EXHIBIT F

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

ILLINOIS COMPUTER RESEARCH, LLC, Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant, vs. FISH & RICHARDSON, P.C., Defendant, Counterclaimant and Third-party Plaintiff, vs. SCOTT C. HARRIS, Third-Party Defendant and Counterclaimant, vs. FISH & RICHARDSON, P.C., Defendant, Counterclaimant, Third-Party Plaintiff, and Counterclaim Defendant.	Docket No. 07 C 5081 Chicago, Illinois December 21, 2007 9:30 a.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
BEFORE THE HONORABLE REBECCA R. PALLMEYER

APPEARANCES:

For Illinois Computer Research and Scott Harris:	NIRO SCAVONE HALLER & NIRO, LTD. BY: MR. PAUL K. VICKREY MS. KAREN L. BLOUIN 181 West Madison Street, Suite 4600 Chicago, Illinois 60602

the test for work product.

MR. VICKREY: Your Honor, it's directly at odds what they are saying in this case. I mean, it goes to their credibility. It goes to Fish's credibility.

If they are saying: No, that's not what we told them. That's not what we were looking into. We were looking into things on some erroneous premise, that goes directly to what they are saying in this case, that he somehow has done something wrong. It goes to the heart of their claim.

THE COURT: Whether he has done something wrong is, again, unfortunately probably a decision I am going to be having to make. I don't think the views of some outside lawyer on a record that may or may not be the same record I will be looking at are something that I need to know about or that Mr. Harris needs to know about.

He is entitled, once again, to any communications that that outside attorney -- or maybe he was an internal person; I don't know -- that firm counsel made to him regarding his activities.

MR. TRUAX: And that disclosure would be limited to Mr. Harris and not to the other entities.

THE COURT: To Mr. Harris, right.

All right. What's our next status?

MR. TRUAX: Judge, we have two other brief things quickly.