

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|---------------------|
| DANIEL CHARLES MORRIS, JR., |) | |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| |) | |
| v. |) | Case No. 14-CV-2302 |
| |) | |
| LIEUTENANT TIMMS, <i>et al.</i> , |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendants. |) | |

MERIT REVIEW AND CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER

The plaintiff, a pretrial detainee currently in custody at Jerome Combs Detention Center, was granted leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. The court is required by 28 U.S.C. §1915A to “screen” the Plaintiff’s complaint, and through such process to identify and dismiss any legally insufficient claim, or the entire action if warranted. A claim is legally insufficient if it “(1) is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted; or (2) seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief.” 28 U.S.C. §1915A.

In reviewing the Complaint, the court accepts the factual allegations as true, liberally construing them in the plaintiff's favor. Turley v. Rednour, 729 F.3d 645, 649 (7th Cir. 2013). The Court has reviewed the complaint and has also held a merit review hearing in order to give the plaintiff a chance to personally explain his claims to the court.

The plaintiff filed this lawsuit pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against jail officials, Lt. Timms, Officer Krumweide, Sheriff Rukowski and Corrections Chief Kolitwenzew. The plaintiff, a former convicted felon, alleges that he was in pretrial detention on October 22, 2014, when he requested an absentee ballot for the November, 2014 election. Timms, learning of Plaintiff’s prior felony conviction, allegedly refused to provide the ballot form. Plaintiff alleges that defendants conspired to block his access to vote violating his Fourteenth Amendment and Equal Protection rights.

The Illinois Election Code at 10 ILCS 5/3-5 guarantees voting rights to pretrial detainees and felons no longer incarcerated. Therefore, the plaintiff states colorable Fourteenth Amendment and Equal Protection claims.

Plaintiff also claims that Kolutwenzew did not respond to the grievances he had sent him. *Diaz v. McBride*, 1994 WL 750707, at *4 (N.D. Ind. Nov. 30, 1994) (holding that a plaintiff could not establish personal involvement, and subject a prison official to liability under section 1983, merely by sending the official various letters or grievances complaining about the actions or conduct of subordinates.) Plaintiff also fails to successfully plead an excessive force claim where he states that Defendants Krumweide and Timms threatened him with potential violence, and that he “fears for his life”, without any additional information. Plaintiff also fails to allege a constitutional infringement based on his allegations that he was housed in segregation without first having been evaluated for mental health and other psychological issues.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

1. Pursuant to its merit review of the Complaint under 28 U.S.C. § 1915A, the court finds that the plaintiff states violations under the Fourteenth Amendment and Equal Protection for being denied the right to vote. Any additional claims shall not be included in the case, except at the court’s discretion on motion by a party for good cause shown or pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15.

2. This case is now in the process of service. The plaintiff is advised to wait until counsel has appeared for the defendants before filing any motions, in order to give the defendants notice and an opportunity to respond to those motions. Motions filed before defendants' counsel has filed an appearance will generally be denied as premature. The plaintiff need not submit any evidence to the court at this time, unless otherwise directed by the court.

3. The court will attempt service on the defendants by mailing each defendant a waiver of service. The defendants have 60 days from the date the waiver is sent to file an answer. If the defendants have not filed answers or appeared through counsel within 90 days of the entry of this order, the plaintiff may file a motion requesting the status of service. After the defendants have been served, the court will enter an order setting discovery and dispositive motion deadlines.

4. With respect to a defendant who no longer works at the address provided by the plaintiff, the entity for whom that defendant worked while at that address shall provide to the clerk said defendant's current work address, or, if not known, said defendant's forwarding address. This information shall be used only for effectuating service. Documentation of forwarding addresses shall be retained only by the clerk and shall not be maintained in the public docket nor disclosed by the clerk.

5. The defendants shall file an answer within 60 days of the date the waiver is sent by the clerk. A motion to dismiss is not an answer. The answer should include all defenses appropriate under the Federal Rules. The answer and subsequent

pleadings shall be to the issues and claims stated in this opinion. In general, an answer sets forth the defendants' positions. The court does not rule on the merits of those positions unless and until a motion is filed by the defendants. Therefore, no response to the answer is necessary or will be considered.

6. This district uses electronic filing, which means that, after defense counsel has filed an appearance, defense counsel will automatically receive electronic notice of any motion or other paper filed by the plaintiff with the clerk. The plaintiff does not need to mail to defense counsel copies of motions and other papers that the plaintiff has filed with the clerk. However, this does not apply to discovery requests and responses. Discovery requests and responses are not filed with the clerk. The plaintiff must mail his discovery requests and responses directly to defendants' counsel. Discovery requests or responses sent to the clerk will be returned unfiled, unless they are attached to and the subject of a motion to compel. Discovery does not begin until defense counsel has filed an appearance and the court has entered a scheduling order, which will explain the discovery process in more detail.

7. Counsel for the defendants is hereby granted leave to depose the plaintiff at his place of confinement. Counsel for the defendants shall arrange the time for the deposition.

8. The plaintiff shall immediately notify the court, in writing, of any change in his mailing address and telephone number. The plaintiff's failure to notify the court of a change in mailing address or phone number will result in dismissal of this lawsuit, with prejudice.

9. If a defendant fails to sign and return a waiver of service to the clerk within 30 days after the waiver is sent, the court will take appropriate steps to effect formal service through the U.S. Marshals service on that defendant and will require that defendant to pay the full costs of formal service pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(d)(2).

10. The clerk is directed to enter the standard qualified protective order pursuant to the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act.

11. The clerk is directed to attempt service on the defendants pursuant to the standard procedures.

Entered this 27th day of January, 2015.

/s/Harold A. Baker

HAROLD A. BAKER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE