Exhibit C

```
Page 1
1
              IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3
             FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
                        EASTERN DIVISION
5
     TIMELINES, INC.,
         Plaintiff,
                                  ) No. 11-CV-06867
             VS.
10
     FACEBOOK, INC.,
                                  ) Judge John W. Darrah
11
         Defendant.
12
13
14
          HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSEL ONLY
15
16
17
              VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF BRIAN HAND
18
                        Chicago, Illinois
19
                  Thursday, September 20, 2012
20
21
22
23
     Reported by:
24
     PAULA CAMPBELL, CSR, RDR, CRR, CCP
25
     JOB NO. 53018
```

- $^{
 m 1}$ HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL OUTSIDE COUNSEL ONLY B. HAND
- A. Yes.
- Q. And how did go about preparing it?
- A. I used our -- the existing Timelines

 description of services, and then I looked up the

 Facebook description of services to see if there was

 overlap with what we were doing but had not

 described in our identification in the past, and

 what Facebook was doing and had described in their

 identification. And I thought to incorporate that

 in this application to make our description and our
- Q. So portions of this description that you prepared were based upon descriptions of services covered by Facebook trademark filings?

identification more complete.

- A. Yeah, I used -- I read through the way they described it, which more fully described the services we were providing, and used that to add to our identification.
- Q. And were the Facebook filings that you looked at for the mark Facebook?
- A. Yes.

12

16

17

18

19

- Q. And there weren't -- you didn't find any
 Facebook applications for the term timeline?
- ²⁵ A. No.

- $^{
 m 1}$ HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL OUTSIDE COUNSEL ONLY B. HAND
- Q. If you'll turn to the fifth page of the
- document with Bates number 2584 at the bottom?
- A. Yep.
- ⁵ Q. In the middle -- starting in the middle of
- the page, there is, again, a recitation of the
- services covered by this application.
- Does that look accurate?
- A. When you say accurate, is that -- it's the
- same as this one just prior, I believe.
- 11 Q. Yes?
- 12 A. Is that -- yes.
- Q. So is that the description you prepared?
- A. Yeah. It's the same one, yes.
- Q. And on the following page with Bates number
- 16 2585 at the bottom --
- ¹⁷ A. Yes.
- Q. -- there is a declaration on this page, and
- do you see there is a portion of the page that says
- signature, Brian Hand?
- ²¹ A. Yes.
- Q. Did you electronically sign this --
- ²³ A. Yes.
- Q. -- application?
- MR. ALBRITTON: Say yes again.

- 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL OUTSIDE COUNSEL ONLY B. HAND
- date of this, but yes. Let me look.
- Q. Look at the top.
- A. Yes.
- Q. So that's approximately two months after
- the lawsuit was filed?
- $^{\prime}$ A. Yes.
- Q. Without going through -- I mean, you can

 take as much time reading this, but what's your

 recollection of what the Patent and Trademark Office

 communicated in this office action?
- A. My understanding is that they denied the request for -- for the trademark.
- Q. And for what reason?
- A. I, quite frankly, didn't spent an awful loft time on this. I referred it to our counsel.
- Q. And I'm definitely not asking you to

 disclose any attorney-client privileged

 communications, any legal advice that he provided.
- 20 If you turn to the page that has 2591 at the bottom.
- 22 A. Okay.
- Q. If you look about a third of the way down,
 there is a heading with the number one, and it says
 Section 2E1 Refusal Merely Descriptive.

- 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL OUTSIDE COUNSEL ONLY B. HAND
- 2 A. Yes.

6

16

- Q. Does that refresh your recollection as to
 why the Patent and Trademark Office refused
 registration of -- or refused this application?
 - A. In reading this, yes.
- Q. And was it because the PTO took the position that the mark was merely descriptive?
- 9 A. I presume so. I'm -- I just read what it

 10 says here, yes.
- Q. Without disclosing any legal advice that you got, what did the company do in response to this office action?
- A. I referred it to our counsel, and then that was it.
 - Q. Did the company ever respond to it?
- 17 A. T.--
- MR. ALBRITTON: So you can -- so this is

 one where the client consulted with us about

 it. So you can answer yes or no. Did the

 company respond to the office action?
- A. Yes. No, we did not.
- Q. Why did the company not respond to this office action?
- MR. ALBRITTON: So that one is privileged.

- $^{
 m 1}$ HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL OUTSIDE COUNSEL ONLY B. HAND
- So I object. It calls for an answer that's
- covered by the attorney-client privilege, and I
- instruct the witness not to answer that
- ⁵ question.
- Q. And are you not answering based on your --
- 7 A. I'm not answering, yes. Correct.
- Q. Is there any reason the company had for not
- 9 responding to this office action that does not
- relate to anything that legal counsel told you?
- 11 A. No.
- Q. Has the company filed any applications for
- the term timelines covering a similar description of
- services since this application?
- A. I don't think so, no.
- Q. If you'll look at the pages starting with
- 17 2596 on the bottom.
- 18 A. Okay.
- Q. And from 2596 through, let's see how far it
- goes, all the way to 2640, have you seen those
- pages?
- A. Only when they first came in, before I
- forwarded everything on to our counsel.
- Q. And what's your understanding of what these
- pages are?

- $^{
 m l}$ HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL OUTSIDE COUNSEL ONLY B. HAND
- A. These are other services cited by the trademark examiner.
 - Q. And are they uses of the term timeline?
- A. I, quite honestly, didn't spend any time at all, other than just breezing through it, and then forwarded it on.
 - Q. Did you investigate any of the entities that are referenced in this string of pages?
- 10 A. No.
- Q. Were you concerned at all that any of them might be infringing your trademark?
- 13 A. I didn't spend any time looking at those,
 14 because at that point in time I had counsel that was
 15 handling things related to this.
 - Q. Did the company as a whole conduct any investigation into what these entities were doing with respect to the term timeline or timelines?
- 19 A. No.

16

17

18

- 20 Q. Do you have any reason to believe that the
 21 entities that are referenced in this string of pages
 22 from 2596 through 2640 are no longer using the term
 23 timeline?
- A. I don't know.
- Q. And if you look at the final page of the