## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

# FOR THE DISTRICT OF IDAHO

PRESS-A-PRINT INTERNATIONAL LLC, a California limited liability company,

Plaintiff,

v.

TIM RICHARDSON and VINCE
WHALEY dba GENTLEMAN
BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT, and
PREMIER PRINTING
PROFESSIONALS; GENTLEMAN
BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT, LLC, an
Ohio limited liability company,

Defendants.

Case No. 4:09-CV-557-BLW

### PERMANENT INJUNCTION

Pursuant to the parties' Stipulation for Permanent Injunction (Dkt. 31), the Court hereby orders as follows:

# **ORDER**

## IT IS ORDERED:

1. Defendants are permanently enjoined from purchasing Plaintiff's federally registered trademarks, Reg. Nos. 2,255,358 and 3,034,714, as Internet search keywords from any Internet search engines.

### **PERMANENT INJUNCTION - 1**

- Defendants are enjoined from using Plaintiff's federally registered trademarks, Reg. Nos. 2,255,358 and 3,034,714, as metatags within Defendants' website located at URL <a href="http://www.thepremieropportunity.com">http://www.thepremieropportunity.com</a>.
- 3. Defendants shall not so purchase or use as Internet key word search terms any variations of the Plaintiffs common law service mark "PRESS-A-PRINT" or any terms that are confusingly similar to the Plaintiff's registered trademarks and common law service mark.

  Accordingly, Defendants shall not purchase the following phrases as search terms: "press a print", "press a print", "press print," "print press" or any other combination of the words "press" and "print."
- 4. To the extent Defendants comply with the terms of this Permanent
  Injunction and yet still appear in a Google "Sponsored Link" resulting from
  an Internet search for Plaintiff or its goods or services if such is a result of
  (a) the functionality of the Google search engine, (b) Defendants'
  purchasing generic industry words or combinations of generic industry
  words (including the separate words "print" or "printing"), which
  combinations are not confusingly similar to the Plaintiff's registered
  trademarks or common law service mark, or (c) both, then the result is
  neither attributable to nor caused by Defendants or their actions in violation

of this Permanent Injunction.

- 5. LIQUIDATED DAMAGES: In the event that a court of competent jurisdiction finds that the Defendants violated the terms of this Permanent Injunction, damages shall be assessed in the amount of TWENTY -FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$25,000.00) in liquidated damages because parties understand and agree that actual damaged caused by a violation would be difficult to prove and that this amount is a reasonable approximation of the damages a violation would cause.
- 6. Neither party shall publicly announce or disclose, or issue a press release announcing, the fact of entry or the terms of this Permanent Injunction.
- 7. ATTORNEY FEES: In the event that a court of competent jurisdiction finds that the Defendants violated the terms of this Permanent Injunction, the Defendants shall reimburse the Plaintiff for its attorney fees and costs incurred in conjunction with enforcing the Permanent Injunction.
- 8. Each party shall bear its own attorney fees and costs incurred in this action.

DATED: January 14, 2011

B. LENN WINMILL

Chief U.S. District Court Judge