## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

WILLIE JOHNSON,	)		
Plaintiff,	) )		
V .	)	No.	07 C 6862
ROBERT GARZA, et al.,	) )		
Defendants.	)		

## MEMORANDUM ORDER

As this Court had directed in its brief August 15 memorandum order ("Order"), counsel for plaintiff Willie Johnson ("Johnson") has filed Plaintiff's Response to Defendants' <u>Heck v. Humphrey</u> Defense. Over and above the puzzling aspect of the current assertion by defendant of a Fed. R. Civ. P. ("Rule") 12(b)(6) defense on that score, as identified in the Order, Johnson's current Response demonstrates that any such attempted invocation of <u>Heck v. Humphrey</u> is totally without merit.

Nothing in Johnson's acknowledgment of his violation of a condition of supervised release, as the result of which no sanction was imposed by this Court's colleague Honorable James Holderman, even arguably equates to a "conviction" or "sentence" that must be reversed or otherwise stricken before a 42 U.S.C. \$1983 action can be filed, as <u>Heck</u> teaches. Nor is Johnson's situation in that respect even arguably comparable to the loss of good time credits flowing from prison disciplinary proceedings, a situation to which Edward v. Balisok, 520 U.S. 641 (1997)

extended the <u>Heck</u> principle.

Accordingly that portion of the responsive pleading (Rule 12(b)(6) defense No. 3), treated as a motion to dismiss, is denied. That portion of defendants' responsive pleading is stricken.

Wilton & Shaden

Milton I. Shadur Senior United States District Judge

Date: September 8, 2008