2 20. After eating the contaminated food, Shasta became extremely ill, causing the Plaintiffs to  
3 take her to a veterinarian on or about March 19, 2007. The veterinarian informed them  
4 that Shasta suffered devastatingly acute renal failure. On or about March 20, 2007, Shasta  
5 arrested and died.

6 21. Plaintiffs witnessed Shasta's deceased body shortly after she died and before a substantial  
7 change in her condition and location.

8 22. In March 2007 Menu Foods recalled 50 brands of cuts and gravy wet-style dog food and  
9 40 brands of cuts and gravy wet-style cat food which had caused dogs and cats to become  
10 ill. One common symptom in the sick animals was kidney failure, also known as acute  
11 renal failure.

12 23. The contaminated food that Shasta consumed is one of the brands that Menu Foods  
13 recalled.

14 24. The Plaintiffs lost Shasta's intrinsic value, as based on her unique qualities,  
15 characteristics, training, and bond, as well as the loss of her utility, companionship, love,  
16 affection, and solace. At the time of her death, Shasta had no fair market value and could  
17 not be replaced or reproduced. Rather, she had an intrinsic value.

18 25. The Plaintiffs owned and formed a relationship with Shasta for 11 years. She was a close  
19 family companion throughout that period and had special value, aiding Plaintiffs in their  
20 enjoyment of life, well-being, growth, development, and daily activities.

21 26. As a result of Defendants' actions causing Shasta's death, the Plaintiffs have suffered  
22 loss of enjoyment of life, interference with use and quiet enjoyment of their realty and  
23 personality, and general damages pertaining to loss of use.

24 27. As a result of Defendants' acts and omissions the Plaintiffs and other Class members  
25 have suffered emotional and economic damage, including but not limited to mental  
anguish, loss or reduction of enjoyment of life, interference with use and quiet enjoyment  
of realty and/or personality, wage loss, current and future veterinary and health-related  
bills, depreciation in or extinguishment of intrinsic, special, unique, or peculiar value,  
loss of use and/or companionship, actual, incidental, and consequential damages.

**FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF – UNJUST ENRICHMENT**

22 28. Defendants were and continue to be unjustly enriched at the expense of the Plaintiffs and  
23 other Class members.

24 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT - 5

25 ANIMAL LAW OFFICES OF  
ADAM P. KARP, ESQ.  
114 W. Magnolia St., Ste. 425 • Bellingham, WA 98225  
(360) 738-7273 • Facsimile: (360) 392-3936  
adam@animal-lawyer.com

1 29. Defendants should be required to disgorge this unjust enrichment.

2 **SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF – UNLAWFUL, DECEPTIVE, UNFAIR BUSINESS**  
 3 **PRACTICES**

4 30. Defendants' sale of tainted pet food constitutes an unlawful, deceptive and unfair  
 5 business act within the meaning of the Washington Consumer Protection Act, RCW  
 6 19.86 *et seq.*, and similar statutory enactments of other states (including consumer  
 7 protection and consumer sales practice acts).

8 31. Defendants' sale of hazardous dog and cat food has the capacity to deceive a substantial  
 9 portion of the public and to affect the public interest.

10 32. As a result of Defendants' unfair or deceptive acts or practices, Plaintiffs and other class  
 11 members suffered injuries in an amount to be proven at trial.

12 **THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF – BREACH OF WARRANTY**

13 33. Cat food and dog food produced by Menu Foods are "goods" within the meaning of  
 14 Uniform Commercial Code Article 2.

15 34. Defendants' conduct as described herein constitutes breach of an implied or express  
 16 warranty of affirmation.

17 35. Defendants' conduct as described herein constitutes breach of an implied warranty of  
 18 merchantability.

19 36. Defendants' conduct as described herein constitutes breach of an implied warranty of  
 20 fitness for a particular purpose.

21 37. As a proximate result of the aforementioned wrongful conduct and breach, Plaintiffs and  
 22 other class members have suffered damages in an amount to be proven at trial.  
 23 Defendants had actual or constructive notice of such damages.

24 **FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF – DECLARATORY RELIEF**

25 38. This court has the authority to render a declaratory judgment pertaining to Plaintiffs and  
 26 Class Members' rights, status and other legal relations.

27 39. Plaintiffs and Class Members are entitled to a declaratory judgment that, as a matter of  
 28 law, their companion animals had no fair market value, no replacement value, but, rather,  
 29 an intrinsic, peculiar, unique, or special value premised on their non-fungible and  
 30 irreplaceable nature.

