

EXHIBIT 6

PRIVILEGE LOG FOR SPEHAR DOCUMENTS (CONT'D)

Page 2 of 23

6. "Joyce" shall mean Plaintiff's attorney Edward T. Joyce.
7. "Spehar" and/or "GS" shall mean Gerry Spehar and Spehar Capital, LLC.
8. "Todhunter" and/or "JT" shall mean Spehar's attorney Jud Todhunter.
9. "SC" shall mean Sean Crotty, Esq. Mr. Crotty is a lawyer with whom Spehar apparently consulted regarding claims that Spehar believes his company may have against Defendants.

10. "Defendants" shall mean Defendants Mayer Brown Rowe & Maw LLP and Ronald B. Given.

11. The "Unclean Hands Issue" shall mean the issue as articulated by Judge Kendall on October 30, 2007 when she stated "...there is a question lurking about why this was handled the way it was and issues as to the trustee's position in coming forward and being paid by this entity, issues regarding why the trustee didn't go in and move to vacate the dismissal, and I think what we need to do is we need to do discovery solely on that, what I would call, unclean hands issue first..." and on December 13, 2007 when she stated, "[the unclean hands issue is] really getting to the issue as to what was the motivation for the filing of the lawsuit..."

12. "WP" shall mean the work product privilege.

LOG #	AUTHOR	RECIPIENT	DESCRIPTION	DATE	TYPE	PRIV.	PURPOSE OF PRODUCTION	BATES #
1	GS	ETJ	Memorandum addressing questions posed by ETJ regarding how Defendants' conduct negatively affected CMGT's potential funding deal with the Washoe.	Undated	Memo	WP	Responsive to Request Numbers 1, 2 and 7.	2-4

PRIVILEGE LOG FOR SPEHAR DOCUMENTS (CONT'D)

Page 3 of 23

LOG #	AUTHOR	RECIPIENT	DESCRIPTION	DATE	TYPE	PRIV.	PURPOSE OF PRODUCTION	BATES #
			The last page of this document (Bates number 4), addresses damage related questions/issues previously raised by ETJ in conversations with Spehar. Spehar specifically discusses a case from New Hampshire regarding these legal issues. This document is privileged because it was prepared for ETJ in anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals legal theories/strategies being considered by ETJ.					
2	GS	ETJ	Memorandum entitled "Damages." This memo was written in response to questions/issues raised by ETJ regarding the theories of damages for the potential claims against Defendants. In this memo, Spehar discusses different legal strategies and case law that had been the subject of conversations between Spehar and ETJ. This document is privileged because it was prepared for ETJ in anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals ETJ's mental impressions, legal theories and legal strategies regarding the damages element of Plaintiff's claims.	Undated	Memo	WP	Responsive to Request Numbers 1, 2 and 7.	5-29
3	GS	ETJ	Memo entitled "December 31, 2006 comments on Novak's Motion to Dismiss (revised)." In this memo, Spehar discusses strategies for responding to	12/31/06	Memo	WP	Responsive to Request Number 7. Because this memorandum	30-35

LOG #	AUTHOR	RECIPIENT	DESCRIPTION	DATE	TYPE	PRIV.	PURPOSE OF PRODUCTION	BATES #
4	GS	ETJ	Memorandum entitled "Response to MBRM's February 7, 2007 Reply." In this memo, Spehar discusses strategies for responding to arguments made by Defendants in their Reply in Support of their Motion to Dismiss. This document is privileged because it was prepared for ETJ in anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals legal strategies considered by ETJ.	2/28/07	Memo	WP	Responsive to Request Number 7. Because this memorandum post-dates the filing of Plaintiff's Complaint, it is not relevant to the Unclean Hands Issue.	36-55
5	GS	ETJ	Memorandum entitled "Summary of MBRM's Conflicts and Other Questions." In this memo, Spehar discusses facts and arguments relating to (a) Plaintiff's allegation that MBRM favored the NEWCO transaction because of MBRM's conflict of interest, and (b) Plaintiff's allegation that Defendants were obligated to defend CMGT from Spehar's California lawsuit. Spehar discusses arguments made by Defendants in their	3/26/07	Memo	WP	Responsive to Request Numbers 7. Because this memorandum post-dates the filing of Plaintiff's Complaint, it is not relevant to the Unclean	56-61

