

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

ADAM TITUS,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	17-CV-1249
)	
CORRECTIONAL OFFICER KINT,)	
et al.,)	
)	
)	
Defendants.)	

MERIT REVIEW OPINION

SUE E. MYERSCOUGH, U.S. District Judge.

Plaintiff proceeds pro se from his incarceration in Menard Correctional Center regarding an incident which occurred in Pontiac Correctional Center. His Complaint is before the Court for a merit review pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A. This section requires the Court to identify cognizable claims stated by the Complaint or dismiss claims that are not cognizable.¹ In reviewing the complaint, the Court accepts the factual allegations as true, liberally

¹ A prisoner who has had three prior actions dismissed for failure to state a claim or as frivolous or malicious can no longer proceed in forma pauperis unless the prisoner is under "imminent danger of serious physical injury." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

construing them in Plaintiff's favor and taking Plaintiff's pro se status into account. Turley v. Rednour, 729 F.3d 645, 649 (7th Cir. 2013). However, conclusory statements and labels are insufficient. Enough facts must be provided to "state a claim for relief that is plausible on its face." Alexander v. U.S., 721 F.3d 418, 422 (7th Cir. 2013)(quoted cite omitted).

Plaintiff alleges that on January 4, 2017, Defendant Kint, a correctional officer at Pontiac Correctional Center, applied handcuffs too tightly and then punched Plaintiff in the back while escorting Plaintiff down the stairs, causing Plaintiff to fall and sustain painful injuries. Defendant Shawny, a nurse, and other unidentified defendants, did not provide adequate medical care for Plaintiff's injuries. Plaintiff was allegedly left restrained on a bench for over seven hours, which resulted in Plaintiff urinating and defecating on himself. The next day Defendant Maury denied Plaintiff's request for pain medication.

Plaintiff states an Eighth Amendment excessive force claim against Defendant Kint and a claim against Defendants Shawny and Maury. Plaintiff also names as Defendants the following:

Wexford Health Sources, Inc., Officer Boland, Officer Gresham, and Officer Baptist. However, the Court cannot tell how these Defendants were personally involved in the excessive force or denial of medical care. Additionally, the Court cannot tell which Defendants, if any, were involved in Plaintiff's seven-hour restraint.

At this point, the case will proceed on an excessive force claim against Defendant Kint and a claim against Defendants Shawny and Maury for deliberate indifference to Plaintiff's serious medical needs.

The Court notes that Plaintiff's attachments suggest that Plaintiff did not exhaust his available administrative remedies as required by 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a). The attachments appear to show that Plaintiff did not file his grievance until April 2017, which is more than 60 days after the incident. 20 Ill.Admin.Code 504.810 (grievance must be filed within 60 days after incident). That determination, however, is premature.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

1) Pursuant to its merit review of the Complaint under 28 U.S.C. § 1915A, the Court finds that Plaintiff states the following Eighth Amendment claims: an excessive force claim against

Defendant Kint and a claim against Defendants Shawny and Maury for deliberate indifference to Plaintiff's serious medical needs. This case proceeds solely on the claims identified in this paragraph.

Any additional claims shall not be included in the case, except at the Court's discretion on motion by a party for good cause shown or pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15.

2) Defendants Baptist, Wexford Health Sources, Boland, and Gresham are dismissed without prejudice for failure to state a claim against them.

3) This case is now in the process of service. Plaintiff is advised to wait until counsel has appeared for Defendants before filing any motions, in order to give Defendants notice and an opportunity to respond to those motions. Motions filed before Defendants' counsel has filed an appearance will generally be denied as premature. Plaintiff need not submit any evidence to the Court at this time, unless otherwise directed by the Court.

4) The Court will attempt service on Defendants by mailing each Defendant a waiver of service. Defendants have 60 days from the date the waiver is sent to file an Answer. If Defendants have not

filed Answers or appeared through counsel within 90 days of the entry of this order, Plaintiff may file a motion requesting the status of service. After Defendants have been served, the Court will enter an order setting discovery and dispositive motion deadlines.

