# United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois 

| Name of Assigned Judge <br> or Magistrate Judge | Harry D. Leinenweber | sitting Judge if Other <br> than Assigned Judge |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| CASE NUMBER | 09 C 3605 | DATE | $10 / 14 / 2010$ |
| CASE | Rosas v. City of Chicago et al. |  |  |
| TITLE |  |  |  |

## DOCKET ENTRY TEXT

Defendants' Bill of Costs is granted in part. Plaintiff is ordered to pay $\$ 627.90$ to Defendants.
[ For further details see text below.]

## STATEMENT

Bradley Co., 945 F.2d 1404, 1410 (7th Cir. 1991). Copy charges between $\$ 0.10$ and $\$ 0.20$ per page are reasonable. See Harkins v. Riverboat Servs., Inc., 286 F. Supp. 2d 976, 982 (N.D. Ill. 2003). "For documents filed with the court, only copies for the court and one copy for opposing counsel are necessary." Ochana $v$. Flores, 206 F. Supp. 2d 941, 946 (N.D. Ill. 2002). Copy costs are permitted for documents produced in discovery, but the cost of a second copy of these documents made for the defendant's convenience is not recoverable. Baxter Int'l, Inc. v. McGaw, Inc., No. 95 C 2723, 1998 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 2422 (N.D. Ill. Feb. 27, 1998); see Sharp v. United Airlines, Inc., 197 F.R.D. 361, 362-63 (N.D. Ill. 2000).

Defendants claim 350 pages of copying for motions and discovery at a copy rate of $\$ 0.15$ per page. A number of these pages are taxable, but others are not. Defendants appear to have printed 64 pages of the Plaintiff's filings, but such printing is for the Defendants' own convenience and is not taxable. Defendants printed three copies of its own filings, but these printing costs are taxable as two copies were for the Court and one copy was for opposing counsel. Defendants also printed two copies of discovery requests, but only one set of copies will be allowed as, absent any evidence to the contrary, the Court assumes that the other 15 pages were for Defendants' convenience. This leaves a total of 271 pages taxable at a rate of $\$ 0.15$. Defendants also claim $\$ 36$ in fees for acquiring a certified copy of each of Plaintiff's four convictions. This is taxable as such copies were necessary to prove the convictions for Defendants' motion to dismiss. The total taxable copying fee is $\$ 76.65$.

## III. DOCKETING FEES

Defendants seek $\$ 20$ for a docketing fee. "In the usual case, plaintiffs pay docketing fees as part of the cost of filing a case." Frahm v. Equitable Life Assur. Soc'y of the United States, No. 93 C 0081, 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 10406, at *6 (N.D. Ill. July 17, 2000). The docketing fee is denied as Defendants provide no receipt indicating that they actually paid the docketing fee and give no supporting information indicating why they paid the fee instead of Plaintiff. See Fischer v. Avanade, Inc., No. 05 C 5594, 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 81037, at *4-5 (N.D. Ill. Oct. 31, 2007).

## IV. CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated herein, Defendants' Bill of Costs is granted in part. The Court orders Plaintiff to pay the sum of $\$ 627.90$ to Defendants.