PRIVILEGE LOG FOR SPEHAR DOCUMENTS (CONT'D)

Page 5 of 23

LOG #	AUTHOR	RECIPIENT	DESCRIPTION	DATE	TYPE	PRIV.	PURPOSE OF PRODUCTION	BATES #
			motion to dismiss. This document is privileged because it was prepared for ETJ in anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals legal theories/strategies considered by ETJ.				Hands Issue.	
6	GS	ETJ	Memorandum entitled "Comment on MB's August 23, 2007 Reply in Support of its Motion for Reconsideration." In this memo, Spehar discusses strategies for responding to arguments made by Defendants in their Reply in Support of their Motion for Reconsideration. This document is privileged because it was prepared for ETJ in anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals legal strategies considered by ETJ.	9/24/07	Memo	WP	Responsive to Request Numbers 7. Because this memorandum post-dates the filing of Plaintiff's Complaint, it is not relevant to the Unclean Hands Issue.	62-91
7	AWA	GS	Memorandum entitled "CMGT." In this memo, Aufmann asks Spehar for more information concerning points made by Spehar in his "CMGT v. Mayer Brown" memorandum. (Bates numbers 609-633.) This document is privileged because it was prepared by Plaintiff's attorneys in anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals Plaintiff's attorney's mental impressions, legal theories and legal strategies for the case.	Undated	Memo	WP	Responsive to Request Numbers 2 and 7.	92-108
8	GS	ETJ	Memorandum entitled "Memo: CMGT"	Undated	Memo	WP	Responsive to	109-151

PRIVILEGE LOG FOR SPEHAR DOCUMENTS (CONT'D)
 Page 6 of 23

LOG #	AUTHOR	RECIPIENT	DESCRIPTION	DATE	TYPE	PRIV.	PURPOSE OF PRODUCTION	BATES #
9	GS	ETJ	<p>Memorandum entitled "Memo: Damages." Prior to Spehar writing this memo, he and ETJ discussed damage related issues. In this memo, Spehar addresses the damage related questions/issues that were raised by ETJ. For example, Spehar discusses legal research on damage related issues, evidence needed to establish damages and a strategy for approaching damage related issues. This document is privileged because it was prepared for ETJ in anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals ETJ's mental impressions, legal theories and legal strategies regarding the damage element of this case.</p>	Undated	Memo	WP	Responsive to Request Numbers 1, 2 and 7.	152-164
			<p>Email and Document Review." At the request of ETJ, Spehar reviewed documents (including emails) in furtherance of a strategy being developed by ETJ for addressing damage related issues for what eventually became Count I of Plaintiff's Complaint. In this memorandum, Spehar discusses the results of his document review. This document is privileged because it was prepared for ETJ in anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals ETJ's mental impressions, legal theories and legal strategies regarding the damage element of this case.</p>				Request Numbers 1, 2 and 7.	

PRIVILEGE LOG FOR SPEHAR DOCUMENTS (CONT'D)

