

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

OSCAR SOTO,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	16-CV-1383
	)	
DANIEL CONN,	)	
ANDREW TILDEN,	)	
P.A. OJELADE,	)	
P.A. CARUSCO,	)	
LOUIS SHICKER,	)	
WEXFORD HEALTH	)	
SOURCES, INC.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

**MERIT REVIEW OPINION**

**SUE E. MYERSCOUGH, U.S. District Judge.**

Plaintiff proceeds pro se from his incarceration in Pontiac Correctional Center. His Complaint is before the Court for a merit review pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A. This section requires the Court to identify cognizable claims stated by the Complaint or dismiss claims that are not cognizable.<sup>1</sup> In reviewing the complaint, the Court accepts the factual allegations as true, liberally

---

<sup>1</sup> A prisoner who has had three prior actions dismissed for failure to state a claim or as frivolous or malicious can no longer proceed in forma pauperis unless the prisoner is under “imminent danger of serious physical injury.” 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

construing them in Plaintiff's favor and taking Plaintiff's pro se status into account. Turley v. Rednour, 729 F.3d 645, 649 (7<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2013). However, conclusory statements and labels are insufficient. Enough facts must be provided to "state a claim for relief that is plausible on its face." Alexander v. U.S., 721 F.3d 418, 422 (7<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2013)(quoted cite omitted).

On November 3, 2014, Plaintiff injured his shoulder while playing basketball in Pontiac Correctional Center. Physician Assistants Ojelade and Carusco, believing Plaintiff's shoulder to be dislocated, tried to manipulate Plaintiff's shoulder back in place for 45 minutes, causing Plaintiff excruciating pain and injuring Plaintiff further. Plaintiff was then sent out for an x-ray and diagnosed with an acromioclavicular joint separation (AC joint separation). He was prescribed pain killers and given a sling, but the sling was confiscated five days later during a shakedown. After repeated requests for treatment, Plaintiff was examined in the Spring of 2016 by an orthopedist who confirmed that Plaintiff had a AC joint separation (grade three). The orthopedist prescribed physical therapy and a follow-up in three months. Plaintiff still has not received physical therapy or a follow-up appointment.

These allegations state a constitutional claim for deliberate indifference to Plaintiff's serious medical need for adequate treatment for his AC joint separation. However, the allegations do not state a claim against Defendant Daniel Conn, the alleged Chief Executive Officer of Wexford Health Sources, Inc. Defendant Conn is not liable for the constitutional violations of his subordinates solely because he was in charge, and there is no plausible inference that he was involved in the denial of care to Plaintiff. Matthews v. City of East St. Louis, 675 F.3d 703, 708 (7th Cir. 2012) ("To show personal involvement, the supervisor must 'know about the conduct and facilitate it, approve it, condone it, or turn a blind eye for fear of what they might see.'") (quoted cite omitted). Wexford Health Sources, Inc., will remain in as a Defendant for purposes of injunctive relief.

Plaintiff has named as a Defendant Dr. Louis Shicker, but, upon information and belief, Dr. Shicker is no longer the Medical Director for the Illinois Department of Corrections. Dr. Shicker will remain in the case for now, and the Court will add Dr. Steve Meeks as a Defendant, who is currently listed on the IDOC's website as the Chief of Health Services. [www.illinois.gov/idoc/programs](http://www.illinois.gov/idoc/programs).

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:**

1) Pursuant to its merit review of the Complaint under 28 U.S.C. § 1915A, the Court finds that Plaintiff states a constitutional claim for deliberate indifference to his serious medical needs. This case proceeds solely on the claims identified in this paragraph. Any additional claims shall not be included in the case, except at the Court's discretion on motion by a party for good cause shown or pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15.

2) Defendant Conn is dismissed, without prejudice, for failure to state a claim against him.

3) Dr. Steve Meeks is added as a Defendant.

4) This case is now in the process of service. Plaintiff is advised to wait until counsel has appeared for Defendants before filing any motions, in order to give Defendants notice and an opportunity to respond to those motions. Motions filed before Defendants' counsel has filed an appearance will generally be denied as premature. Plaintiff need not submit any evidence to the Court at this time, unless otherwise directed by the Court.

5) The Court will attempt service on Defendants by mailing each Defendant a waiver of service. Defendants have 60 days from

the date the waiver is sent to file an Answer. If Defendants have not filed Answers or appeared through counsel within 90 days of the entry of this order, Plaintiff may file a motion requesting the status of service. After Defendants have been served, the Court will enter an order setting discovery and dispositive motion deadlines.

6) With respect to a Defendant who no longer works at the address provided by Plaintiff, the entity for whom that Defendant worked while at that address shall provide to the Clerk said Defendant's current work address, or, if not known, said Defendant's forwarding address. This information shall be used only for effectuating service. Documentation of forwarding addresses shall be retained only by the Clerk and shall not be maintained in the public docket nor disclosed by the Clerk.

7) Defendants shall file an answer within 60 days of the date the waiver is sent by the Clerk. A motion to dismiss is not an answer. The answer should include all defenses appropriate under the Federal Rules. The answer and subsequent pleadings shall be to the issues and claims stated in this Opinion. In general, an answer sets forth Defendants' positions. The Court does not rule on the merits of those positions unless and until a motion is filed by

Defendants. Therefore, no response to the answer is necessary or will be considered.

8) This District uses electronic filing, which means that, after Defense counsel has filed an appearance, Defense counsel will automatically receive electronic notice of any motion or other paper filed by Plaintiff with the Clerk. Plaintiff does not need to mail to Defense counsel copies of motions and other papers that Plaintiff has filed with the Clerk. However, this does not apply to discovery requests and responses. Discovery requests and responses are not filed with the Clerk. Plaintiff must mail his discovery requests and responses directly to Defendants' counsel. Discovery requests or responses sent to the Clerk will be returned unfiled, unless they are attached to and the subject of a motion to compel. Discovery does not begin until Defense counsel has filed an appearance and the Court has entered a scheduling order, which will explain the discovery process in more detail.

9) Counsel for Defendants is hereby granted leave to depose Plaintiff at his place of confinement. Counsel for Defendants shall arrange the time for the deposition.

10) Plaintiff shall immediately notify the Court, in writing, of any change in his mailing address and telephone number.

Plaintiff's failure to notify the Court of a change in mailing address or phone number will result in dismissal of this lawsuit, with prejudice.

11) If a Defendant fails to sign and return a waiver of service to the clerk within 30 days after the waiver is sent, the Court will take appropriate steps to effect formal service through the U.S. Marshal's service on that Defendant and will require that Defendant to pay the full costs of formal service pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(d)(2).

12) Within 10 days of receiving from Defendants' counsel an authorization to release medical records, Plaintiff is directed to sign and return the authorization to Defendants' counsel.

13) **The clerk is directed to enter the standard order granting Plaintiff's in forma pauperis petition and assessing an initial partial filing fee, if not already done, and to attempt service on Defendants pursuant to the standard procedures.**

14) **The Clerk is directed to enter the standard qualified protective order pursuant to the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act.**

15) **The clerk is directed to terminate Defendant Conn.**

16) **The clerk is directed to add Dr. Steven Meeks as a Defendant.**

ENTERED: January 5, 2017

FOR THE COURT:

**s/Sue E. Myerscough**  
SUE E. MYERSCOUGH  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE