



On December 23, 2013, an administrative law judge (ALJ) acting pursuant to this court's remand order, issued a fully favorable decision, finding that Plaintiff was disabled within the meaning of the Social Security Act (attached). The time has expired for Plaintiff to file exceptions to the ALJ's decision and for the Appeals Council to review the case on its own motion. 20 C.F.R. § 416.1484. The case, therefore, is concluded and this court should affirm the Commissioner's decision on remand and enter judgment.<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff agrees with this motion.

A proposed order (copy attached) will be submitted to the court's proposed-order email address.

Respectfully submitted,

ZACHARY T. FARDON

United States Attorney

By: s/ Monica V. Mallory  
MONICA V. MALLORY  
Assistant United States Attorney  
327 South Church Street, Suite 3300  
Rockford, IL 61101  
(815) 987-4444  
monica.mallory@usdoj.gov

Of Counsel:

KATHRYN CALDWELL  
Acting Regional Chief Counsel  
Social Security Administration

ANDREW NELTNER  
Special Assistant United States Attorney  
Office of the Regional Chief Counsel  
200 West Adams Street, Suite 3000  
Chicago, Illinois 60606

---

<sup>1</sup> This court entered a judgment on January 2, 2013, when it remanded this case to the agency. However, when a remand is under sentence six of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), as it was here, the proper sequence of events is that "after the postremand proceedings are completed, the Secretary returns to court, [and then] the court enters a final judgment." *Melkonyan v. Sullivan*, 501 U.S. 89, 102 (1991).