

EXHIBIT 28

Trademark Trial and Appeal Board Electronic Filing System. <http://esta.uspto.gov>

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA123509**

Filing date: **02/06/2007**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	92045778
Party	Plaintiff CENTRAL MFG. CO. (INC.) CENTRAL MFG. CO. (INC.)
Correspondence Address	LEO STOLLER CENTRAL MFG. CO. TRADEMARK & LICENSING DEPT. 7115 W. NORTH AVE., #272 OAK PARK, IL 60302 UNITED STATES
Submission	Reply in Support of Motion
Filer's Name	Leo Stoller
Filer's e-mail	ldms4@hotmail.com
Signature	/Leo Stoller/
Date	02/06/2007
Attachments	googlereply2_06.pdf (3 pages)(11366 bytes)

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

CENTRAL MFG. CO. (INC.)
(Delaware Corporation),

Petitioner,

vs.

Cancellation No. 92045778

GOOGLE, INC.,

Respondent.

**REPLY TO GOOGLE INC.'S OBJECTION AND RESPONSE TO
NON-PARTY LEO STOLLER'S PURPORTED FILINGS
OF FEBRUARY 6, 2007, JANUARY 11, 2007 AND JANUARY 19, 2007
RE: AGREED DISMISSAL OF PETITION FOR CANCELLATION**

NOW COMES Leo Stoller, President of Central Mfg. Co., Inc., and states
as follows:

Respondent's response to Stoller's filings of January 10, January 11, and
January 19, 2007, is inappropriate, misleading and violates the Trademark Trial
and Appeal Board Rules of Professional Conduct. Mr. Zeller, attorney for the
Respondent, hid and concealed from the Board, what transpired in Judge
Schmetterer's Courtroom on January 18, 2007. Mr. Zeller was not present, but
counsel for Google was present. Leo Stoller asked Judge Schmetterer in open
court to modify his order because Judge Schmetterer had previously indicated in
the hearing before January 18th, that Google should answer its motion for
summary judgment which pends before the Trademark Trial & Appeal Board. At

the request of Leo Stoller on January 18th, Judge Schmetterer provided that Google respond to the Trademark Trial & Appeal Board motion for summary judgment, and Judge Schmetterer made a hand-written notation in the January 18th order which has the Trademark Trial & Appeal Board mentioned. Google was instructed to take necessary or appropriate actions in connection therewith in this Court or in the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board or both.

If the Petitioner is wrong in its interpretation of Judge Schmetterer's Order, why has Google's counsel not gone before Judge Schmetterer for clarification? The answer is simple; Google's counsel, Mr. Zeller, does not want clarification because he is well aware from the previous appearance when he was present before Judge Schmetterer that Google was ordered to respond to the motion for summary judgment that pends before the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

/Leo Stoller/
Leo Stoller, President
CENTRAL MFG. CO., INC., Petitioner
7115 W. North Avenue #272
Oak Park, Illinois 60302
(773) 589-0340

Date: February 6, 2007

Certificate of On-Line Filing

I hereby certify that on February 6, 2007, this paper is being filed online in this case with the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board.

/Leo Stoller/

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that on February 6, 2007 a copy of the foregoing was sent by First Class mail with the U.S. Postal Service in an envelope addressed to:

Michael T. Zeller
*Quinn, Emmanuel, Urquhart,
Oliver & Hedges, LLP.*
865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor
Los Angeles Ca 90017

Leo Stoller
Date: February 6, 2007