IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

THOMAS V. RYBURN #B0455,)

Plaintiff,)

v.) 10 C 7024

DON HULICK, et al.,)

Defendants.)

MEMORANDUM ORDER

On October 28, 2010 Stateville Correctional Center

("Stateville") inmate thomas Ryburn ("Ryburn") filed two actions,
respectively assigned Case No. 10 C 7024 and Case No. 10 C 7025.

This Court dealt with each of them promptly by a November 2
memorandum order in Case No. 10 C 7025 and a November 3
memorandum in Case No. 10 C 7024. Ryburn has responded with a
handwritten communication in each case, so that a follow-up by
this Court is in order.

Because Ryburn tendered only a single In Forma Pauperis
Application ("Application") and a single Motion for Appointment
of Counsel ("Motion") in conjunction with his two simultaneously
filed Complaints, the Clerk's Office's procedure required it to
treat those submissions as relating to just one of the two cases
-- in this instance Case No. 10 C 7025. But as the November 3
memorandum in this case reflected, this Court is prepared to
treat both the Application and the Motion as applying to this
case as well.

Regrettably, Ryburn has failed to conform to the November 3 memorandum's directive, focusing instead on what he views as the merits of his claim (a subject on which the memorandum made no comment). Because of his noncompliance with that directive, this Court will act as it had stated at the end of the November 2 memorandum: Both Ryburn's Complaint and this action are dismissed without prejudice.

Milton I. Shadur

Senior United States District Judge

illan D Shaden

Dated: November 19, 2010