- 24. Defendants intentionally omitted the following facts:
- a. The complainant that is the subject of all the news articles is the same woman.
- b. The jury was not allowed to consider the consent defense and, thus, the jury found that no sexual contact took place. The trial judge had barred the consent defense.
- c. The complainant sustained minor injuries from walking or running in a cornfield.
- d. There was no evidence of a Craigslist ad for a job for promotional modeling. There was no evidence that Mr. Huon represented himself as a talent scout.
- e. The video evidence at trial showed Mr. Huon, dressed in shorts, on a Sunday afternoon with the complainant, in a bar.
- f. There was no DNA evidence of semen and the complainant never went to the hospital.
- g. The police never interviewed witnesses at the scene who testified at trial that the complainant gave different versions of the alleged incident.
- h. The police asked the complainant to call Mr. Huon to arrange a private meeting and to ask for money.
- i. The complainant had gone drinking with Mr. Huon at several bars for hours.
- j. There was no evidence presented that the complainant jumped out of a moving car.
- k. There was no evidence of force presented at trial. The police report stated that complainant alleged that Mr. Huon raised his voice but that Mr. Huon never threatened the complainant.
- 1. The photograph of the complainant showed no injuries (besides from her walking in a cornfield barefoot) and showed her clothes to be completely intact with no tears.
- 25. Defendants intentionally invented the following fiction or made the following false statements available worldwide on Internet via the Abovethelaw.com website by:
 - a. Falsely identifying Mr. Huon as an <u>attorney rapist</u> near you on the day of his acquittal.
 - b. Falsely labeling Mr. Huon as wanton and depraved.
 - c. Falsely identifying Mr. Huon as a <u>St. Louis-area lawyer</u>. Mr. Huon's address in the news article is in Chicago and he was a financial advisor at the time of the alleged incident.
 - d. Falsely reporting that Mr. Huon came up with an excellent little **game to meet** women.
 - e. Falsely reporting that Meanith Huon allegedly <u>listed Craigslist ads</u> where he claimed to be a **talent scout for models**.
 - f. Falsely suggesting that Mr. Huon was targeting "bubble gum princesses at a BU party." The alleged complainant was 26 years old at the time of the alleged incident.
 - g. Falsely reporting that Mr. Huon told <u>lies</u>.
 - h. Falsely calling Mr. Huon's actions as **dastardly.**
 - i. Falsely reporting the complainant as a "victim" of Mr. Huon.

- j. Falsely reporting that the complainant <u>responded to a Craigslist ad posted by</u>
 <u>Huon</u> in late June, seeking promotional models.
- k. Falsely reporting that the two agreed to meet at the **downtown St Louis bar Paddy O's**.
- 1. Falsely reporting that Mr. Huon told complainant to meet him at certain bars.
- m. Falsely reporting that Mr. Huon told complainant that <u>"other promotional models left"</u> and that Mr. Huon "was going to <u>interview</u> her."
- n. Falsely reporting that if the complainant had Googled Mr. Huon, she would have found other stories in the Madison County Record and Lawyer Gossip.
- o. Falsely reporting that Mr. Huon was **posing as a supervisor for a company that sets up promotions for alcohol sales at area bars**.
- p. Falsely calling Mr. Huon a <u>potential rapist</u> and a <u>depraved dude walking</u> <u>around that are potential rapist</u>.
- q. Falsely reporting that Mr. Huon <u>asked the complainant if she wanted to go to Pop's in Sauget to meet the other models.</u>
- r. Falsely reporting that the complainant said she <u>didn't have enough gas in her</u> <u>car</u>, so she went with him.
- s. Falsely reporting that "This is gonna end badly."
- t. Falsely reporting that Mr. Huon" fondled the woman, then forced her to perform oral sex on him."
- u. Falsely stating that Mr. Huon "force" the complainant to perform oral sex and that the complainant jumped out of the car for that reason. Defendants write: "Oh, come on. If somebody was driving and tried to "force" me to perform oral sex on them, I'd just get out of the stupid car. Which is to say, <u>I'd do</u> exactly what the victim did in this case."
- v. Falsely reporting that the photograph of the woman showed **bruised knees**, skinned feet and cut toes.
- w. Falsely reporting that the issue of consent was an issue before the jury: "Damn.

 If you can't get a woman to consensually stay in a moving vehicle, can you
 really get her to consensually agree to sex (insofar as lying to her about your
 job and your intentions to get her into the car counts as consensual in the
 first place)? Obviously, Huon sees things differently."
- x. Falsely reporting that Mr. Huon lied about his intentions or that he tried to get complainant into the car.
- y. Falsely reporting that complainant hurled herself out of a moving car.
- z. Falsely reporting that Mr. Huon "raped" the complainant: "<u>It seems to me that there is entirely too much (alleged) raping going on in this country.</u>"
- Falsely inventing a fiction that the issue of consent was submitted to the jury. The issue of consent was never submitted to the jury and, thus, the jury had to have found no sexual contact. (Emphasis supplied.)