Armstrong v. Dart et al Doc. 14

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

LAMONT ARMSTRONG,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) Case No. 16 C 3327
THOMAS J. DART, et al.,)
Defendant) s.)

MEMORANDUM ORDER

On June 9, 2016 this Court issued a sua sponte Memorandum Opinion and Order (the "Opinion") that addressed a number of issues posed by the pro se action filed by prisoner plaintiff Lamont Armstrong ("Armstrong"), in which he has invoked 42 U.S.C. § 1983 ("Section 1983") to seek relief from claimed violations of his constitutional rights during his stay at the Cook County Jail in the May to July, 2015 time frame. With the Opinion having granted Armstrong's motion for in forma pauperis status under the special provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1915 applicable to prisoner plaintiffs, but having denied Armstrong's Motion for Appointment of Counsel (the "Motion"), the Opinion concluded by ordering the United States Marshals Service to serve process on defendant Sheriff Thomas Dart so that the action could go forward.

Nothing further has been heard from Armstrong since then. Because this Court of course recognizes the difficulties faced by a prisoner seeking to proceed pro se with a Section 1983 claim (and for that reason the Motion had been denied without prejudice), the transmittal of a copy of this memorandum order to Armstrong is being accompanied by another set of Motion forms to enable him to seek the designation of counsel to represent him in a proper manner.

In the meantime the Cook County State's Attorney's Office has moved for an extension of time to October 3, 2016 to file a responsive pleading. That motion is granted, and a status hearing is set for 8:45 a.m. October 11, 2016, at which time defense counsel is expected to have made arrangements for Armstrong's telephonic participation unless Armstrong has previously taken action to obtain counsel.

Milton I. Shadur

Senior United States District Judge

Willan D Shaden

Date: September 6, 2016