

United States District Court Northern District of Illinois
APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAR PRO HAC VICE

RECEIVED
MAR 19 2007
MICHAEL W. DOBINSKI
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

Case Title: SPARK NETWORK SERVICES, INC.
Plaintiff(s)
VS.
MATCH.COM, LP, eHARMONY.COM, INC. AND YAHOO!, INC.
Case Number: 07CV570 Judge: GOTTSCHALL

I, Kevin J. Meek hereby apply to the Court
under Local Rule 83.14 for permission to appear and participate in the above-entitled action on behalf of
MATCH.COM by whom I have been retained.

I am a member in good standing and eligible to practice before the following courts:

Table with 2 columns: Title of Court, Date Admitted. Rows include Northern District of Texas (12/01/88), Eastern District of Texas (04/21/94), Southern District TX (01/18/06), Federal Circuit US Court of Appeals (06/05/91).

I have currently, or within the year preceding the date of this application, made pro hac vice applications to this Court in the following actions:

Table with 3 columns: Case Number, Case Title, Date of Application (Granted or Denied)*. All cells are currently empty.

*If denied, please explain:
(Attach additional form if necessary)

Pursuant to Local Rule 83.15(a), applicants who do not have an office within the Northern District of Illinois must designate, at the time of filing their initial notice or pleading, a member of the bar of this Court having an office within this District upon who service of papers may be made.

Has the applicant designated local counsel? Yes [] No [X]

If you have not designated local counsel, Local Rule 83.15(b) provides that the designation must be made within thirty (30) days.


Has the applicant ever been:

- censured, suspended, disbarred, or otherwise disciplined by any court? Yes No
- or is the applicant currently the subject of an investigation of the applicant's professional conduct? Yes No
- transferred to inactive status, voluntarily withdrawn, or resigned from the bar of any court? Yes No
- denied admission to the bar of any court? Yes No
- held in contempt of court? Yes No

NOTE: If the answer to any of the above questions is yes, please attach a brief description of the incident(s) and the applicant's current status before any court, or any agency thereof, where disciplinary sanctions were imposed, or where an investigation or investigations of the applicant's conduct may have been instituted.

I have read the Rules of Professional Conduct for the Northern District of Illinois, effective November 12, 1991 (Local Rules 83.50 through 83.58), and the Standards for Professional Conduct within the Seventh Federal Judicial Circuit, effective December 15, 1992, and will faithfully adhere to them. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

03/14/07



Date


Signature of Applicant

Applicant's Name	Last Name Meek		First Name Kevin		Middle Name/Initial J.
	Applicant's Law Firm Baker Botts L.L.P.				
Applicant's Address	Street Address (include suite or room number) 2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 1100				State Bar Number 13899600
	City Dallas	State Texas	ZIP Code 75201	Work Phone Number (214) 953-6500	

(The pro hac vice admission fee is \$100.00 for cases filed before February 1, 2001, and \$50.00 for cases filed on or after that date, and shall be paid to the Clerk. No admission under Rule 83.14 is effective until such time as the fee has been paid.)

(Fee Stamp)

NOTE: Attorneys seeking to appear pro hac vice may wish to consider filing a petition for admission to the general bar of this Court. The fee for admission to the General Bar is \$150.00. The fee for pro hac vice admission is \$100.00 for cases filed before February 1, 2001, and \$50.00 for cases filed on or after that date. Admission to the general bar permits an attorney to practice before this Court. Pro hac vice admission entitles an attorney to appear in a particular case only. Application for such admission must be made in each case; and the admission fee must be paid in each case.




ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that the applicant herein may appear in the above-entitled case.

DATED:

3/21/07



United States District Judge