IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

CASEY FLOURNOY	#B11561,)				
	Plaintiff,))				
V.)	No.	10	С	5254
TERRY L. McCAIN	N, et al.,)				
	Defendants.)				

MEMORANDUM ORDER

On September 23, 2010 this Court issued its memorandum opinion and order dismissing both the pro se 42 U.S.C. §1983 Complaint brought by Casey Flournoy ("Flournoy") and this action as well.¹ Now this Court has received from the Clerk's Office Flournoy's handprinted Motion for Extension of Time ("Motion") that had not been filed there until January 11, 2011, although the Motion puzzlingly asks for an extension of time to November 25, 2010.

Whatever the explanation for that odd timing may be, what is clear is that Flournoy is not entitled to such a belatedly requested extension to revive his dismissed lawsuit. Accordingly his Motion is denied.

Uillan D Shaden

Milton I. Shadur Senior United States District Judge

Date: January 25, 2011

¹ When this Court later received the necessary information as to transactions in Flournoy's trust fund account, it issued an appropriate order calling for his payment of the \$350 filing fee in future installments.