Page 7 of 23

LOG #	AUTHOR	RECIPIENT	DESCRIPTION	DATE	TYPE	PRIV.	PURPOSE OF PRODUCTION	BATES #
10	GS	ETJ	damage element of this case. In this Memorandum, Spehar discusses a damages related issue that was raised by ETJ in a discussion with Spehar. Spehar discusses a case that dealt with the damages issue being addressed in the memo. This document is privileged because it was prepared for ETJ in anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals ETJ's mental impressions, legal theories and legal strategies regarding the damage element of this case.	Undated	Memo	WP	Responsive to Request Numbers 1, 2 and 7.	165
11	GS	ETJ	Memorandum addressing questions posed by ETJ regarding how Defendants' conduct negatively affected CMGT's potential funding deal with the Washoe. This document is privileged because it was prepared for ETJ in anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals legal theories/strategies being considered by ETJ.	Undated	Memo	WP	Responsive to Request Numbers 1, 2 and 7.	166
12	GS	ETJ	Memorandum entitled "Case Review." Prior to Spehar writing this memo, he and ETJ discussed damage related issues. In this memo, Spehar discusses case law that relates to the damage related issues and strategies previously raised by ETJ. This document is privileged because it was prepared for ETJ in anticipation of	Undated	Memo	WP	Responsive to Request Numbers 1, 2 and 7.	167-176

PRIVILEGE LOG FOR SPEHAR DOCUMENTS (CONT'D)

Page 8 of 23

LOG #	AUTHOR	RECIPIENT	DESCRIPTION	DATE	TYPE	PRIV.	PURPOSE OF PRODUCTION	BATES #
			litigation/trial and it reveals ETJ's mental impressions, legal theories and legal strategies regarding the damage element of this case.					
13	GS	ETJ	In this memorandum, Spehar answers the questions that were posed by Aufmann in his "CMGT" memo (Bates # 92-108). Spehar's answers are provided directly beneath Aufmann's questions. Thus, this memo reveals both Aufmann's questions and Spehar's answers to those questions. This document is privileged because it was prepared for ETJ in anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals ETJ's mental impressions, legal theories and legal strategies for the case.	Undated	Memo	WP	Responsive to Request Numbers 1, 2 and 7.	177-197
14	GS	RDC/ETJ	In this letter, Spehar discusses the merit of potential claims against Defendants and damages related questions/issues that were previously raised by ETJ. This document is privileged because it was prepared for ETJ in anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals ETJ's mental impressions, legal theories and legal strategies for this case.	3/6/06	Letter	WP	Responsive to Request Numbers 1, 2 and 7.	198-199
15	GS	RDC, JLM (ETJ)	Memorandum entitled "Memo CMGT v. Mayer Brown." Prior to Spehar writing this memo, he and ETJ had discussions in which ETJ raised questions/issues relating	5/23/06	Memo	WP	Responsive to Request Numbers 1, 2 and 7.	200-255

PRIVILEGE LOG FOR SPEHAR DOCUMENTS (CONT'D)
 Page 9 of 23

LOG #	AUTHOR	RECIPIENT	DESCRIPTION	DATE	TYPE	PRIV.	PURPOSE OF PRODUCTION	BATES #
			to the duty, causation and damage elements of a legal malpractice claim against Defendants. In this memo, Spehar provides a detailed response to the questions/issues previously raised by ETJ. The memo also provides a detailed chronology of facts for Plaintiff to review as part of his investigation. This document is privileged because it was prepared for ETJ in anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals ETJ's mental impressions, legal theories and legal strategies for the case.					
16	GS	ETJ and SC	This memo is a revised version of Spehar's 5/23/06 memo (Bates numbers 200-255.)	5/29/06	Memo	WP	Responsive to Request Numbers 1, 2 and 7.	256-316
17	GS	RDC & JLM (ETJ)	This letter accompanied delivery of Spehar's 5/29/06 memo (Bates numbers 256-316). In this letter, Spehar summarizes certain points/facts raised in his 5/29/06 memo. This document is privileged because it was prepared for ETJ in anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals legal theories considered by ETJ.	Undated	Letter	WP	Responsive to Request Numbers 1, 2 and 7.	317
18	GS	ETJ	Memorandum entitled "CMGT v. MB: Damages Summary." Prior to Spehar writing this memo, he and ETJ had discussions in which ETJ raised certain	Undated	Memo	WP	Responsive to Request Numbers 1, 2 and 7.	318-323

PRIVILEGE LOG FOR SPEHAR DOCUMENTS (CONT'D)

Page 10 of 23

LOG #	AUTHOR	RECIPIENT	DESCRIPTION	DATE	TYPE	PRIV.	PURPOSE OF PRODUCTION	BATES #
			damage related questions/issues. In this memo, Spehar addresses the damages related questions/issues that ETJ previously raised. This document is privileged because it was prepared for ETJ in anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals ETJ's mental impressions, legal theories and legal strategies for the case.					
19	GS	RDC/ETJ	This letter accompanied delivery of Spehar's "CMGT v. MB Damages Summary" Memo. (Bates numbers 318-323.) In this letter, Spehar summarizes certain points/facts raised in the accompanying memo. This document is privileged because it was prepared for ETJ in anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals legal theories considered by ETJ.	7/3/06	Letter	WP	Responsive to Request Numbers 1, 2 and 7.	324
20	GS	ETJ	Memorandum entitled "CMGT v. MB Damages Summary." This is a revised version of Spehar's memo with Bates numbers 318-323.	Undated	Memo	WP	Responsive to Request Numbers 1, 2 and 7.	325-330
21	GS	ETJ	Memorandum entitled "Memo CMGT v. MB Damages: Lost Profits and Sealaska." Prior to Spehar writing this memo, he and ETJ had discussions in which ETJ raised certain damage related questions/issues. In this memo, Spehar addresses the damage related questions/issues that ETJ previously raised. This document is	7/8/06	Memo	WP	Responsive to Request Numbers 1, 2 and 7.	331-346

PRIVILEGE LOG FOR SPEHAR DOCUMENTS (CONT'D)

LOG #	AUTHOR	RECIPIENT	DESCRIPTION	DATE	TYPE	PRIV.	PURPOSE OF PRODUCTION	BATES #
			privileged because it was prepared for ETJ in anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals ETJ's mental impressions, legal theories and legal strategies for the case.					
22	GS	ETJ	Memorandum entitled "CMGT Damages: Collectibility." Prior to Spehar writing this memo, he and ETJ had discussions in which ETJ raised certain damage related questions/issues. In this memo, Spehar addresses the damages related questions/issues that ETJ previously raised. This document is privileged because it was prepared for ETJ in anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals ETJ's mental impressions, legal theories and legal strategies for the case.	7/13/06	Memo	WP	Responsive to Request Numbers 1, 2 and 7.	347-348
23	GS	ETJ	Memorandum entitled "CMGT Damages Collectibility." This is the same memo as the one with Bates numbers 347-348 except that this version contains a cover page with the date and title of the memo and the font of the memo is larger.	7/13/06	Memo	WP	Responsive to Request Numbers 1, 2 and 7.	349-352
24	GS	ETJ	Memorandum entitled "CMGT Damages Collectibility 2." This memo is a follow up to Spehar's 7/13/06 memo. (Bates numbers 347-348.) As with Spehar's 7/13/06 memo, this memo discusses damage related questions/issues that were previously raised by ETJ. In this memo,	7/17/06	Memo	WP	Responsive to Request Numbers 1, 2 and 7.	353-357

LOG #	AUTHOR	RECIPIENT	DESCRIPTION	DATE	TYPE	PRIV.	PURPOSE OF PRODUCTION	BATES #
			Spehar discusses cases that address the damage related questions/issues that ETJ previously raised. This document is privileged because it was prepared for ETJ in anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals ETJ's mental impressions, legal theories and legal strategies for the case.					
25	GS	RDC/ETJ	This letter is a follow up to Spehar's 7/17/06 memo. (Bates numbers 353-357.) In this letter, Spehar discusses case law that addresses the damage related questions/issues that ETJ previously raised. Spehar specifically discusses his understanding of Carroll's interpretations of those cases. This document is privileged because it was prepared for ETJ in anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals ETJ's mental impressions, legal theories and legal strategies for the case.	7/19/06	Letter	WP	Responsive to Request Numbers 1, 2 and 7.	358-361
26	GS	RDC/ETJ	Prior to Spehar writing this letter and memo, he and ETJ had discussions in which ETJ raised damage related questions/issues. In his one page July 24, 2006 letter and accompanying memo, Spehar addresses the damage related questions/issues previously raised by ETJ. This document is privileged because it was prepared for ETJ in anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals ETJ's mental	7/24/06	Letter with Memo	WP	Responsive to Request Numbers 1, 2 and 7.	362-370

