## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

MERLE L. ROYCE,		)
	Plaintiff,	) )
v.		)
MICHAEL R. NEEDLE, P.C., et al.,		)
	Defendants.	)

Case No. 15 C 259

## SUPPLEMENT TO MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

This Court's further review of its lengthy February 2, 2016 memorandum opinion and

order (Dkt. No. 211) discloses that a few -- but important -- words were inadvertently inserted in

error. To correct that error the words "and this Court granted" are stricken from the second

sentence of the penultimate paragraph of the opinion's section captioned "Dismissed

Counterclaims," so that the sentence reads:

On that appointed date Fata requested leave to withdraw Needle, P.C.'s amended responsive pleadings in order to amend them further (Dkt. No. 106).

And to make the reason for that change clear, the following sentence is added at the end of that

paragraph:

Because this Court did not grant Fata's July 30 motion (Dkt. No. 117), Needle, P.C.'s amended responsive pleadings remained intact.

Willow D Shaden

Milton I. Shadur Senior United States District Judge

Date: February 2, 2016