

dominates, and other experiences are marginalized.

 **SubvertAParadigm**

Oh please, you really have an agenda that is dealing with something completely beyond the realm of the story at hand. This isn't about who gets a fair trial and who doesn't. We all get the social injustices that happen to people for various reasons in the legal system. This isn't news to anyone here. It's like you didn't even read my reply. I'm not invalidating what you're saying, but in the realm of *what I was actually talking about* versus *what you decided to rant about* they are two completely different things. It is completely irrelevant whether or not the guy, according to popular opinion, raped someone. He was acquitted, and now he's suing a blog for misrepresenting him...WHILE BEING MISREPRESENTED ON THE BLOG THAT'S EXPLAINING THE STORY. Spare me the sociological context of law, because I already understand it. It doesn't change what's actually happening at this exact juncture.

Edited by SubvertAParadigm at 05/12/11 5:53 PM

 **doublylinkedlists** promoted by SubvertAPar...

It's obviously relevant because you don't give any reason other than "X is illegal. You shouldn't do X" as why Jezebel shouldn't have published the title the way they did. Maybe they didn't give a fuck. Maybe their lawyers told them they would get away with it. Maybe they realized the risks but are actually making a stand. Regardless of their intentions, all of these reasons are also valid