Williams v. Pfister et al Doc. 47

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ex rel. | ) |                    |
|----------------------------------|---|--------------------|
| KEVIN WILLIAMS (#R26594),        | ) |                    |
|                                  | ) |                    |
| Petitioner,                      | ) |                    |
|                                  | ) |                    |
| V.                               | ) | Case No. 15 C 3183 |
|                                  | ) |                    |
| RANDY PFISTER, Warden,           | ) |                    |
| Pontiac Correctional Center,     | ) |                    |
|                                  | ) |                    |
| Respondent.                      | ) |                    |

#### MEMORANDUM ORDER

Although this action brought by Kevin Williams ("Williams") to invoke 28 U.S.C. § 2254<sup>1</sup> to obtain federal habeas relief has traveled a rocky road due to problems created by Williams himself,<sup>2</sup> the most recent problem that has prompted this memorandum order is not at all of his doing. Instead that current problem stems from the next-discussed administrative glitch.

On October 7 the Clerk's Office received a self-prepared hand-printed document that, although it was captioned "In the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit," bore only District Court Case No. 15 C 3183 and was headed "Pro Se Petitioner-Appellant's Motion for Extension of Time To File Notice of Appeal." It appears that Williams submitted only the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All further references to Title 28's provisions will simply take the form "Section --," omitting the prefatory "28 U.S.C. §."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For present purposes it is unnecessary to cite or provide any detail as to this Court's numerous earlier memorandum orders and memorandum opinions that have led to the action's being characterized as "snake bitten" in some of those judicial documents.

original of that document (see the attached copy of page 4 of Dkt. No. 43 in this District Court),<sup>3</sup> which was initially directed to and received in the Seventh Circuit's Clerk's Office and was then delivered to and filed in the District Court, but there the document simply sat, without any transmittal of a copy to this Court's chambers for its attention. As a result of that gap in administrative handling (a subject that this Court is now seeking to have addressed by the Clerk's Office), Williams' motion did not come to this Court's attention until late last week, when it had occasion to order and obtain a printed Motions Report covering pending motions in all cases assigned to its calendar.

Because it appears that Williams' motion for extension, when filed on October 7, was timely under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(5)(A), and to avoid any possible adverse effect stemming from the above-described delay that was ascribable neither to Williams nor to this Court, this Court orders that the motion (Dkt. No. 43, a copy of which is attached) be treated as Williams' actual Notice of Appeal. That will enable his appeal to go forward, although this Court expresses no view as to its merits other than those stated in its earlier memorandum orders and memorandum opinions at this District Court level.

Milton I. Shadur

Senior United States District Judge

Willen D Shaden

Date: October 27, 2015

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This District Court's LR 5.2(f) requires the delivery of a paper copy of all filings to any District Judge who opts for that procedure (a "dealer's choice" election adopted by the District Court after full discussion), but of course nonlawyers such as Williams cannot be expected to be aware of that LR and its mandate to transmit a paper copy directly to the District Judge involved.

Case: 1:15-cv-03183 Document #: 43 Filed: 10/07/15 Page 1 of 6 PageID #:466

Duited States Court of APPEALS FOR THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT

Durter States of America of Rel. KEVIN WILLIAMS. R26594.

Petitioner-Appellant,

V.

Randy Pfister, WARDEN, Portiac Correctional Center,

Respondent-Appellee.

U.S.C.A. — 7th Circuit RECEIVED

OCT - 7 2015 EF

GINO J. AGNELLO CLERK

CASE NO. 15 C 3183

## FILED

OCT 07 2015 en

THOMAS G. BRUTON CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

PRO SE PEtitioner-Appellant's Motion For Extension OF Time to File Notice OF APPEAL

NOW Comes the Pro Se Petitioner-Appellant Kenin Williams, Presugnit to FRAP 4(w) (5) or 4(b), Request For an extension of Time to File Notice OF Appeal For the Following, REASONS:

- 1. Petitioner-Appellant was denied HALEAS CORPUS Relief Under 28 U.S. C. \$ 2254 ON SEPTEMBOR 1, 2015
- 2. Petitioner-Appellant was also derived Habous Corpus Relief Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 59(e) on September 18, 2015
- About Octobre 18, 2015.
- 4. That on October 4. 2015. Pontine Correctional Center want on Administrative Lock Down AND IDOC officials Have Advised Petitioner-Will be over.
- 5. That Petitioner-Appellant, due to the Administrative Lock Down, is not able to get to the Law Library to get into His Leral Boxes and Prepare andtice of Appeal, Certificate of Appealability, Motion For Information Pauperis, and Docketius Statement.

### Case: 1:15-cv-03183 Document #: 43 Filed: 10/07/15 Page 2 of 6 PageID #:467

6. That Petitioner-Appellant Record A thirty (30) day Extension of time until November 18, 2015 to file A Notice of APPEAL AND ACCOMPANYING DOCUMENTS.

DATE: October 4. 2015

Kevin Williams- Rabegy

ROSPORTEUM SULANTERS

PRO SE PETITIONER- APPEllant

Portiac Correctional Conter

P. O. Rox 99 Pontiaci Illinois 61764

# Case: 1:15-cv-03183 Document #: 43 Filed: 10/07/15 Page 3 of 6 PageID #:468

I hereby correct that on october 4, 2015, I server via regular mail to Respondent-Appeller's Atherey A copy of Pro Se Petitioner - Appellant's Motion For extension of Time to File Notice of Appeal" at the Following Address by deposition the same in the U.S. mail via the Pontiac Correctional Contents mail Room (Person Mail Loot pule), Cocated at P. O. Box 99, Pontiac, Illinois 61764 with Proper Postage. Under Penaltice AS Provided by Can Puervant to 735 ILCS 5/1-109, I correct that the Statements set Forth herein are true and Correct.

ERICA SETEUEN, RAR NO. 6287357 Assistant Attorney General 100 W. RANDOLPH Steret ZND Floor CHICAGO, Illinois 60601

Keein Williams

Case: 1:15-cv-03183 Document #: 43 Filed: 10/07/15 Page 4 of 6 PageID #:469 October 4, 2015 U.S.C.A. 7th Circuit To: Office of the Clerk OCT - 7 2015 EF Owites States Court of Appeals GINO J. AGNELLO CLERK For THE SeventH Circuit 219 S. Dearborn Street FILED Officaro, Illinois 60604-1874 OCT 07 2015 THOMAS G. BRUTON CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT Fron: Kevin Williams - R26594 Pontrac Correctional Center Partiac, Illinois Garay SUBJect/RE: Pau se Refitioner-Appellants
Request for filing A motion for Extension of time DEAR Clerk; I Am the Pro Se Refitioner - Appellant in this case. Please find enclosed my original pro Se petitionen - Appellant's motion for Extension of time to File Notice of Appeal and the Centificate of Service. As Level williams cci KAW/ Cetal File Pro se Actionen Assellant

