

## EXHIBIT B

Dunning 3/26/10 e-mail

---

**From:** Dunning, Jeffrey (Assoc-Chi-IP/Tech)  
**Sent:** Friday, March 26, 2010 9:37 AM  
**To:** Finn, Herbert (Shld-Chi-IP-Tech)  
**Subject:** Specht/Google

For review/comment:

\*\*\*\*\*

Counsel:

This is to summarize the subjects discussed during our telephone conference yesterday. Please let us know as soon as possible if you believe that anything below is inaccurate.

1. Redesignation of documents produced prior to entry of the Protective Order.

The parties agreed to redesignate documents produced prior to entry of the Protective Order by April 5.

2. Metadata

The parties agreed to produce metadata corresponding to each of the metadata fields listed in Mr. Haarlow's March 11, 2010 e-mail. To the extent that any prior electronic and hard copy production sets did not include OCR data, the parties will provide OCR data for those production sets. Mr. Haarlow and Mr. Dunning will confer if needed to resolve any remaining issues relating to metadata.

3. Production of Correspondence with the USPTO

Plaintiffs agreed to produce documents relating to any correspondence with the USPTO relating to the ANDROID or DROID marks, including but not limited to Mr. Fleming's November 13, 2009 letter relating to Ser. No. 77/845,682.

4. Google's Subpoena to Martin Murphy

Plaintiffs represented that Mr. Murphy has never had any business dealings with any of the Plaintiffs, and that he knows of only one document which is responsive to Google's subpoena, which he will produce. Mr. Murphy will memorialize these facts in a supplemental response to the subpoena requests.

5. Plaintiffs' Responses to Google's Second Set of Interrogatories

Plaintiffs offered to withdraw their objection that Google's interrogatories are in excess of the number permitted and respond to Interrogatory Nos. 13 and 15-18, provided that Google agrees that no response to Interrogatory No. 14 is required at this time. Google agreed to give further consideration to Plaintiffs' position with regard to Interrogatory No. 14, and will respond to Plaintiffs' offer shortly.

6. Google's Responses to Plaintiffs' Second Set of Interrogatories

Plaintiffs are requesting identification of revenues associated with (i) developer fees and (ii) search revenues derived from Android-powered devices. Google will investigate whether and to what extent such information is available, and will identify by April 1 what, if any, additional information it is willing to provide.

7. Plaintiffs' Responses to Google's Third Set of Document Requests

5/2/2011

Plaintiffs confirmed that they intend to produce additional documents by March 31, which will include documents responsive to Google's Third Set of Document Requests.

Sincerely,

Jeff Dunning

Jeffrey P. Dunning  
Associate  
Greenberg Traurig, LLP | 77 West Wacker Drive | Suite 3100 | Chicago, IL 60601  
Tel 312.456.6612 | Fax 312.899.0351  
[dunningj@gtlaw.com](mailto:dunningj@gtlaw.com) | [www.gtlaw.com](http://www.gtlaw.com)