5) With respect to a Defendant who no longer works at the address provided by Plaintiff, the entity for whom that Defendant worked while at that address shall provide to the Clerk said Defendant's current work address, or, if not known, said Defendant's forwarding address. This information shall be used only for effectuating service. Documentation of forwarding addresses shall be retained only by the Clerk and shall not be maintained in the public docket nor disclosed by the Clerk.

6) Defendants shall file an answer within 60 days of the date the waiver is sent by the Clerk. A motion to dismiss is not an answer. The answer should include all defenses appropriate under the Federal Rules. The answer and subsequent pleadings shall be to the issues and claims stated in this Opinion. In general, an answer sets forth Defendants' positions. The Court does not rule on the merits of those positions unless and until a motion is filed by

Defendants. Therefore, no response to the answer is necessary or will be considered.

7) This District uses electronic filing, which means that, after Defense counsel has filed an appearance, Defense counsel will automatically receive electronic notice of any motion or other paper filed by Plaintiff with the Clerk. Plaintiff does not need to mail to Defense counsel copies of motions and other papers that Plaintiff has filed with the Clerk. However, this does not apply to discovery requests and responses. Discovery requests and responses are not filed with the Clerk. Plaintiff must mail his discovery requests and responses directly to Defendants' counsel. Discovery requests or responses sent to the Clerk will be returned unfiled, unless they are attached to and the subject of a motion to compel. Discovery does not begin until Defense counsel has filed an appearance and the Court has entered a scheduling order, which will explain the discovery process in more detail.

8) Counsel for Defendants is hereby granted leave to depose Plaintiff at his place of confinement. Counsel for Defendants shall arrange the time for the deposition.

9) Plaintiff shall immediately notify the Court, in writing, of any change in his mailing address and telephone number.

Plaintiff's failure to notify the Court of a change in mailing address or phone number will result in dismissal of this lawsuit, with prejudice.

10) If a Defendants fails to sign and return a waiver of service to the clerk within 30 days after the waiver is sent, the Court will take appropriate steps to effect formal service through the U.S. Marshal's service on that Defendant and will require that Defendant to pay the full costs of formal service pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(d)(2).

11) Within 10 days of receiving from Defendants' counsel an authorization to release medical records, Plaintiff is directed to sign and return the authorization to Defendants' counsel.

12) **Plaintiff's motion for the Court to appoint counsel is denied (5)**, with leave to renew after Plaintiff demonstrates that he has made reasonable efforts to find counsel on his own. Pruitt v. Mote, 503 F.3d 647, 654-55 (7th Cir. 2007). This typically requires writing to several lawyers and attaching the responses. Plaintiff asserts that he contacted three firms with no response, but he does

not attach copies of the letters or give details about the date sent and whether Plaintiff's request for counsel involved this case or his other pending cases. If Plaintiff renews his motion, he should set forth how far he has gone in school, any jobs he has held inside and outside of prison, any classes he has taken in prison, and any prior litigation experience he has.

13) The clerk is directed to add to the docket the following defendants, who were named on page 4 of the Complaint: Wexford Health Sources, and Correctional Officers Boland, Gresham, and Maury.

14) The clerk is directed to terminate Defendants Wexford Health Sources, and Correctional Officers Boland, Gresham, and Baptist.

13) The clerk is directed to enter the standard order granting Plaintiff's in forma pauperis petition and assessing an initial partial filing fee, if not already done, and to attempt service on Defendants Kint, Shawny, and Maury pursuant to the standard procedures.

14) **The Clerk is directed to enter the standard qualified protective order pursuant to the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act.**

ENTERED: July 12, 2017

FOR THE COURT:

s/Sue E. Myerscough
SUE E. MYERSCOUGH
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE