IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS PEORIA DIVISION

MID-CENTURY INSURANCE COMPANY,
Plaintiff,

v.

PIZZA BY MARCHELLONI; ESTATE of JOSE PADILLA; and ESTATE OF LYNSE STOKES, deceased, by SHANA KRIDNER, Defendants. Case No. 1:17-cv-01214-JBM-JEH

Order

The Plaintiff, Mid-Century Insurance Company, filed a Complaint on May 15, 2017, including Defendants Pizza By Marchelloni, Estate of Jose Padilla, and Estate of Lynse Stokes, deceased, by Shana Krinder. (D. 1). The Plaintiff's Complaint asserts diversity of citizenship as a basis for this Court's subject matter jurisdiction. *Id.* at pg. 2. The allegations of the Complaint are not sufficient to support that assertion.

The Court may *sua sponte* raise the issue of federal subject matter jurisdiction. *Tylka v. Gerber Products Co.*, 211 F.3d 445, 447 (7th Cir. 2000) (citations omitted). Asserting jurisdiction on the basis of "information and belief" is insufficient to invoke diversity jurisdiction. *America's Best Inns, Inc. v. Best Inns of Abilene, L.P.*, 980 F.2d 1072, 1074 (7th Cir. 1992) ("to the best of my knowledge and belief" is insufficient to invoke diversity jurisdiction); *Page v. Wright*, 116 F.2d 449,

¹ Citations to the Docket in this case are abbreviated as "D. __."

451 (7th Cir. 1940) (expressing serious doubts as to whether the record could be

sustained in the face of a direct jurisdictional attack where diversity jurisdiction

was asserted, in part, based upon information and belief). The Plaintiff asserts

some of the basis for the Court's jurisdiction "[o]n information and belief[.]" (D. 1

at pp. 1-2). Therefore, the Plaintiff's Complaint is not sufficient to invoke diversity

jurisdiction.

The Court may grant leave to amend defective allegations of subject matter

jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1653. See also, Leaf v. Supreme Court of State of

Wis., 979 F.2d 589, 595 (7th Cir. 1992) ("leave to amend defective allegations of

subject matter jurisdiction should be freely given") (citations omitted).

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that the Plaintiff file an Amended Complaint

not later than fourteen (14) days from the date of entry of this Order. In the

Amended Complaint, the Plaintiff shall properly allege the basis for the Court's

jurisdiction.

It is so ordered.

Entered on May 16, 2017.

s/Jonathan E. Hawley U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

2