SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES

| aire, Inc | c. prior to commencing this lav | vsuit? |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| | Yes | No |
| When | Southaire delivered the Aircra | aft to Valley Air Service, were the following |
| ions pr | resent: | • |
| a. | Fuel leak? | / |
| | Yes | No |
| b. | Improperly sealed windows? | ? / |
| | Yes | No |
| c. Improper balance controls and/or rudder and elevator rigging? | | |
| | Yes | No |
| d. Improper paint application to the exterior of the Aircraft? | | |
| | Yes | No |
| e. | Malfunctioning throttle? | |
| | Yes | No |
| f. | Outdated flap motor gear bo | ox? |
| | Yes | No |
| g. | Outdated wing spar lug? | , |
| | Yes | No |
| h. | Structural and corrosion dar | mage? |
| | Yes | No |
| | When ions properties a. b. c. d. g. | When Southaire delivered the Aircrations present: a. Fuel leak? Yes b. Improperly sealed windows? Yes c. Improper balance controls at Yes d. Improper paint application to Yes e. Malfunctioning throttle? Yes f. Outdated flap motor gear box Yes g. Outdated wing spar lug? Yes h. Structural and corrosion data |



Dockets.Justia.com

| | | i. | Undisclosed damage history | |
|---|------|-------|---|--|
| | | | Yes | No |
| | | j. | specifications? | nufacturer's maintenance and operating manuals and |
| | | | Yes | No |
| | | k. | Non-compliant with all outs | |
| | _ | - | Yes | No |
| | Ш. | When | Southaire delivered the Aircr | raft to Valley Air Service, was the Aircraft airworthy? |
| - | | | Yes | No |
| | IV. | Did E | d Brunner make the following | g statements: |
| | .com | a. | overhaul? | had 1,750 hours of operation since their last |
| | | | Yes | No |
| | | b. | The Aircraft had no damage | e history? |
| | | | Yes | No |
| | | c. | The Aircraft was on CESCO | DM? |
| | | | Yes | No |
| | | d. | The Aircraft had never suffended in the logbooks? | ered any structural or corrosion damage, except as |
| | | | Yes_ ✓ | No |
| | | e. | | mew that the Aircraft had not incurred damage during because the prior owner was a Southaire customer? |
| | | | Yes | No |

·

| V. | Are th | e following statements tr | ue: | | |
|-----|--|--|--|--|--|
| | a. | The Aircraft's engines overhaul? | each had 1,750 hours of operation since their last | | |
| | | Yes | No | | |
| | b. The Aircraft had no damage history? | | mage history? | | |
| | | Yes | No | | |
| | c. | The Aircraft was on CESCOM? | | | |
| | | Yes | No | | |
| | d | The Aircraft had never suffered any structural or corrosion damage, except as noted in the logbooks? | | | |
| | | Yes | No | | |
| | e. | Southaire and Ed Brunner knew that the Aircraft had not incurred damage during the prior owner's ownership because the prior owner was a Southaire customer? | | | |
| | • | Yes_ | No | | |
| VI. | Are th | ne following facts materia | al: | | |
| | a. | The number of hours or | n each Aircraft engine since their last overhaul? | | |
| | | Yes | No | | |
| | b. | b. The Aircraft's damage history? | | | |
| | | Yes | No | | |
| | c. | Whether the Aircraft w | ras on CESCOM? | | |
| | | Yes | No | | |
| | d. | Whether the Aircraft had suffered any structural or corrosion damage? | | | |
| | | Yes_ | No | | |
| | | | | | |

| | damage during the prior ow Southaire customer? | vner's ownership because the prior owner was a |
|---------|--|---|
| | Yes_n | No |
| VII. | Did Valley Air Service reasonably | believe and justifiably rely upon statements made by |
| Ed Bru | unner? | |
| | Yes | - No |
| VIII. | Did Ed Brunner make-any false sta | stements of material fact with the knowledge or belief |
| that th | e statements were false, or with reck | xless disregard for whether the statements were true or |
| false? | Yes | No |
| (| Foreperson / | D.L. |
| | Ellen murchy Scombles | Jamous Pryson |
| | | |

Whether Southaire and Ed Brunner knew whether the Aircraft had incurred

e.