

Exhibit B

JENNER & BLOCK

November 27, 2007

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Paul K. Vickrey, Esq.
Niro Scavone Haller & Niro
181 West Madison Street, Suite 4600
Chicago, Illinois 60602

Jenner & Block LLP
330 N. Wabash Avenue
Chicago, IL 60611-7603
Tel 312 222-9350
www.jenner.com

Chicago
Dallas
New York
Washington, DC

David J. Bradford
Tel 312 923-2975
Fax 312 840-7375
dbradford@jenner.com

Dear Mr. Vickrey:

This letter responds to your November 19, 2007 letter requesting the production of certain documents on an expedited basis.

As to the first paragraph of your letter, subject to the condition set forth in this letter and entry of a protective order, we will supply you with the requested information, including appropriate identification of documents on a privilege log. We do so to avoid a needless dispute, even though your request for an identification of what communications, if any, between Harris and counsel, were reviewed by either this law firm or the Fish & Richardson firm seeks attorney work product. We will do so on the condition that you agree that our provision of this information is not a waiver of the work product doctrine or other applicable privilege. As a general matter, you should be advised that, contrary to your apparent assumption, as of this time, neither this firm nor Fish & Richardson has reviewed any emails that were sent from any email account other than Mr. Harris's Fish & Richardson account. However, we reserve the right to review emails from Mr. Harris's other email accounts, including those sent through the Fish & Richardson server.

As to the first part of the second paragraph of your letter, as previously indicated, we will supply you with the Fish & Richardson email policy, subject to entry of a protective order.

As to the second part of the second paragraph of your letter, we do not understand either the scope or the relevance of your request for "documents sufficient to establish every other instance in which Fish searched the emails sent by or to any of its employee-attorneys." For example, if the managing partner searches his or her inbox for an email from an attorney that the attorney sent to the managing partner about a particular case or responding to an invitation to a meeting, that would be included in your request. It would be impossible to reconstruct every instance in which that happened. If you intend to request something narrower or different, please advise and please advise as to the relevance of what attorneys at Fish & Richardson have or have not done with respect to searching for emails from attorneys at the firm other than your client.

Additionally, nothing in this response should be construed as an acknowledgment that Mr. Harris was merely an employee of Fish & Richardson.

Paul K. Vickrey, Esq.
November 27, 2007
Page 2

As to the third paragraph, we will comply with your request, including -- as you request -- a privilege log as appropriate.

I am available to meet and confer with you with respect to any issues or concerns you may have. We also look forward to your comments, if any, on the draft protective order which was sent to you yesterday.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "David J. Bradford".

David J. Bradford

DJB:fms

NIRO, SCAVONE, HALLER & NIRO

RAYMOND P. NIRO
TIMOTHY J. HALLER
WILLIAM L. NIRO
JOSEPH N. HOSTENY, III
ROBERT A. VITALE, JR.
JOHN C. JANKA
PAUL K. VICKREY
DEAN D. NIRO
RAYMOND P. NIRO, JR.
PATRICK F. SOLON
ARTHUR A. GASEY
CHRISTOPHER J. LEE
DAVID J. SHEIKH
VASILIOS D. DOSSAS
SALLY WIGGINS
RICHARD B. MEGLEY, JR.
MATTHEW G. McANDREWS

181 WEST MADISON STREET-SUITE 4600

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60602-4635

TELEPHONE (312) 236-0733

FACSIMILE (312) 236-3137

November 29, 2007

PAUL C. GIBBONS
BRADY J. FULTON
GREGORY P. CASIMER
DOUGLAS M. HALL
DINA M. HAYES
FREDERICK C. LANEY
DAVID J. MAHALEK
KARA L. SZPONDOWSKI
ROBERT A. CONLEY
ERIC J. MERSMANN
NICHOLAS M. DUDZIAK
KAREN L. BLOUIN
TAHITI ARSULOWICZ

OF COUNSEL:
THOMAS G. SCAVONE

Via Electronic Transmittal

David J. Bradford
JENNER & BLOCK LLP
One IBM Plaza
Chicago, Illinois 60611

Re: Harris v. Fish & Richardson

Dear Mr. Bradford:

Regarding your November 27 letter, are you producing the emails? We will not contend that the provision of the information constitutes a waiver of the work product doctrine or the attorney client privilege.

As to the email search, obviously, we are not requesting those instances when an attorney has searched his or her own inbox - - did you really believe otherwise? My letter made clear that we are seeking instances in which Fish undertook to search the emails sent by or to a Fish attorney (by, for example, bypassing that attorney's personal access password, as it did with Mr. Harris).

I will get back to you with comments on the draft Protective Order.

Very truly yours,



Paul K. Vickrey

PKV/kan