

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS**

CITY OF GREENVILLE, ILLINOIS, *et al.*, )  
Individually and on behalf of all others )  
similarly situated, )  
)  
Plaintiffs, )  
)  
v. )  
)  
SYNGENTA CROP PROTECTION, INC., )  
and SYNGENTA AG, )  
)  
Defendants. )

Case No. 10-cv-188-JPG

**ORDER**

This matter comes before the Court on Syngenta Crop Protection’s (“SCP”) motion for an extension of time to respond to the motion for leave to intervene filed by Environmental Law and Policy Center and Prairie Rivers Network (Doc. 169). The potential intervenors ostensibly seek to intervene to assert the right of public access to sealed documents to learn about, study and comment on potential effects of atrazine on waters in Illinois and to learn about the judicial process in this case. SCP asks for additional time to conduct discovery on the potential intervenors’ real motives for intervention before it responds to their motion. SCP believes they may be colluding with the plaintiffs to unseal certain documents in this case. Despite its suspicion the plaintiffs and the potential intervenors may be working together, SCP has not given any valid reason it is unable to prepare a timely opposition to the motion to intervene that addresses the relevant factors under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 24(b)(1)(B). Therefore, the Court **DENIES** the motion for an extension of time to respond to the motion to intervene (Doc. 169).

**DATED: April 19, 2011  
IT IS SO ORDERED.**

s/J. Phil Gilbert  
**Judge J. Phil Gilbert**