PRIVILEGE LOG FOR SPEHAR DOCUMENTS (CONT'D)

Page 13 of 23

LOG #	AUTHOR	RECIPIENT	DESCRIPTION	DATE	TYPE	PRIV.	PURPOSE OF PRODUCTION	BATES #
27	GS	ETJ	impressions, legal theories and legal strategies for the case. Prior to Spehar writing this memo, he and ETJ had discussions in which ETJ raised certain damage related questions/issues. In this memo, Spehar discusses the damage related questions/issues that ETJ previously raised. Spehar specifically discusses two cases relating to those damage questions/issues. This document is privileged because it was prepared for ETJ in anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals ETJ's mental impressions, legal theories and legal strategies for the case.	7/27/06	Memo	WP	Responsive to Request Numbers 1, 2 and 7.	371-374
28	GS	ETJ	Memorandum entitled "MB's duty to appear at SC's 2/26/04 Default Judgment Hearing." Prior to Spehar writing this memo, he and ETJ had discussions in which ETJ raised certain damage related questions/issues. In this memo, Spehar discusses the damage related questions/issues that ETJ previously raised. As the title of the memo indicates, the damages theory being discussed involves establishing Defendants' duty to appear at the February 26, 2004 default judgment hearing in the Spehar California lawsuit. This document is privileged because it was prepared for ETJ in	7/30/06	Memo	WP	Responsive to Request Numbers 1, 2 and 7.	375-386

PRIVILEGE LOG FOR SPEHAR DOCUMENTS (CONT'D)

Page 14 of 23

LOG #	AUTHOR	RECIPIENT	DESCRIPTION	DATE	TYPE	PRIV.	PURPOSE OF PRODUCTION	BATES #
29	GS	RDC/ETJ	anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals legal strategies being considered by ETJ. This is a one page letter that accompanies delivery of an updated version of Spehar's 7/24/06 memo. (The 7/24/06 memo is the document with Bates # 363-370 and the updated version is 375-386.) In this letter, Spehar makes reference to a legal doctrine being considered by Plaintiff. This document is privileged because it was prepared for ETJ in anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals a legal theory/strategy being considered by ETJ.	7/31/06	Letter	WP	Responsive to Request Numbers 1, 2 and 7.	387
30	GS	ETJ	Memorandum entitled "CMGT v. MB: Damages Summary." Prior to Spehar writing this memo, he and ETJ had discussions in which ETJ raised certain damage related questions/issues. In this memo, Spehar discusses the damage related questions/issues previously raised by ETJ. This document is privileged because it was prepared for ETJ in anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals ETJ's mental impressions, legal theories and legal strategies for the case.	Undated	Memo	WP	Responsive to Request Numbers 1, 2 and 7.	388-393
31	GS	ETJ	Memorandum entitled "CMGT v. MB: Spehar Capital's View of Causes of Action and Damages." Prior to Spehar	8/15/06	Memo	WP	Responsive to Request Numbers 1, 2	394-397

PRIVILEGE LOG FOR SPEHAR DOCUMENTS (CONT'D)

