Date: July 24, 2015

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

MICHAEL H. WU and CHRISTINE T. WU,)	
Plaintiffs,)	
v.)	Case Nos. 14 C 5392 and 15 C 2238
PRUDENTIAL FINANCIAL, INC., et al.,)	
Defendants.)	

MEMORANDUM ORDER

With Michael and Christine Wu having finally retained counsel after this Court's repeated urging that they do so rather than trying to go it alone in this complex litigation, this Court's docket review has revealed that attorney Andrew May filed a proposed Amended Complaint in each of these cases on July 20 without complying with this District Court's LR 5.2(f) requirement that paper copies of all filings be delivered to this Court's chambers within one working day. Although this Court never issues this type of memorandum order without allowing appropriate flexibility as to the timing of that required delivery, in this instance -- with a preset status hearing having been scheduled for July 29 -- counsel's several days' delinquency in compliance is simply not excusable. Accordingly attorney May is ordered:

- to deliver a paper copy of the new filing in each of the two cases to this
 Court's chambers forthwith -- not later than Monday, July 27; and
- to accompany that delivery with a check for \$200 payable to the Clerk of
 Court as a fine for counsel's noncompliance, with no reimbursement to be
 sought from the clients.

Milton I. Shadur

Senior United States District Judge

Willen D Shaden