

EXHIBIT 5

LAW OFFICES
EDWARD T. JOYCE & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
ELEVEN SOUTH LA SALLE STREET
SUITE 1600
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60603-1211
(312) 641-2600
FAX (312) 641-0360

January 31, 2008

VIA MESSENGER

Steven J. Ciszewski
Novak and Macey LLP
100 N. Riverside Plaza
Chicago, Illinois 60606

Re: The Estate of CMGT, Inc. v. Mayer Brown Rowe & Maw, LLP, et al.

Dear Steve:

I am writing to confirm that today your copy service picked up for copying one box of Spehar documents that were recently sent to us. Specifically, we produced the following:

- Documents with bates numbers 780-1005, which we received from Spehar's lawyer, Kenneth Franklin ("Franklin") on January 25, 2008. We did not withhold any documents from this batch on the basis of privilege. However, as indicated by Franklin in his letter dated January 24, 2008 (which you were copied on), Spehar's attorneys apparently withheld several documents on the basis of an attorney-client privilege.
- Documents with bates numbers 1006-2827, which we received from Franklin on January 28, 2008. We did withhold documents on the basis of privilege from this batch. Please find enclosed a privilege log for the Spehar documents that we received on January 28, 2008.
- Documents with bates numbers 2828-2877, which we received from Franklin on January 30, 2008. We did not withhold any documents from this batch on the basis of privilege.

I am also writing because in the course of working on the discovery in this case, I found some minor errors in the privilege log for the initial Spehar production and the Trustee's production. I have enclosed corrected privilege logs. For your convenience, I explain the corrections that were made to the privilege logs below.

- Corrections made to the Spehar Privilege Log:
 - **Log # 1:** The last page of this document (i.e., bates number 4) requires a different description than what was provided for the first three pages. Thus, we added a description of that single page to the Description section.

- **Log # 25:** In the Description section for this document, we correctly refer to the document as a "letter." However, in the Type section we mistakenly refer to the document as a "memo." I changed "memo" to "letter" in the Type section.
- **Log # 29:** In the Description section for this document, we correctly refer to the document as a "letter." However, in the Type section, we mistakenly refer to the document as a "memo." I changed "memo" to "letter" in the Type section.
- **Log Numbers 16 and 51:** While I was preparing the privilege log for the Trustee's documents, I learned that there was an additional recipient for these documents. I added that additional recipient to the Spehar privilege log.
- Corrections made to the Trustee Privilege Log:
 - **Log # 21:** The one page email (bates number PL 1950) was inadvertently withheld. I have enclosed a copy of that document for you. The Description and Type sections were revised to reflect the removal of this document from the privilege log.
 - **Log # 24:** Jud Todhunter should have been included as a recipient of this document. I added his initials to the Recipient section.
 - **Log # 25:** The one page email (bates number PL 2030) was inadvertently withheld. I have enclosed a copy of that document for you. The Description and Type sections were revised to reflect the removal of this document from the privilege log.
 - **Log # 26:** The one page email (bates number PL 2033) was inadvertently withheld. I have enclosed a copy of that document for you. The Description and Type sections were revised to reflect the removal of this document from the privilege log.
 - **Log # 27:** The one page email (bates number PL 2043) was inadvertently withheld. I have enclosed a copy of that document for you. The Description and Type sections were revised to reflect the removal of this document from the privilege log.
 - **Log # 40:** In the Author section, we correctly state that Gerry Spehar wrote this document. However, in the Description section, we mistakenly state, "In this email, Grochocinski discusses... This document is privileged because it was prepared by Plaintiff..." We changed the Description section to correctly state, "In this email, Spehar discusses... This document is privileged because it was prepared for Plaintiff..."

Steven J. Ciszewski
January 31, 2008
Page -3-

Hopefully, the foregoing clerical errors are the only mistakes that I missed during my final revisions; however, if you find anything that appears to be a mistake, please let me know and I will look into it.

Very truly yours,

EDWARD T. JOYCE & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Robert Carroll".

Robert D. Carroll
RDC:ya

Encl.