Page 15 of 23

LOG #	AUTHOR	RECIPIENT	DESCRIPTION	DATE	TYPE	PRIV.	PURPOSE OF PRODUCTION	BATES #
			writing this memo, he and ETJ discussed ETJ's thoughts regarding potential causes of action to be pled against Defendants. In this memo, Spehar discusses his thoughts regarding potential claims and how his views are different from and/or similar to ETJ's views. This document is privileged because it was prepared for ETJ in anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals ETJ's mental impressions, legal theories and legal strategies for this case.				and 7.	
32	GS	ETJ	Memorandum entitled "A Review of CMGT Evidence from Mayer Brown's Email Record." At ETJ's request, Spehar reviewed documents produced by MBRM. In this memo, Spehar discusses emails produced by MBRM. Spehar provides his insight into these emails as a person/witness who has first hand knowledge of the facts alleged in Plaintiff's Complaint. This document is privileged because it was prepared for ETJ in anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals legal theories being considered by ETJ.	12/6/06	Memo	WP	Responsive to Request Number 7. Because this memorandum post-dates the filing of Plaintiff's Complaint, it is not relevant to the Unclean Hands Issue.	404-461
33	GS	ETJ	Memorandum entitled "Response to Motion to Dismiss." In this memo, Spehar discusses strategies for responding to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss. This	12/14/06	Memo	WP	Responsive to Request Number 7. Because this memorandum	462-475

PRIVILEGE LOG FOR SPEHAR DOCUMENTS (CONT'D)

Page 16 of 23

LOG #	AUTHOR	RECIPIENT	DESCRIPTION	DATE	TYPE	PRIV.	PURPOSE OF PRODUCTION	BATES #
			document is privileged because it was prepared for ETJ in anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals legal strategies considered by ETJ.				post-dates the filing of Plaintiff's Complaint, it is not relevant to the Unclean Hands Issue.	
34	GS	ETJ	Memorandum entitled "Response to Novak's Motion to Dismiss." In this memo, Spehar discusses strategies for responding to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss. This document is privileged because it was prepared for ETJ in anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals legal strategies considered by ETJ.	12/26/06	Memo	WP	Responsive to Request Number 7. Because this memorandum post-dates the filing of Plaintiff's Complaint, it is not relevant to the Unclean Hands Issue.	476-494
35	GS	ETJ	Memorandum entitled "December 31, 2006 comments on Novak's Motion to Dismiss." In this memo, Spehar discusses strategies for responding to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss. This document is privileged because it was prepared for ETJ in anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals legal strategies considered by ETJ.	12/31/06	Memo	WP	Responsive to Request Number 7. Because this memorandum post-dates the filing of Plaintiff's Complaint, it is not relevant to the Unclean Hands Issue.	495-499

PRIVILEGE LOG FOR SPEHAR DOCUMENTS (CONT'D)

Page 17 of 23

LOG #	AUTHOR	RECIPIENT	DESCRIPTION	DATE	TYPE	PRIV.	PURPOSE OF PRODUCTION	BATES #
36	GS	ETJ	Memorandum entitled "Response to MBRM's February 7, 2007 Reply." In this memo, Spehar discusses strategies for responding to arguments made by Defendants' in their Reply in Support of their Motion to Dismiss. This document is privileged because it was prepared for ETJ in anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals legal strategies considered by ETJ.	2/22/07	Memo	WP	Responsive to Request Number, 7. Because this memorandum post-dates the filing of Plaintiff's Complaint, it is not relevant to the Unclean Hands Issue.	500-519
37	GS	ETJ	Memorandum entitled "Addendum to February 22, 2007 Response to MBRM: Duty and Causation." In this memo, Spehar discusses strategies for responding to arguments made by Defendants' in their Reply in Support of their Motion to Dismiss. This document is privileged because it was prepared for ETJ in anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals legal strategies considered by ETJ.	2/22/07	Memo	WP	Responsive to Request Number 7. Because this memorandum post-dates the filing of Plaintiff's Complaint, it is not relevant to the Unclean Hands Issue.	520-523
38	GS	ETJ	Memorandum entitled "Addendum to February 22, 2007 Response to MBRM: Duty and Causation." In this memo, Spehar discusses strategies for responding to arguments made by Defendants in their Reply in Support of their Motion to Dismiss. This document is privileged	3/1/07	Memo	WP	Responsive to Request Number 7. Because this memorandum post-dates the filing of Plaintiff's	524-527