31 **FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF – NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION**

32 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT - 6

33 ANIMAL LAW OFFICES OF  
 34 ADAM P. KARP, ESQ.

35 114 W. Magnolia St., Ste. 425 • Bellingham, WA 98225  
 36 (360) 738-7273 • Facsimile: (360) 392-3936  
 37 adam@animal-lawyer.com

1 40. Defendants owed Plaintiffs and class members a duty to exercise reasonable care in  
2 representing the safety of its dog and cat foods.

3 41. Defendants falsely represented that its dog and cat food was safe for consumption by  
4 dogs and cats.

5 42. In reality, defendants' dog and cat food caused dogs and cats to become ill and, in some  
6 cases, to die.

7 43. Plaintiffs and class members reasonably relied on the information provided by  
8 Defendants regarding the safety of its dog and cat food.

9 44. As a proximate cause of Defendants' false representations, Plaintiffs and other Class  
10 members suffered damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

**SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF – NEGLIGENT INFILCTION OF EMOTIONAL  
DISTRESS**

10 45. IN THE ALTERNATIVE that Defendants' acts are not deemed intentional or reckless,  
11 Defendants' conduct was negligent insofar as they failed to take reasonable care to avoid  
12 causing Plaintiff and Class Members emotional distress in relation to the failure to warn  
13 and failure to produce safe food for nonhuman animal consumption. These actions or  
14 inactions caused Plaintiff and Class Members emotional distress. Said emotional distress  
15 was manifested by objective symptomology by some of the Class Members.

**SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF – NUISANCE**

16 46. Defendants' behavior described above constitutes a private nuisance and public  
17 nuisance.

18 47. Under Washington law, specifically RCW 7.48.010 and 7.48.150 (private nuisance) and  
19 RCW 7.48.130 and RCW 7.48.210 (public nuisance), and similar anti-nuisance laws (at  
20 common law and by statute), Defendants are liable to plaintiffs for general damages  
21 sustained by virtue of their omission to perform a duty, which act, namely, allowing  
contaminated and poisoned food products to enter Plaintiff and Class Members'  
households under false pretenses of safety, resulting in pain, suffering, illness, and death  
to Class Members' companion animals, annoyed, injured, and endangered the comfort,  
repose, and safety of Plaintiffs and Class Members, essentially interfering in the  
comfortable enjoyment of their real and personal property and their lives.

**EIGHTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF – BREACH OF CONTRACT**

22 48. Plaintiffs and Class members purchased dog and cat food produced by the defendants

23 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT - 7

ANIMAL LAW OFFICES OF  
ADAM P. KARP, ESQ.

114 W. Magnolia St., Ste. 425 • Bellingham, WA 98225  
(360) 738-7273 • Facsimile: (360) 392-3936  
adam@animal-lawyer.com

1 based on the understanding that the food was safe for their companion animals to  
 2 consume.

3 49. The dog and cat food produced by the defendants was not safe for companion animals to  
 5 consume and caused dogs and cats to become ill or die. The unsafe nature of the pet  
 6 food constituted a breach of contract.

7 50. As a result of the breach, Plaintiffs and Class members suffered damages which may  
 8 fairly and reasonably be considered as arising naturally from the breach or may  
 9 reasonably be supposed to have been in the contemplation of the parties, at the time they  
 made the contract, as the probable result of the breach of it.

10 51. To the extent defendants' breach was reckless, wanton, or intentional and defendants  
 11 knew or had reason to know that, when the contract was made, breach would cause  
 12 mental suffering for reasons other than pecuniary loss, defendants inflicted upon  
 13 Plaintiffs and Class members emotional distress.

14 **NINTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF – GROSS NEGLIGENCE**

15 52. In the event Defendants are not found to have acted recklessly, Plaintiffs and Class  
 16 Members plead IN THE ALTERNATIVE that Defendants knew and/or should have  
 17 known that there was a strong possibility that harm would be inflicted on Plaintiffs and  
 18 Class Members as a result of their disregard in ensuring that safe foodstuffs entered the  
 19 commercial dog and cat food supply, recalling the tainted product before the illness and  
 20 death toll rose further, and/or not warning consumers of the tainted product.

21 53. Defendants acted indifferently to the high degree of manifest danger and erroneous  
 22 destruction of sentient property, to wit, Class Members' companion animals, to which  
 23 Plaintiffs and Class Members would be and was exposed by such conduct.

