Ali v. Facebook Doc. 6

Order Form (01/2005)

United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

Name of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge	Ronald A. Guzman	Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge	
CASE NUMBER	12 C 4793	DATE	6/27/2012
CASE TITLE	Haniyyah N. Ali v. Facebook		

DOCKET ENTRY TEXT

For the reasons stated below, the Court denies the plaintiff's motions to proceed in forma pauperis [4-1] and for appointment of counsel [5-1] and dismisses the case as frivolous. Civil case terminated.

For further details see text below.]

Docketing to mail notices.

STATEMENT

Plaintiff seeks to proceed in forma pauperis ("IFP") in this suit against Facebook for "harassment, (electronically), BRIBERY, invasion of privacy, intrusion and negligence" seeking \$100,000,000 in damages. The Court has the power to screen complaints filed by all litigants prior to service, regardless of their fee status, and must dismiss a complaint if it is frivolous, fails to state a claim or the defendant is immune from suit. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B). The complaint alleges that the

defendant continued to harass her to sign up for Facebook even after numerous attempts to contact the CEO (Mark Zuckerburg) regarding such harassment and torment. Defendant's staff responded once or twice never via phone or written correspondence—instead via email and never resolved the issue or provided an explanation for the continued harassment/stalking. As a targeted individual Plaintiff cites specific violation relative to U.S. Law. As Plaintiff does not have a Facbook page nor has she ever used or participated in or on the Social Networking site—rather has found herself a target of confiscated writings from her own notes in a collaborative and concerted effort to Gang-stalk and diminish mental capacity, destroy credibility and completely deteriorate one of the most important assets that Plaintiff has—her ability to generate income.

The Court denies plaintiff's motion to proceed IFP and motion for appointment of counsel and dismisses this suit as frivolous. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i); *Gladney v. Pendleton Corr. Facility*, 302 F.3d 773, 774 (7th Cir. 2002) (stating that a case can be dismissed as frivolous without an evidentiary hearing when "the facts alleged in the complaint are . . . [wholly] unbelievable").