PRIVILEGE LOG FOR SPEHAR DOCUMENTS (CONT'D)

Page 18 of 23

LOG #	AUTHOR	RECIPIENT	DESCRIPTION	DATE	TYPE	PRIV.	PURPOSE OF PRODUCTION	BATES #
			because it was prepared for ETJ in anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals legal strategies considered by ETJ.				Complaint, it is not relevant to the Unclean Hands Issue.	
39	GS	ETJ	Same as the memo with Bates numbers 56-61 except that this version does not contain the last paragraph found in the memo with Bates numbers 56-61.	3/26/07	Memo	WP	Responsive to Request Number 7. Because this memorandum post-dates the filing of Plaintiff's Complaint, it is not relevant to the Unclean Hands Issue.	528-532
40	GS	ETJ	In this memo, Spehar discusses certain emails and how those emails can be used to refute arguments made by Defendants in their Motion to Dismiss. This document is privileged because it was prepared for ETJ in anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals legal strategies considered by ETJ.	6/21/07	Memo	WP	Responsive to Request Number 7. Because this memorandum post-dates the filing of Plaintiff's Complaint, it is not relevant to the Unclean Hands Issue.	533-539
41	GS	RDC/ETJ	In this letter, Spehar discusses RDC's reaction to the Court's denial of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss and	7/10/07	Letter	WP	Responsive to Request Number 7. Because this	540-543

PRIVILEGE LOG FOR SPEHAR DOCUMENTS (CONT'D)

Page 19 of 23

LOG #	AUTHOR	RECIPIENT	DESCRIPTION	DATE	TYPE	PRIV.	PURPOSE OF PRODUCTION	BATES #
			RDC's thoughts regarding strategy going forward. This document is privileged because it was prepared for ETJ in anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals ETJ's legal strategy.				memorandum post-dates the filing of Plaintiff's Complaint, it is not relevant to the Unclean Hands Issue.	
42	GS	RDC/ETJ	In this memo, Spehar discusses strategies for responding to Defendants' Motion to Reconsider. This document is privileged because it was prepared for ETJ in anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals legal strategies considered by ETJ.	7/30/07	Memo	WP	Responsive to Request Number 7. Because this memorandum post-dates the filing of Plaintiff's Complaint, it is not relevant to the Unclean Hands Issue.	544-549
43	GS	ETJ	Memorandum entitled "Comments on MB's August 23, 2007 Reply in Support of its Motion for Reconsideration." In this memo, Spehar discusses strategies for responding to arguments made by Defendants in their Reply in Support of their Motion to Reconsider. This document is privileged because it was prepared for ETJ in anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals legal	9/19/07	Memo	WP	Responsive to Request Number 7. Because this memorandum post-dates the filing of Plaintiff's Complaint, it is not relevant to the Unclean	553-566

PRIVILEGE LOG FOR SPEHAR DOCUMENTS (CONT'D)

Page 20 of 23

LOG #	AUTHOR	RECIPIENT	DESCRIPTION	DATE	TYPE	PRIV.	PURPOSE OF PRODUCTION	BATES #
44	GS	ETJ	strategies considered by ETJ. Memorandum entitled " <u>Additions to First Draft Comments on MB's August 23, 2007 Reply in Support of its Motion for Reconsideration.</u> " This memo is an updated version of the memo with Bates numbers 553-566. This document is privileged because it was prepared for ETJ in anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals legal strategies considered by ETJ.	9/24/07	Memo	WP	Hands Issue. Responsive to Request Number 7. Because this memorandum post-dates the filing of Plaintiff's Complaint, it is not relevant to the Unclean Hands Issue.	567-573
45	GS	ETJ	Memorandum entitled "Three Late Comments on Mayer Brown's Reply." In this memo, Spehar discusses strategies for responding to arguments made by Defendants' in their Reply in Support of their Motion for Reconsideration. This document is privileged because it was prepared for ETJ in anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals legal strategies considered by ETJ.	10/12/07	Memo	WP	Responsive to Request Number 7. Because this memorandum post-dates the filing of Plaintiff's Complaint, it is not relevant to the Unclean Hands Issue.	575
46	GS	DG & JT	In this memo, Spehar discusses the various approaches to certain damage related issues that had been the subject of discussions between Spehar and ETJ. This memo is an attachment to an email with Bates # 762. The email was not	7/26/06	Memo	WP	Responsive to Request Numbers 1, 2 and 7.	763-767

