## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS, EASTERN DIVISION

MIKE HARRIS and JEFF DUNSTAN, Individually and on behalf of a class of similarly Situated individuals,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 1:11-5807

Hon. James F. Holderman

COMSCORE, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

## <u>COMSCORE'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE BRIEF</u> <u>IN EXCESS OF PAGE LIMIT *INSTANTER*</u>

Defendant comScore, Inc. ("comScore") respectfully requests leave to file a brief in excess of fifteen (15) pages in support of its Motion to Dismiss Under Rules 12(b)(1) and 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

1. On August 23, 2011, Plaintiffs filed their Class Action Complaint ("Complaint") (Docket No. 1). The Complaint makes numerous and lengthy allegations under federal and state law involving complex issues concerning comScore's technology. The Complaint totals 124 paragraphs over 26 pages, and asserts claims under three different federal statutes, the Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Practices Act, and also includes a claim for unjust enrichment.

2. comScore's Motion to Dismiss addresses numerous pleading deficiencies in Plaintiffs' Complaint. Specifically, comScore argues that the Complaint should be dismissed because Plaintiffs consented to the conduct of which they complain; they lack standing to bring their claims; and have failed to state claims under the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, the Stored Communications Act, the Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Practices Act, and for unjust enrichment. An in depth analysis of the legal and factual issued raised by Plaintiffs' Complaint

1242069/SF

is needed to address these arguments, and therefore comScore requires more than 15 pages to adequately support its motion.

3. On November 1, 2011, comScore conferred with Plaintiffs' counsel and requested that the parties stipulate to the submission of a brief in excess of the page limit and to a briefing schedule, but Plaintiffs declined.

4. Given the length of the Complaint, the number of causes of action it alleges, and the numerous issues it raises, comScore requires more than fifteen pages to adequately respond to Plaintiffs' allegations in its Motion to Dismiss. Accordingly, comScore respectfully requests leave to file a brief in excess of 15 pages *instanter*, attached hereto as Exhibit A, which does not exceed 25 pages.

Dated: November 4, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

By: <u>/s/ Whitty Somvichian</u> Michael G. Rhodes,(*admitted pro hac vice*) Whitty Somvichian, (*admitted pro hac vice*) Ray Sardo,(*admitted pro hac vice*) COOLEY LLP 101 California Street, 5th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 693-2000 rhodesmg@cooley.com wsomvichian@cooley.com rsardo@cooley.com

David Z. Smith (ARDC #6256687) Leonard E. Hudson (ARDC # 6293044) REED SMITH LLP 10 South Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606-7507 Telephone: (312) 207-1000 Facsimile: (312) 207-6400

Attorneys for Defendant comScore, Inc.

## **Certificate of Service**

I hereby certify that on November 4, 2011, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notifications of such filings to the following:

## **Attorneys for Plaintiffs**

Jay Edelson William Charles Gray Steven W. Teppler Ari Jonathan Scharg EDELSON McGUIRE, LLC 350 North LaSalle, Suite 1300 Chicago, Illinois 60654 Telephone : (312)589-6370 jedelson@edelson.com wgray@edelson.com ascharg@edelson.com

Respectfully submitted,

By: <u>/s/ Whitty Somvichian</u> Michael G. Rhodes,(*admitted pro hac vice*) Whitty Somvichian, (*admitted pro hac vice*) Ray Sardo,(*admitted pro hac vice*) COOLEY LLP 101 California Street, 5th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 693-2000 rhodesmg@cooley.com wsomvichian@cooley.com rsardo@cooley.com

David Z. Smith (ARDC #6256687) Leonard E. Hudson (ARDC # 6293044) REED SMITH LLP 10 South Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606-7507 Telephone: (312) 207-1000 Facsimile: (312) 207-6400

Attorneys for Defendant comScore, Inc.