24 54. The proximate cause of Plaintiffs and Class Members' injuries was the grossly negligent  
 25 conduct of Defendants in the above regard.

19 **TENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF – PRODUCTS LIABILITY**

20 55. Defendants are strictly liable under RCW 7.72.030 (and analogous products liability  
 21 statutes around the nation) for proximately causing harm to Plaintiffs by manufacturing a  
 22 product that was not reasonable safe in construction.

23 56. The proximate cause of Plaintiffs and Class Members' injuries was the grossly negligent  
 24 conduct of Defendants in the above regard.

25 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT - 8

ANIMAL LAW OFFICES OF  
 ADAM P. KARP, ESQ.  
 114 W. Magnolia St., Ste. 425 • Bellingham, WA 98225  
 (360) 738-7273 • Facsimile: (360) 392-3936  
 adam@animal-lawyer.com

1 57. Defendants may also be liable for design defects in the production of the contaminated  
 2 food, as well as failing to warn of the design and/or manufacturing defects, making them  
 3 liable under RCW 7.72.030 (and analogous products liability statutes around the nation).

4 **RESERVATION OF RIGHTS**

5 58. Plaintiffs and Class Members reserve the right to amend the complaint to include  
 6 additional causes of action and allegations as they are discovered in the course of  
 7 litigation.

8 **PRAYER**

9 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants as follows:

10 1. Certification of the action as a class action pursuant to Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal  
 11 Rules of Civil Procedure with respect to the claims for damages, and appointment of  
 12 Plaintiffs as Class Representatives and their counsel of record as Class Counsel;

13 2. Actual damages (including all general, special, incidental, and consequential  
 14 damages), statutory damages (including treble damages), punitive damages (as  
 15 allowed by the law(s) of the states having a legally sufficient connection with  
 16 defendants and their acts or omissions) and such other relief as provided by the  
 17 statutes cited herein;

18 3. For economic damages, representing the intrinsic, special, peculiar, or unique value  
 19 of the Plaintiffs and Class Members' injured and/or killed companion animals,  
 20 subject to proof and modification at trial;

21 4. For special and general damages relating to loss of the Plaintiffs' and Class  
 22 Members' companion animals' utility (e.g., companionship) from date of loss to date  
 23 judgment is entered;

24 5. For noneconomic damages, including emotional distress, interference with the  
 25 Plaintiffs and Class Members' lives, and the use and quiet enjoyment of their realty  
 26 and personality, loss and/or reduction of enjoyment of life, subject to proof and  
 27 modification at trial;

28 6. For incidental and consequential damages arising from breach of contract;

29 7. For burial, afterdeath, and death investigation expenses;

30 8. For wage loss and other aftercare expenses incurred during the companion animals'

31 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT - 9

ANIMAL LAW OFFICES OF  
 ADAM P. KARP, ESQ.

114 W. Magnolia St., Ste. 425 • Bellingham, WA 98225  
 (360) 738-7273 • Facsimile: (360) 392-3936  
 adam@animal-lawyer.com

1 convalescence;

2

3 9. Prejudgment and post-judgment interest on such monetary relief;

4 10. Equitable relief in the form of restitution and/or disgorgement of all unlawful or

5 illegal profits received by Defendants as a result of the unfair, unlawful and/or

6 deceptive conduct alleged herein;

7 11. Other appropriate injunctive relief;


8 12. The costs of bringing this suit, including reasonable attorneys' fees; AND

9 13. Such other relief as this Court may deem just, equitable and proper.

10 14. **NOTICE: Plaintiffs intend to seek damages in excess of \$10,000. Accordingly,**  
11 **this case is not subject to RCW 4.84.250-.280.**

12 Dated this March 27, 2007.

13 ANIMAL LAW OFFICES

14   
/s/ Adam P. Karp.

15 Adam P. Karp, WSBA No. 28622  
16 Attorney for Plaintiffs and Class Members  
17 114 W. Magnolia St., Ste. 425  
18 Bellingham, WA 98225  
19 (888) 430-0001  
20 Fax: (866) 652-3832  
21 adam@animal-lawyer.com

22 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT - 10

23

24 ANIMAL LAW OFFICES OF  
25 ADAM P. KARP, ESQ.  
114 W. Magnolia St., Ste. 425 • Bellingham, WA 98225  
(360) 738-7273 • Facsimile: (360) 392-3936  
adam@animal-lawyer.com