LOG #	AUTHOR	RECIPIENT	DESCRIPTION	DATE	TYPE	PRIV.	PURPOSE OF PRODUCTION	BATES #
			withheld. This document is privileged because it was prepared for Plaintiff in anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals ETJ's mental impressions, legal theories and legal strategies for this case.					
47	GS	DG & JT	Same memo as the one with Bates numbers 363-370. This memo was attached to an email sent to DG. The email (Bates #762) was not withheld.	7/24/06	Memo	WP	Responsive to Request Numbers 1, 2 and 7.	768-779
48	GS	DG, RDC, AWA, Joyce & JT	In this email, Spehar discusses his views regarding what amount Plaintiff should be willing to accept as a settlement. During that discussion, Spehar refers to legal theories that had been the subject of discussions between ETJ, Plaintiff and Spehar. This document is privileged because it was prepared for Plaintiff in anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals ETJ's mental impressions and legal theories of this case.	8/15/07	Email	WP	Responsive to Request Number 7. Because this memorandum post-dates the filing of Plaintiff's Complaint, it is not relevant to the Unclean Hands Issue.	636-640
49	GS	DG & JT	In this email, Spehar discusses his understanding of what RDC's mental impressions/legal theories were regarding potential claim(s) against Defendants. Spehar's statements, while not an entirely accurate description of RDC's thoughts and/or comments, do reveal RDC's mental impressions/legal theories regarding this case. Prior to Sephar	7/24/06	Email With a memo attached	WP	Responsive to Request Numbers 1, 2 and 7.	667-675

PRIVILEGE LOG FOR SPEHAR DOCUMENTS (CONT'D)

LOG #	AUTHOR	RECIPIENT	DESCRIPTION	DATE	TYPE	PRIV.	PURPOSE OF PRODUCTION	BATES #
50	GS	DG, RDC, AWA, Joyce & JT	writing the attached memo, he and ETJ had discussions in which ETJ raised certain damage related questions/issues. In his memo, Spehar addresses the damage related questions/issues previously raised by ETJ. Spehar specifically discussed RDC's interpretation of certain cases. The subject matter of Spehar's email relates primarily to the damage element of a legal malpractice claim. This document is privileged because it was prepared for Plaintiff in anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals RDC's mental impressions and legal theories of this case.	8/15/07	Email	WP	Responsive to Request Number 7. Because this memorandum post-dates the filing of plaintiff's Complaint, it is not relevant to the Unclean Hands Issue.	576-580
51	GS	RDC/ETJ and SC	Memorandum entitled "Memo: CMGT v. Mayer Brown." At ETJ's request, Spehar gave ETJ a memo summarizing facts and	Undated	Memo	WP	Responsive to Request Numbers 1, 2	609-633

PRIVILEGE LOG FOR SPEHAR DOCUMENTS (CONT'D)

Page 23 of 23

LOG #	AUTHOR	RECIPIENT	DESCRIPTION	DATE	TYPE	PRIV.	PURPOSE OF PRODUCTION	BATES #
			<p>potential claims. This memo is an attachment to an email dated 7/26/05 from Spehar to Carroll (Bates numbers 607-608.) That email was not withheld. This document is privileged because it was prepared for Plaintiff in anticipation of litigation/trial and it reveals legal theories considered by Plaintiff.</p>				and 7.	