

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

ERICH SPECHT, et al.,)	
)	Civil Action No. 09-cv-2572
Plaintiffs,)	
v.)	Judge Leinenweber
)	
GOOGLE INC.)	Magistrate Judge Cole
)	
Defendant.)	

**GOOGLE’S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE ITS
REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

Defendant GOOGLE INC. (“Google”), by and through its undersigned attorneys, hereby submits this unopposed motion for an extension of time in which to file its Reply (and associated documentation) in support of its pending Motion for Summary Judgment (“Motion,” Dkt. No. 252). In support of this Motion, Google states as follows:

1. On August 20, 2010, Google filed its Motion, seeking entry of summary judgment in its favor on all counts of Plaintiffs’ Second Amended Complaint and Counts I and III of Google’s counterclaims. On August 24, 2010, the Court set a briefing schedule on Google’s Motion (Dkt. No. 259), under which Plaintiffs’ response to Google’s Motion was due by October 5, 2010, and Google’s reply was due by October 26, 2010.

2. On September 30, 2010, the parties appeared before the Court for presentment of Google’s Motion to exclude certain documents and information not produced by Plaintiffs in a timely fashion (Dkt. No. 261). During that hearing, Plaintiffs requested an extension of time until October 8, 2010 in which to submit their Response to Google’s Motion, which the Court granted (Dkt. No. 264). While the Court inquired at that time as to whether Google desired a corresponding extension of time in which to submit its reply, Google informed the Court that it did not believe such an extension would be necessary.

3. While Google had initially anticipated having its Reply ready by the originally set October 26 deadline, additional time is required. Accordingly, Google respectfully requests four (4) additional business days in which to finalize and file its Reply (and associated documents), by November 1, 2010.

4. Plaintiffs, through counsel, has advised that they do not object to the requested extension.

WHEREFORE, Google respectfully requests that the Court extend the deadline for the submission of its Reply (and associated documentation) in Support of its Motion to November 1, 2010.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: October 26, 2010

/s Herbert H. Finn
Herbert H. Finn (ARDC #6205685)
Richard D. Harris (ARDC #1137913)
Jeffrey P. Dunning (ARDC #6273364)
Cameron M. Nelson (ARDC #6275585)
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
77 West Wacker Drive, Suite 3100
Chicago, IL 60601
(312) 456-8400

COUNSEL FOR GOOGLE INC.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the date set forth below, I electronically filed the foregoing GOOGLE'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE ITS REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filings to all counsel of record.

Dated: October 26, 2010

/s Herbert H. Finn _____