

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b)(3), the Court may accept, reject, or modify the recommended disposition; receive further evidence; or return the matter to the magistrate judge with instructions. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3). The Court reviews de novo any part of the Report and Recommendation to which a proper objection has been made. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3). If no objection or only partial objection is made, the district court judge reviews those unobjected portions for clear error. Johnson v. Zema Sys. Corp., 170 F. 3d 734, 739 (7th Cir. 1999) (also noting that a party who fails to object to the report and recommendation waives appellate review of the factual and legal questions).

Judge Schanzle-Haskins found the Administrative Law Judge's decision was supported by substantial evidence. Judge Schanzle-Haskins addressed each of the issues raised by Plaintiff in his memorandum in support of his Motion for Summary Judgment (d/e 11). After reviewing the record, the Report and Recommendation, the parties' Motions and memoranda, as well as the applicable law, this Court finds no clear error.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

(1) The Report and Recommendation (d/e 15) is ADOPTED in its entirety.

(2) Defendant's Motion for Summary Affirmance (d/e 13) is GRANTED and Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment (d/e 10) is DENIED.

(3) The decision of the Commissioner is AFFIRMED.

(4) This case is CLOSED.

ENTERED: December 4, 2017.

FOR THE COURT:

**s/ Sue E. Myerscough
SUE E. MYERSCOUGH**