

United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

Name of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge	Samuel Der-Yeghiayan	Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge	
CASE NUMBER	11 C 4215	DATE	8/1/2011
CASE TITLE	Ronald Crosby (#2011-0101043) vs. Servant , et al.		

DOCKET ENTRY TEXT

As stated below, since Plaintiff has not paid the filing fee or filed an accurately and properly completed *in forma pauperis* application form, this action is dismissed. All pending motions are hereby stricken. Civil case terminated.

■ [For further details see text below.]

Docketing to mail notices.

STATEMENT

This matter is before the court on Plaintiff Ronald Crosby's (Crosby) second motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. On July 7, 2011, this court denied Crosby's first motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Crosby was given until July 28, 2011, to either pay the filing fee or file an accurately and properly completed *in forma pauperis* application form. Crosby was warned that if he failed to pay the filing fee or file an accurately and properly completed *in forma pauperis* application form by July 28, 2011, this case would be dismissed. On July 26, 2011, Crosby filed the instant motion.

On his first *in forma pauperis* application form, Crosby had indicated that he had not received more than \$200 from any source in the last twelve months. However, a review of Crosby's prison trust account statement showed deposits totaling \$240 in the last six months. In denying Crosby's first *in forma pauperis* application form, the court noted the \$240 in deposits. On the instant *in forma pauperis* application form, Crosby now indicates that he has received \$240 in gifts in the last twelve months. However, Crosby has still not provided the court with accurate information concerning his financial status. A review of the prison trust account statement most recently filed shows a recent deposit, and the trust account statement indicates more than \$240 in income in the last six months. Such income is not reflected on Crosby's instant *in forma*

STATEMENT

pauperis application form. In addition, the court notes that there are inconsistencies on the two *in forma pauperis* application forms submitted by Crosby relating to prior employment history. Therefore, since Crosby has not paid the filing fee or filed an accurately and properly completed *in forma pauperis* application form, this action is dismissed.