E-FILED Monday, 09 May, 2016 03:02:40 PM Clerk, U.S. District Court, ILCD

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

BANCO PANAMERICANO, INC., a South Dakota Corporation,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) Case No. 13-1064
CITY OF PEORIA, ILLINOIS, an Illinois Municipal Corporation, and COUNTY OF PEORIA, ILLINOIS, a unit of local government in the State of Illinois,))))
Defendants.)

<u>ORDER</u>

The Court has carefully and repeatedly reviewed the summary judgment pleadings and exhibits in this matter in an effort to resolve the cross-motions claiming entitlement to judgment as a matter of law. However, there are some issues that have not been adequately briefed by either side to permit resolution on the record before the Court. Accordingly, the Court requests simultaneous supplemental briefing by the parties by May 25, 2016, on the following issues:

- (1) What efforts did Banco take to protect its collateral following RTC's default on the Financing Agreement and the lifting of the automatic stay?
- Opes Banco's security interest in the property owned by RTC translate into an ownership right that could be exercised under ¶ 5(b) or any other provision of the Lease? If so, how?
- (3) What notice, if any, was given to Banco regarding the provisions of the Lease and ¶ 5(b) in particular? Was Banco entitled to notice?

(4) What is the applicability, if any, of the portion of \P 5(b) providing that title and

ownership of any property not removed within 90 days after termination passes to

Lessor?

(5) What notice, if any, was given to Banco of the termination of the Lease? Was Banco

entitled to notice?

(6) Has either party waived its rights to the property in question by failing to properly

exercise such rights?

(7) Specifically identify precisely what property is or is not at issue.

ENTERED this 9th day of May, 2016.

s/ James E. Shadid

James E. Shadid

Chief United States District Judge