

United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

Name of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge	Samuel Der-Yeghiayan	Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge	
CASE NUMBER	11 C 5684	DATE	12/19/2011
CASE TITLE	Martin Padilla vs. David Baker, et al.		

DOCKET ENTRY TEXT

The court gave Plaintiff until December 15, 2011 to file the below-referenced motion and the memorandum. The court also indicated to Plaintiff that if he did not provide the below information, he could have until December 15, 2011 to pay the filing fee. The deadline has passed, and Plaintiff has not filed the below-referenced motion and the memorandum or paid the filing fee. Therefore, the instant action is dismissed. Civil case terminated.

■ [For further details see text below.]

Docketing to mail notices.

STATEMENT

On September 30, 2011, the court denied Plaintiff Martin Padilla's (Padilla) first motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* since Padilla had not submitted an *in forma pauperis* application form for proceeding in the Northern District of Illinois and had failed to indicate whether he received any income from sources other than those specifically listed on the form. The court gave Padilla until October 26, 2011 to pay the filing fee. The court also warned Padilla that failure to pay the filing fee by October 26, 2011 would result in the dismissal of the instant action.

Instead of paying the filing fee by the deadline given, Padilla filed a motion to reconsider and a second motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. On November 10, 2011, the court denied those motions. In making its rulings, the court indicated that Padilla had not shown that the court erred in denying Padilla's first motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*, and that Padilla's second motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* was deficient because Padilla failed to provide sufficient information concerning his financial status and did not properly complete his *in forma pauperis* application form. The court gave Padilla one final opportunity to provide sufficiently detailed information concerning his financial status. The court admonished Padilla that if he wished to proceed with the instant action, he should file a new *in forma*

STATEMENT

pauperis application together with a memorandum in the form of an affidavit indicating all income that Padilla or anyone residing in his household receives, the sources of such income, all valuable assets owned by Padilla or anyone residing in his household, and all expenses for Padilla or anyone residing in his household, including supporting documents. The court gave Padilla until December 15, 2011 to file the above-referenced motion and the memorandum. The court also indicated to Padilla that if he did not provide the above information, he could have until December 15, 2011 to pay the filing fee. The deadline has passed, and Padilla has not filed the above-referenced motion and the memorandum or paid the filing fee. Therefore, the instant action is dismissed.