BringeroftthePain

No, but it does mean that you can't *call* them rapists without being sued. It's merely the difference between what they are and what they can be identified as in public. You can think he's a rapist to your hearts content, but you can't print it.

taylvie3

No, but someone found not guilty is innocent in the eyes of the law. Calling them differently on a blog opens you up to a libel suit. Truth would be a defense in a libel suit, but that would mean retrying a criminal case in a civil libel lawsuit to prevent paying $50M.

SarahMC

I understand that, but many people here are saying if a jury doesn't find a person guilty of something, it means they didn't do it, which is not true. For instance, Nick1693 above you just said if he's a rapist the facts will show it--which again, is not true. The facts might very well show it, but because the woman went out to get drunk, those facts are dismissed. I am not confused about what can and cannot be printed. I am confused by the view that if the courts don't convict someone, s/he must not have done it.

Dinosaurs and Nachos, girlfriend!

4:00 PM

FASHION Trio Behind Fake George Clooney Fashion Line Sentenced To Jail

MIDWEEK MADNESS 23,386 This Week In Tabloids: Random Chick Claims She Miscarried Matt Bellamy's Fetus

IN BRIEF Indiana Judge Lets Planned Parenthood Defunding Stand

MORE STORIES